

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COMPUTER-ASSISTED INSTRUCTION  
IN LEARNING SCIENCE

A Master Project submitted to the Graduate School in partial  
fulfillment of the requirements for the degree  
Master of Science (Management),  
Universiti Utara Malaysia

by  
Ong Eng Choon



**Sekolah Siswazah  
(Graduate School)  
Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK  
(Certification of Project Paper)**

Saya, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa  
(I, the undersigned, certify that)

ONG ENG CHOON (80950)

calon untuk Ijazah  
(candidate for the degree of) SARJANA SAINS PENGURUSAN (SUKM/IAB)

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk  
(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COMPUTER -ASSISTED INSTRUCTION  
IN LEARNING SCIENCE

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek  
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of project paper)

bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan,  
dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.  
(that the project paper acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory  
knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia  
(Name of Supervisor): DR. ABDUL MALEK ABDUL KARIM

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Tarikh  
(Date)

25/11/99

## PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this project paper in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a post graduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this project paper in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this project paper or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my project paper.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this project paper, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean of Graduate School  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
06010 UUM Sintok  
Kedah Darul Aman

## **ABSTRAK**

### **KEBERKESANAN KAEDAH PEMBELAJARAN MELALUI BANTUAN KOMPUTER (CAI) DALAM SAINS**

Kaedah CAI semakin popular pada masa kini. Seperti yang diperkatakan oleh kebanyakan penyelidik CAI, kaedah CAI menyampaikan isi pelajaran kepada pelajar melalui komputer dalam bentuk bahan-bahan Multimedia Interaktif (IMI). Kebanyakan program dari bahan pembelajaran yang diperolehi dari Internet atau CD-ROM adalah juga dalam bentuk IMI.

Adakah CAI berkesan sebagai satu alat pembelajaran? Soalan ini merupakan fokus utama kajian ini. Kajian ini juga mengkaji faktor pengaruh jantina ke atas pencapaian akademik dan persepsi pelajar terhadap CAI. Pengetahuan asas komputer juga dikaji sebagai faktor pengaruh ke atas pencapaian akademik. Kajian ini dilaksanakan ke atas **satu** topik Sains Tingkatan 2 **yang** dipilih melalui program CD-ROM.

Kajian ini melibatkan 73 orang pelajar daripada salah sebuah sekolah menengah di Temerloh, Pahang. Subjek yang dipilih menjalankan proses pembelajaran dengan kaedah CAI melalui komputer menggantikan kaedah pengajaran tradisional dalam bilik dajah. Sebelum sesi CAI, semua subjek sampel menduduki ujian Pra-CAI. Mereka juga menjawab Borang Soal-selidik Persepsi CAI. Selepas sidang CAI, semua subjek sampel menduduki ujian Post-CAI untuk menilai pencapaian dalam akademik. Mereka menjawab Borang Soal-selidik sekali lagi untuk menilai sebarang perubahan persepsi terhadap penggunaan komputer.

Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa Kaedah CAI adalah signifikan dalam peningkatan pencapaian akademik pelajar. Kajian ini menunjukkan Kaedah CAI adalah berkesan dalam pembelajaran Sains. Daripada keputusan juga didapati bahawa tiada perbezaan yang signifikan dalam aspek perbandingan pencapaian akademik di antara lelaki dan perempuan. Pelajar lelaki dan perempuan didapati mempunyai persepsi sama terhadap CAI. Didapati juga keputusan yang signifikan iaitu, persepsi pelajar terhadap penggunaan komputer dalam pembelajaran telah bertambah. Kajian ini juga mendapati faktor pengetahuan asas komputer tidak mempengaruhi pencapaian akademik pelajar.

Kajian ini mencadangkan bahawa kajian-kajian akan datang menggunakan tempoh masa kajian yang lebih lama supaya memperoleh keputusan yang lebih tepat lagi. Tempoh masa kajian ini hanya terhad kepada 3 minggu sahaja. Implikasi daripada kajian ialah para ibubapa dan juga pihak Kementerian Pendidikan patut membekalkan komputer (PC) kepada anak-anak dan para pelajar kerana mempunyai persepsi positif terhadap komputer dan CAI. Walaubagaimanapun, disarankan bahawa lebih banyak kajian mengenai keberkesanan CAI terhadap pencapaian akademik dijalankan ke atas matapelajaran-matapelajaran yang lain.

