

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for a post graduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

**Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman**

IPO PROFIT GUARANTEES AND INCOME SMOOTHING

A thesis submitted to the Graduate School in partial
fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master Science (International Accounting)
Universiti Utara Malaysia

by
Noraizan Bt. Ripain

Jaminan Keuntungan IPO dan Pelarasan Pendapatan

Abstrak

Pengenaan jaminan keuntungan bagi terbitan awam awalan (Initial Public Offering atau IPO) adalah ketara terutamanya bagi syarikat-syarikat yang ingin disenaraikan di Papan Kedua Bursa Saham Kuala Lumpur (BSKL) sepanjang 1996-1999. Berdasarkan kajian yang lepas terhadap pelarasan pendapatan (income smoothing), kajian ini cuba menyelidik samaada wujudnya aktiviti pelarasan pendapatan dikalangan 92 buah syarikat yang mempunyai jaminan keuntungan IPO. Dari jumlah tersebut, 54 buah syarikat melaporkan lebihan dalam jaminan keuntungan. Bagi tujuan mengesan samaada wujudnya aktiviti pelarasan pendapatan terhadap sampel yang dikaji, kajian ini menggunakan Indeks Pelarasan Pendapatan yang dibangunkan oleh Eckel (1981) menggunakan dua jangkamasa, iaitu (1) sepuluh tahun di mana ianya merangkumi lima tahun sebelum dan lima tahun selepas syarikat tersebut disenaraikan, dan (2) lima tahun meliputi satu tahun sebelum dan satu tahun selepas tempoh jaminan keuntungan. Ujian Chi-Square dan Kruskal-Wallis telah digunakan untuk menguji samaada wujudnya aktiviti pelarasan pendapatan dikalangan syarikat-syarikat yang melaporkan lebihan dalam jaminan keuntungan. Kajian mendapati bahawa tidak ada perbezaan di antara aktiviti pelarasan pendapatan dikalangan syarikat-syarikat yang mempunyai lebihan atau defisit dalam jaminan keuntungan IPO bagi kedua-dua jangkamasa tersebut. Di samping itu, kajian ini juga mendapati bahawa aktiviti pelarasan pendapatan tidak ada kaitan dengan faktor-faktor lain yang dikaji iaitu jenis sektor, juruaudit dan tahun syarikat itu disenaraikan.

IPO Profit Guarantees and Income Smoothing

Abstract

The imposition of Initial Public Offering (IPO) profit guarantees is widespread among companies that seek listings on the Second Board of the Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange (KLSE). It is a unique feature of the IPO market in Malaysia during 1996-1999. Extending prior research on income smoothing, this study investigates the income smoothing behavior on a sample of 92 IPO companies with profit guarantees of which 54 of them reported profit guarantee surpluses. For each of the companies, Eckel's Income Smoothing Index (1981) is calculated based on two sub-periods: i.e. (1) ten-year period comprising five years before and five years after listing, and (2) five-year period comprising one year before and one year after the profit guarantee period. Chi-Square and Kruskal-Wallis tests are used to test the association between the incidence of income smoothing and whether a company reported a profit guarantee shortfall or surplus. The evidence accepts the null hypothesis that there is no significant difference in income smoothing between companies with IPO profit guarantee surplus and IPO profit guarantee shortfall for both sub-periods. In addition, the findings also indicate that income smoothing is not associated with factors such as company's sectoral classification, type of auditor and year of listing.

Acknowledgements

Alhamdulillah, praise is to Allah for all the energy and perseverance to me for this undertaking and successful in completing this research project (KR 5026). This research project is prepared to fulfill the partial requirements for the degree of the Master Science (International Accounting) of the School of Accountancy, Universiti Utara Malaysia.

First and foremost, I would like to thank to my respected and kindly project advisor Dr. Wan Nordin Wan Hussin for his continued advise, guidance, comments, ideas and constructive suggestion in completing this research report.

My special thanks go to my program chairman, Associate Prof. Dr. Ayoib Che Ahmad and my classmates (MSc. International Accounting 2001-2002), Mohd. Jaafar Embong, Rohami Shafie, Hartini Jaafar, Azlia Noor and Nor Mazizah Mohd Hafir for their comments and suggestions in guiding me to complete this report. Thank you so much.

