

The copyright © of this thesis belongs to its rightful author and/or other copyright owner. Copies can be accessed and downloaded for non-commercial or learning purposes without any charge and permission. The thesis cannot be reproduced or quoted as a whole without the permission from its rightful owner. No alteration or changes in format is allowed without permission from its rightful owner.



**ROBUST LINEAR DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS USING MOM- Q_n
AND WMOM- Q_n ESTIMATORS: COORDINATE-WISE
APPROACH**



**MASTER OF SCIENCE (STATISTICS)
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA
2017**



Awang Had Salleh
Graduate School
of Arts And Sciences

Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA TESIS / DISERTASI
(Certification of thesis / dissertation)

Kami, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(We, the undersigned, certify that)

HAMEEDAH NAEEM MELIK

calon untuk Ijazah
(candidate for the degree of)

MASTER OF SCIENCE (STATISTICS)

telah mengemukakan tesis / disertasi yang bertajuk:
(has presented his/her thesis / dissertation of the following title):

**"ROBUST LINEAR DISCRIMINANT ANALYSIS USING MOM-QN AND WMOM-QN
ESTIMATORS: COORDINATE-WISE APPROACH"**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit tesis / disertasi.
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the thesis / dissertation).

Bahawa tesis/disertasi tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan, sebagaimana yang ditunjukkan oleh calon dalam ujian lisan yang diadakan pada : **31 Mei 2017**.

That the said thesis/dissertation is acceptable in form and content and displays a satisfactory knowledge of the field of study as demonstrated by the candidate through an oral examination held on: May 31, 2017.

Pengerusi Viva:
(Chairman for VIVA)

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Azizan Saaban

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Luar:
(External Examiner)

Dr. Teh Sin Yin

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Dalam:
(Internal Examiner)

Dr. Mohamad Shukri Abdul Hamid

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Nama Penyelia/Penyelia-penyelia:
(Name of Supervisor/Supervisors)

Dr. Nor Aishah Ahad

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Nama Penyelia/Penyelia-penyelia:
(Name of Supervisor/Supervisors)

Prof. Dr. Sharipah Soaad Syed Yahaya

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Tarikh:

(Date) **May 31, 2017**

Permission to Use

In presenting this thesis in fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Universiti Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for the copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:



Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences
UUM College of Arts and Sciences
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok

Abstrak

Kaedah analisis diskriminan linear (RLDA) teguh menjadi pilihan yang lebih baik untuk masalah pengklasifikasi berbanding dengan analisis diskriminan linear (LDA) klasik disebabkan kemampuan kaedah tersebut dalam mengatasi isu titik terpencil. LDA klasik bergantung kepada penganggar lokasi dan skala yang biasa iaitu min sampel dan kovarians matriks. Sensitiviti penganggar ini ke arah data terpencil akan menjejaskan proses pengelasan. Untuk mengurangkan isu ini, penganggar teguh lokasi dan kovarians dicadangkan. Sehubungan itu, dalam kajian ini, dua RLDA untuk pengelasan dua kumpulan telah diubah suai menggunakan dua penganggar lokasi yang amat teguh yang dinamakan Penganggar-M satu langkah terubahsuai (MOM) dan Penganggar-M satu langkah terubahsuai terwinsor (WMOM). Satu penganggar skala yang amat teguh, Q_n , disepadukan dalam kriteria pemangkasan MOM dan WMOM, menghasilkan dua RLDA yang baharu yang masing-masing dikenali sebagai $RLDA_{MQ}$ dan $RLDA_{WMQ}$. Dalam pengiraan RLDA yang baharu, min biasa digantikan dengan MOM- Q_n dan WMOM- Q_n . Prestasi kaedah RLDA baharu diuji ke atas data simulasi begitu juga data sebenar, dan seterusnya dibandingkan dengan LDA klasik. Bagi data simulasi, beberapa pemboleh ubah telah dimanipulasi untuk mewujudkan pelbagai keadaan yang sering berlaku dalam kehidupan sebenar. Pembolehubah tersebut ialah kehomogenan kovarians (sama dan tidak sama), saiz sampel (seimbang dan tidak seimbang), dimensi pembolehubah, dan peratus pencemaran. Secara umumnya, keputusan menunjukkan bahawa prestasi RLDA baharu adalah lebih baik daripada LDA klasik dari segi purata ralat kesilapan pengelasan, walaupun RLDA yang baharu mempunyai kelemahan iaitu memerlukan lebih banyak masa pengiraan. $RLDA_{MQ}$ memberi hasil yang terbaik pada saiz sampel seimbang manakala $RLDA_{WMQ}$ lebih baik dari yang lainnya pada keadaan saiz sampel tidak seimbang. Apabila data kewangan yang sebenar dipertimbangkan, $RLDA_{MQ}$ menunjukkan keupayaan dalam menangani data terpencil dengan ralat kesilapan pengelasan yang paling kecil. Sebagai penutup, kajian ini telah mencapai objektif utama iaitu untuk memperkenalkan RLDA baharu untuk mengklasifikasi data multi pembolehubah dua kumpulan dengan kehadiran titik terpencil.