# **ABSTRACT**

## **THE EFFECTIVENESS OF COMPUTER-ASSISTED INSTRUCTION IN LEARNING SCIENCE**

Computer-Assisted Instruction (CAI) has become increasingly popular nowadays. As mentioned by many well-known CAI researchers, the CAI method uses mainly Interactive Multimedia Instruction (IMI) program via computers to impart knowledge to the students. Many programs and learning materials from Internet or those available on CD-ROMs are IMI materials.

How effective is CAI as a learning tool? It is the main focus of this study. This study also investigated whether the factor of gender and students' prior knowledge of computers influenced achievement and finally students' perception towards CAI. The study was conducted on a Form Two Science topic using CD-ROM program.

It involved 73 students from a secondary school in Temerloh, Pahang. The subjects learnt using CAI method via computer, replacing the existing traditional textbook method. Before the CAI sessions, students took a pretest. They also answered a questionnaire on students' perception towards CAI. After the CAI treatment, all the subjects sat for a posttest to assess the academic achievement. The students answered the questionnaire again to assess changes in perception towards CAI.

The results showed that CAI method significantly improved students' academic achievement. This study revealed that CAI method was effective in learning Science for the secondary school students. The results indicated that the effectiveness of learning through CAI was not affected by gender. There was also no significant difference in the perception of computers as a learning tool between boys and girls. There were significant results showed that there was an increase in students' perception towards the use of computers. The results also revealed that the students' prior knowledge of computers did not affect the achievement in CAI.

The findings suggest that future researches should focus on a longer duration of CAI sessions in order to obtain more reliable results. The present CAI sessions were only limited to 3 weeks. The implication of the study was that parents should make available PCs for their children, and likewise the Ministry of Education should equip schools with more PCs. This is because of the positive perception towards computers and CAI. However, it is recommended that more studies be conducted to assess the effectiveness of CAI on achievement in other academic subjects.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Many people have contributed in one way or another to help make this study possible. I am grateful to my supervisor Dr. Abdul Malek Abdul Karim, who has provided invaluable assistance and advice for this study. I wish to thank him for his constructive criticisms and suggestions towards my project paper.

I would like to express my appreciation to the Dean of the Graduate School, Associate Professor Dr. Ibrahim Abdul Hamid, and Pn. Pujawati Said for their support and encouragement. I would also like to thank the Education Planning, Research and Development Unit, Ministry of Education for their kind approval to enable this study to be conducted in a government school. I wish to thank the Principal of Sekolah Menengah Kebangsaan Abu Bakar Temerloh, Pn. Minah Hamzah for giving her permission and support for conducting the study in the computer laboratory of her school. Many thanks to Madam Choong Yeat Ching, a senior science teacher of the school, who had contributed greatly in this study as a facilitator. The students of the school who have participated in this study deserved my sincere thanks, particularly for their cooperation in making this study a reality.

Finally, my heartfelt gratitude to my beloved wife Ching, who stood by me and showed tremendous support, comfort and tolerance during the difficult moments all the way through.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PERMISSION TO USE	i
ABSTRACT (BAHASA MALAYSIA)	ii
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
<b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION</b>	
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	3
1.3 Research Questions	4
1.4 Purpose of the Study	4
1.5 Significance of the Study	5
1.6 Limitations of the Study	6
1.7 Assumption	7
1.8 Definition of Terms	7
<b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 Brief History of CAI	9
2.2 Past Researches on CAI	11
2.3 Development of CAI in Malaysia	14
2.3.1 Computer Projects in Schools	14
2.3.2 Information Technology Training for Teachers	17
2.3.3 The SMART School Project	18