Last but not least, my deepest debt goes to my beloved parents, Ripain Yaakob and Ramlah Zakaria and family and to those who involved directly and indirectly. Without your patience, support and encourage, I might be unable to complete this report. Thank you.

Noraizan Ripain

Master Science (International Accounting)

School of Accountancy

UUM Sintok, Kedah Darul Aman

22 March 2003

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PERMISSION TO USE	i
ABSTRACT (BAHASA MALAYSIA)	ii
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	iii
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	iv
LIST OF TABLES	v
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 IPO Profit Guarantee	4
2.1 The Rise, Modus Operandi and Demise	4
2.2 Compliance with Profit Guarantee Obligations	8
3.0 Income Smoothing Motives and Detection	11
3.1 Income Smoothing Motives	11
3.2 Income Smoothing Detection	13
4.0 Theoretical Framework and Hypothesis Development	16
4.1 Income Smoothing in IPO Company with Profit Guarantee Surplus	16
5.0 Research Methodology	19
5.1 Sample Selection and Data Source	19
5.2 Statistical Analysis	25
6.0 Results and Discussion	26
6.1 Income Smoothing over Ten-Year Period	26
6.1.1 Descriptive Statistics	26
6.1.2 Association between Income Smoothing and Profit Guarantee Surplus	28
6.1.3 Association between Income Smoothing and Sector	29
6.1.4 Association between Income Smoothing and	

Type of Auditor	30
6.1.5 Association between Income Smoothing and Year of Listing	31
6.2 Income Smoothing over Profit-Guarantee Period	32
6.2.1 Descriptive Statistics	33
6.2.2 Association between Income Smoothing and Profit Guarantee	
Surplus	35
6.2.3 Association between Income Smoothing and Sector	35
6.2.4 Association between Income Smoothing and	
Type of Auditor	37
6.2.5 Association between Income Smoothing and Year of Listing	38
7.0 Conclusion and Limitations	39
References	42
Appendix 1	46
Appendix 2	49
Appendix 3	50
Appendix 4	53
Appendix 5	54
Appendix 6	55
Appendix 7	56

LIST OF TABLES

		Page
Table 1	IPOs with Profit Guarantee Agreements	9
Table 2	Number of Companies with Profit Guarantee Shortfalls	10
Table 3	Sample Distribution of IPO Companies with Profit Guarantee	23-24
Table 4	Summary Descriptive Statistics (n = 92)	27
Table 5	Income Smoothing by Profit Guarantee Surplus and Shortfall	28
Table 6	Income Smoothing by Sector	30
Table 7	Income Smoothing by Big Four and Non-Big Four Auditor	31
Table 8	Income Smoothing by Year of Listing	32
Table 9	Summary Descriptive Statistics (n = 89)	34
Table 10	Income Smoothing by Profit Guarantee Surplus and Shortfall	35
Table 11	Income Smoothing by Sector	37
Table 12	Income Smoothing by Big Four and Non-Big Four Auditor	38
Table 13	Income Smoothing by Year of Listing	38

1.0 Introduction

Income smoothing is a specific example of earnings management (Beattie, Brown, Ewers, John, Manson, Thomas and Turner, 1994). It refers to the deliberate attempt by management to level the trend of earnings. Or as Worthy (1984) colorfully put it, executives engage in income smoothing “to purge the wiggles and spikes from the lines that chart their profits.” Moses (1987) defines the smoothing behavior as an effort to reduce fluctuations in reported earnings, rather than to maximize or minimize reported earnings.

Hepworth (1953) was the first to introduce the concept of income smoothing. He suggests that income smoothing creates stable earnings that give owners and creditors a more confident feeling towards management. To smooth income, a manager takes actions that increase reported income when income is low and takes actions that decrease reported income when income is relatively high (Stolowy and Breton, 2000) rather than tries to exaggerate earnings in all states (Fudenberg and Tirole, 1995).

Dascher and Malcolm (1970), Imhoff (1977), Horwitz (1977) and Eckel (1981) distinguish between different types of income smoothing; naturally smoothed and intentionally smoothed (real or artificial). Ashari, Hian, Soh and Wei (1994), Zhemin and Williams (1994), Beattie et al. (1994), Fern, Brown and Dickey (1994), Carlson and Bathala (1997) and Godfrey and Jones (1999) examine the factors that motivate managers to smooth income.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

References

- Aharony, J., C. Lin and M. Loeb (1993), 'Initial Public Offerings, Accounting Choices and Earnings Management', *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol. 10, No. 1 (Fall), pp. 61-81.
- Albrecht, W.D. and F.M. Richardson (1990), 'Income Smoothing by Economy Sector', *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 17, No. 5 (Winter), pp. 713-730.
- Ashari, N., C.K. Hian, L.T. Soh and H.W. Wei (1994), 'Factors Affecting Income Smoothing Among Listed Companies in Singapore', *Accounting and Business Research*, Vol. 24, No. 96, pp. 291-301.
- Barnea, A., J. Ronen and S. Sadan (1975), 'The Implementation of Accounting Objectives: An Application to Extraordinary Items', *The Accounting Review* (January), pp. 58-68.
- Beattie, V., S. Brown, D. Ewers, B. John, S. Manson, D. Thomas and M. Turner (1994), 'Extraordinary Items and Income Smoothing: A Positive Accounting Approach', *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 21, No. 6 (September), pp. 791-812.
- Beck, E.M., P.M. Horan and C.M. Tolbert II (1978), 'Stratification in a Dual Economy: A Sectoral Model of Earnings Determination', *American Sociological Review* (October), pp. 704-720.
- Beidleman, C. (1973), 'Income Smoothing: The Role of Management', *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 48, No.4, pp. 653-667.
- Carlson, S.J. and C.T. Bathala (1997), 'Ownership Differences and Firms' Income Smoothing Behavior', *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 24, No. 2 (March), pp. 179-196.
- Copeland, R.M. (1968), 'Income Smoothing', *Journal of Accounting Research, Supplement to Volume 6, Empirical Research in Accounting: Selected Studies*, Vol. 6, pp. 101-116.
- Dasher, P.E. and R.E. Malcom (1970), 'A Note on Income Smoothing in the Chemical Industry', *Journal of Accounting Research* (Autumn), pp. 253-259.
- Easton, P.D., T.S. Harris and J.A. Ohlson (1992), 'Aggregate Accounting Earnings Can Explain Most of Security Returns', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 15, pp. 119-142.
- Eckel, N. (1981), 'The Income Smoothing Hypothesis Revisited', *ABACUS*, Vol. 17, No. 1, pp. 28-40.
- Fern, R.H., B.C. Brown and S.W. Dickey (1994), 'An Empirical Test of Politically-Motivated Income Smoothing in the Oil Refining Industry', *Journal of Applied Business Research*, Vol. 10, No. 1, pp. 92-100.

- Freund, J.E., F.J. Williams and B.M. Perles (1993), '*Elementary Business Statistics: The Modern Approach*', 6th ed., Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Friedlan, J.M. (1994), 'Accounting Choices by Issuers of Initial Public Offerings', *Contemporary Accounting Research*, Vol. 11 (Summer), pp.1-31.
- Fudenberg, D. and J. Tirole (1995), 'A Theory of Income and Dividend Smoothing Based on Incumbency Rents', *Journal of Political Economy*, Vol. 103, No. 1, pp. 75-93.
- Godfrey, J.M. and K.L. Jones (1999), 'Political Cost Influences on Income Smoothing via Extraordinary Item Classification', *Accounting and Finance*, Vol. 39, No. 3 (November), pp. 229-254.
- Gordon, M.J. (1964), 'Postulates, Principles and Research in Accounting', *The Accounting Review* (April), pp. 251-263.
- Healy, P.M. (1985), 'The Effect of Bonus Schemes on Accounting Decisions', *Journal of Accounting and Economics*, Vol. 7, pp. 85-107.
- Hepworth, S.R. (1953), 'Smoothing Periodic Income', *The Accounting Review* (January), pp. 32-39.
- Holthausen, R.W., (1990), 'Accounting Method Choice: Opportunistic Behavior, Efficient Contracting and Information Perspectives', *Journal of Accounting and Economics* (January), pp. 207-218.
- Horwitz, B.N. (1977), 'Comments on Income Smoothing: A Review by J. Ronen, S. Sadan and C. Snow', *Accounting Journal* (Spring), pp. 27-29.
- Imhoff, E. A. (1977), 'Income Smoothing: A Case for Doubt', *Accounting Journal* (Spring), pp. 85-100.
- Imhoff, E.A. (1981), 'Income Smoothing: An Analysis of Critical issues', *Quarterly Review of Economics and Business*, Vol. 21, No. 3 (Autumn), pp. 23-42.
- Jain, B. and O. Kini (1994), 'The Post-Issue Operating Performance of IPO Firms', *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 49, pp. 1699-1726.
- Ma, C.K. (1988), 'Loan Loss Reserves and Income Smoothing: The Experience in the US Banking Industry', *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 15, No. 4 (Winter), pp. 487-497.
- Michelson, S.E., J. Jordan-Wagner and C.W. Wootton (1995), 'A Market Based Analysis of Income Smoothing', *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, Vol. 22, No. 8 (December), pp. 1179-1193.
- Morck, R., A. Shleifer and R. Vishny (1988), 'Management Ownership and Market Valuation: An Empirical Analysis', *Journal of Financial Economics*, Vol. 20. No. 1/2 (Jan/Mar), pp. 293-315.