	Page
2.4 Past Researches on Achievement	19
2.5 Gender Differences	21
2.6 CAI Research on Perception	23
2.7 Summary	23
 <b>CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY</b>	
3.1 Introduction	25
3.2 Population of the Study	26
3.3 Design	27
3.4 The Teaching Sessions	28
3.5 Instrumentation	29
3.5.1 The Teaching Software	29
3.5.2 The Achievement Test	32
3.5.3 The Questionnaire on Students' Perception	33
3.6 Calculation of Mean Score for Achievement	34
3.7 Assessment of Students' Perception	34
3.8 Pilot Study	35
3.9 Implementation	36
3.10 Data Collection	37
3.11 Data analysis	38



## **CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND INTERPRETATIONS**

4.1	Introduction	39
4.2	Descriptive and Inferential Statistic Analyses of Relationships	40
4.2.1	Research Question 1: Is the CAI method effective in learning Science?	41
4.2.2	Research Question 2: Is the effectiveness of learning through CAI influenced by gender?	43
4.2.3	Research Question 3: To what extend does students' prior knowledge of computers affect their achievement in CAI?	45
4.2.4	Research Question 4: Does teaching using computers enhance students' perception of computers?	46
4.2.5	Research Question 5: Is there a difference in perception of computers as a learning tool between boys and girls?	49
4.3	Summary	51

## **CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND DISCUSSIONS**

5.1	Introduction	53
5.2	Discussions	54
5.2.1	Students' Achievement	54
5.2.2	Influence of Gender on Achievement	55
5.2.3	Students' Knowledge of Computer on Achievement	56
5.2.4	Change in Students' Perception towards CAI	57
5.3	Limitations of the Study	58

	Page
5.4 Conclusions	59
5.5 Implications	61
5.6 Recommendations for Further Research	62
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>64</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	
A. Contents of Achievement Test	69
B. Achievement Test	71
C. Questionnaire	87
D. Educational Research, Planning and Development (EPRD) Approval Letter	94
E. State Education Department Approval Letter	97
F. School Approval Letter	99
G. Raw scores – Instruments Reliability	101

## LIST OF TABLES

Tables	Page
3.1 Distribution of the Subjects According to Gender	26
3.2 Level of Perception in Using Computers	34
3.3 Level of Perception towards CAI	35
4.1 The Dispersion of Pretest and Posttest Achievement Scores	41
4.2 The <i>t</i> -test Comparisons of Mean Achievement Scores	42
4.3 The Dispersion of Pretest and Posttest Achievement Scores for Boys and Girls	43
4.4 The <i>t</i> -test Comparisons of Mean Achievement Scores for Boys and Girls	44
4.5 The <i>t</i> -test Comparisons of Mean Achievement Scores for Prior Knowledge of Computers	46
4.6 Frequency Distribution and Percentages of Perception Score in Using Computers	47
4.7 The <i>t</i> -test Comparisons of Students' Perceptions in Using Computers Before and After the Treatment	48
4.8 Frequency Distribution and Percentages of Perception Scores towards CAI	49
4.9 The <i>t</i> -test Comparisons of Students' Perception towards CAI for Boys and Girls	50

## **LIST OF FIGURES**

<b>Figures</b>	<b>Page</b>
3.1 The Main Flow-Chart of the Teaching Program	31

# **CHAPTER ONE**

## **INTRODUCTION**

### **1.1 Background of the Study**

The usage of computers by the government and private sectors has increased since the introduction of the Pentium-based Central Processing Units (CPUs) computer in the 1990's. The effectiveness of computers in processing and updating database for the administration and the business sector make them more superior compare to manual processing. The rapid advances in computer technology and the subsequent widespread utilization of computers have had dramatic impact on all aspects of society including education. In addition, the ever-decreasing cost of computers and software has given rise to their increasing availability and application in schools. Therefore, this makes the use of computers in the education sector more and more popular.

Living in a technologically based information society has created new expectations regarding the education of students. These changing societal expectations, along with new research on how students learn, have challenged the traditional model of schooling. The new information and communication

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## REFERENCES

- Abas, Z. W. (1991). Sistem ComIL – A Malaysian breakthrough for computers-in-education, *Proceedings of the National Symposium on Educational Computing* (pp. 50-60). Kuala Lumpur: University of Malaya.
- Abouserie, R., & Moss, D. (1992). Cognitive style, gender, attitude toward computer-assisted learning and academic achievement. *Educational Studies*, 18 (1), 151-160.
- Barron, L. C., & Golman, E. S. (1994). *Integrating technology with teacher preparation: Technology and Educational Reform*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Brush, T. A. (1997). The effects on student achievement and attitudes when using integrated learning system with cooperative pairs. *Journal of Educational Technology Research and Development*, 45 (1), 51-64.
- Crowl, T. K., Kaminsky, S., & Podel, D. M. (1997). *Educational Psychology* (pp. 232-236). New York: Brown and Benchmark Publication.
- Dasar komputer kementerian. (1996, July 7). *Mingguan Malaysia*, p. 21.
- David, H., Jaworski, J., & McMahon, H. (1990). *Computers in third world schools* (pp. 197-201). London: Macmillan Press.
- Dyarmett, C. J. (1986). The influence of computer-assisted instruction versus traditional instruction of the academic achievement of high school students enrolled in beginning accounting courses, pp. 24-73. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Southern Mississippi, Hattiesburg, 1986). *UMI Dissertation*.
- Erickson, F. J., & Vonk, J. A. (1994). *Computer essentials in education The teaching tools* (pp. 61-78). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Fennema, E. H., & Carpenter, T. (1981). The second national assessment and sex-related differences in Mathematics. *Journal of Computer in Mathematics and Science Teaching*, 12, 277-279.

Hashim, S. (1996). *Program Kursus Dalam Perkhidmatan* (Computer in education program). p. 6. [On-line], Available: <http://mtk.kpm.my/aspar/kpd-mtk/kdplrt.htm>.

Higdon, J. (1995). *The Evolution of Computer Literacy For Pre-service Teacher*. [On-line], Available: <http://www.coe.uh.edu/insite/elec-pub/html>.

Hussin, S. B. (1994). The effectiveness of computer-assisted language learning in ESL classrooms at Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, pp. 20-32. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, 1994). *UMI Dissertation*.

Kok, T. (1990). *Effects of individual and pair use of Computer-Aided Instruction on achievement and attitudes*. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.

Kropp, R. P. (1970). Making CAI Work. In: Margolin J. B. and Misch M. R. *Computers in the Classroom* (pp.205-222). London: Spartan Books.

Kulik, J. A., Bangert, R., & Williams, G. (1983). Effects of computer-based teaching on secondary school of students. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 75, 19-26.

Kulik, J. A., Kulik, C. L., & Cohen, P. A. (1980). Effectiveness of computer-based college teaching: A meta-analysis of findings. *Review of Educational Research*, 50 (4), 525-544.

Lazarowitz, R., & Huppert, J. (1993). Science process skill of 10<sup>th</sup>-grade biology students in a computer-assisted learning setting. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 25 (3), 367-382.

Lee, W. T., & Zalatimo, S. (1990). Computer-assisted instruction with immediate feedback versus delayed feedback in learning to solve analogy items. *International Journal of Instructional media*, 17 (4), 309-320.

Loh K. B. (1998, September 14). Window 98 enhances multimedia features. *Computimes, New Straits Times*, p. 33.

Luehermann A. (1982). Computer literacy: What is it: Why is it important. *Electronic Learning, May/June*, 3-14.



MacGregor, S. K. (1988). Instructional design for computer-mediated text system: effects of motivation, learner control and collaboration on reading performance, *Journal of Experimental Education*, 56 (3), 142-147.

Merrill, P. E. et al (1996). *Computer in education* (3<sup>rd</sup> ed.). New York: Allyn & Bacon.

Mevarech Z. R. (1985) CAI methods: A factorial study within mathematics disadvantage classrooms. *Journal of Experimental education*, 54 (1), 22-27.

Mevarech, Z. R., & Sigal, B. A. (1987). Effects of CAI with fixed and adaptive feedback on children's mathematics anxiety and achievement. *Journal of Experimental Education*, 56 (1), 42-46.

Nievergelt, J., Ventura, A., & Hinterberger, H. (1986). *Interactive computer programs for education: philosophy, techniques and examples*, (pp. 1-9). New York: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company.