- Moses, O.D. (1987), 'Income Smoothing and Incentives: Empirical Tests Using Accounting Changes', *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 12, No. 2 (April), pp. 358-377.
- Ritter, J.R. (1991), 'The Long-Run Performance of Initial Public Offerings', *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 46, pp. 3-27.
- Ronen, J. and S. Sadan (1975), 'Classificatory Smoothing: Alternative Income Models', *Journal of Accounting Research* (Spring), pp. 133-149.
- Ronen, J. and S. Sadan (1981), *Smoothing Income Numbers, Objectives, Means, and Implications* (Reading, MA, Addison Wesley).
- Salamon, G.L. and E.D. Smith (1979), 'Corporate Control and Managerial Misrepresentation of Firm Performance', *The Bell Journal of Economics*, Vol. 10, No. 1 (Spring), pp. 319-328.
- Schipper, K. (1989), 'Contemporary on Earnings Management', *Accounting Horizons*, Vol. 3, No. 4, pp. 91-102.
- Smith, E.D. (1976), 'The Effect of the Separation of Ownership from Control on Accounting Policy Decisions', *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 51, No. 4 (October), pp. 707-723.
- Stolowy, H. and G. Breton (2000), 'A Framework for the Classification of Accounts Manipulations', SSRN Working Paper Series (<http://www.ssrn.com>).
- Tan, C.S. (2002), 'Issues: A Model for Profit Guarantees', <http://www.theedgedaily.com>.
- Tan, L.T. (1997), *Financial Accounting & Reporting in Malaysia*, Singapore: Thomson Information.
- Teoh, S.H., I. Welch and T.J. Wong (1998), 'Earnings Management and the Long-Term Market Performance of Initial Public Offerings', *The Journal of Finance*, Vol. 53, pp. 1935-1974.
- Teoh, S.H., T.J. Wong and G. Rao (1998), 'Are Accruals During an Initial Public Offering Opportunistic?', *Review of Accounting Studies*, Vol. 3, pp. 175-208.
- Trueman, B. and S. Titman (1988), 'An Explanation for Accounting Income Smoothing', *Journal of Accounting Research*, Vol. 26 (Supplement), pp. 127-139.
- Wan-Hussin and Yeap, K. S. (2002), 'How Diverse are Accounting Practices for IPO Profit Guarantee Shortfall?', *Akauntan Nasional* (September), pp. 20-23.
- Watts, R.L. and J. Zimmerman (1978), 'Towards A Positive Theory of the Determination of Accounting Standards', *The Accounting Review*, Vol. 56 (January), pp. 112-134.

Worthy, F.S. (1984), 'Manipulating Profits: How It's Done', *Fortune* (June), pp. 50-54.

Zhemin, W. and T. H. Williams (1994), 'Accounting Income Smoothing and Stockholder Wealth', *Journal of Applied Business Research*, Vol. 10, No. 3, pp. 96-104.