Norhayati Abd Mukti (1995). Factors related to teacher use of computer technology in Malaysia, pp. 6-12. (Doctoral dissertation, Michigan State University, East Lansing, 1995). *UMI Dissertation*

Ochoa, T. A., Vasquez, L. R., & Gerber, M. M. (1999). New generation of computer-assisted learning tools for students with disabilities. *Intervention in School & Clinic*, 34 (4), 251-254.

*Panduan Penubuhan dan Pengurusan Kelab Komputer Sekolah* (1986). (Circular). Ministry of Education, Malaysia.

Perempuan masih atasi lelaki dalam PMR. (1996, December 30). *Utusan Malaysia*, p. 29.

*Publication manual of the American psychological association* (4 ed.). (1995). Washing, DC: American Psychological Association.

Reglin, G. L. (1990). The effects of individualized and cooperative computer assisted instruction on mathematics achievement and mathematics anxiety for prospective teachers. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 22 (2), 404-413.

Schumacher, S., & McMillan, J. H. (1993). *Research in education. A conceptual introduction*, 3rd ed. (Chap 2-10). New York: HarperCollins College Publishers.

Schwier R. A., & Misanchuk E. R. (1993). *Interactive multimedia instruction*. (Chap 1-2). New Jersey: Educational Technology Publications, Englewood Cliffs.

SEAMEO-RECSAM. (1986, November). *Computers in education project (Vol.2)*. Penang, Malaysia.

Sigelman, C. K., & Shaffer, D. R. (1995). *Life-span human development* (pp. 299-315). London: Cole Publishing Company.

Simonson, M. R., & Thompson, A. (1997). *Educational Computing Foundations*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., (pp. 35-54). New York: Prentice Hall.

Smart School Conceptual Blueprint. (1997). *The Malaysian Smart School: A MSC flagship application*. Ministry of Education, Malaysia.

Subashimi Selvaratnam (1999, August 19). Software to enhance multi skills, *Computimes, New Straits Times*, p. 37.

Swan, K., & Mitrani, M. (1993). The changing nature of teaching and learning in computer-based classrooms. *Journal of Research on Computing in Education*, 26 (1), 40-54.

Szymanski, R.A., Szymanski, D. P., & Palshen, P. M. (1995). *Computers and information system*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.

Tan, C. K. (1998). *The effects of computer-aided instruction on learning geography among Malaysian form four students*. Unpublished master's thesis, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.

Turney, B. L., & Robb, G. P. (1971). *Factors affecting research results. Research in education an introduction* (pp. 105-126). Hinsdade: Dryden Press.

Uma Sekaran (1992). *Research methods for business: A skill-building approach*, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., (Chap 5-8). Singapore: John Wiley & Sons.

Victor Chan, K.K. (1996, November 12). Keep up with new technology, *Education, New Straits Times*, p 10.

Wang, S., & Sleeman, P. J. (1993). A comparison of the relative effectiveness of CAI and conventional methods for teaching an operation management courses in a school of business. *International Journal of Instructional Media*, 20 (3), 225-234.

Wetherbe, C. G. (1989). The effectiveness of computer-assisted instruction integrated with a spreadsheet as an instructional tool for teaching quantitative concepts, pp. 165-206. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Minnesota, Twin Cities, 1989). *UMI Dissertation*.

Wong, K. M. (1996). *Effects of three types of immediate feedback on students' performance in computer-assisted instruction*. (pp. 71-88). Unpublished master's thesis, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.

Yong, S. H. (1997). *Computer competency among form four students of a secondary school* (pp. 1-36). Unpublished master's thesis, University of Malaya, Kuala Lumpur.

Zarina Samsudin (1995). Development of instructional materials in Bahasa Melayu for computers in education curriculum in secondary schools in Penang, Malaysia, pp. 7-13. (Doctoral dissertation, University of Michigan, 1995). *UMI Dissertation*.

Zoraini Wati Abas (1994). Implementation of computer in Malaysia schools: Problems and success, *Integrating Information Technology into Education* (pp. 151-158) London: Chapman & Hall.