Kata kunci: Ralat kesilapan pengelasan, Penganggar-M satu langkah terubahsuai, Data terpencil, Analisis diskriminan linear teguh, Terwinsor.

Abstract

Robust linear discriminant analysis (RLDA) methods are becoming the better choice for classification problems as compared to the classical linear discriminant analysis (LDA) due to their ability in circumventing outliers issue. Classical LDA relies on the usual location and scale estimators which are the sample mean and covariance matrix. The sensitivity of these estimators towards outliers will jeopardize the classification process. To alleviate the issue, robust estimators of location and covariance are proposed. Thus, in this study, two RLDA for two groups classification were modified using two highly robust location estimators namely Modified One-Step M-estimator (MOM) and Winsorized Modified One-Step M-estimator (WMOM). Integrated with a highly robust scale estimator, Q_n , in the trimming criteria of MOM and WMOM, two new RLDA were developed known as $RLDA_{MQ}$ and $RLDA_{WMQ}$ respectively. In the computation of the new RLDA, the usual mean is replaced by MOM- Q_n and WMOM- Q_n accordingly. The performance of the new RLDA were tested on simulated as well as real data and then compared against the classical LDA. For simulated data, several variables were manipulated to create various conditions that always occur in real life. The variables were homogeneity of covariance (equal and unequal), samples (balanced and unbalanced), dimension of variables, and the percentage of contamination. In general, the results show that the performance of the new RLDA are more favorable than the classical LDA in terms of average misclassification error for contaminated data, although the new RLDA have the shortcoming of requiring more computational time. $RLDA_{MQ}$ works best under balanced sample sizes while $RLDA_{WMQ}$ surpasses the others under unbalanced sample sizes. When real financial data were considered, $RLDA_{MQ}$ shows capability in handling outliers with lowest misclassification error. As a conclusion, this research has achieved its primary objective which is to develop new RLDA for two groups classification of multivariate data in the presence of outliers.

Keywords: Misclassification Error, Modified One-Step M-Estimator, Outliers, Robust linear discriminant analysis, Winsorized.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to the Almighty Allah for giving me the opportunity to complete my Master's thesis in Universiti Utara Malaysia. This achievement would not have been possible without the guidance and help of several individuals who contributed their assistance in the preparation of this thesis towards the completion of my study. It gives me great pleasure to acknowledge their support.

First and foremost, I would like to express my deepest appreciation and gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Nor Aishah Ahad for her valuable support and guidance throughout this study. I could not have imagined being under such a great tutelage. Your constructive advice and constant availability all through my study is well appreciated. I would like to also thank my co-supervisor Prof. Dr. Sharipah Soaad Syed Yahaya who supported me and assisted me through all stages of my research and the preparation of the thesis. I am highly honored to have had the pleasure of working with you. My sincere gratitude is extended to all academic and administrative staff in the Department of Quantitative Sciences and College of Arts and Sciences Universiti Utara Malaysia.

My special appreciation also goes to my father who has been a great and wise teacher in my life and my lovely mother for her infinite patience especially during my absence. Your sincere flow of love has accompanied me all the way in my long struggle and has pushed me to pursue my dreams. My heartfelt gratitude also goes to my two sisters and brother for their patience, prayers and moral support all through this wonderful journey.

Finally, I would like to thank everyone who has directly or indirectly helped me during this research. Your support is greatly appreciated. Allah blesses you.

Table of Contents

Permission to Use	ii
Abstrak.....	iii
Abstract.....	iv
Acknowledgement	v
Table of Contents	vi
List of Tables.....	ix
List of Figures	xi
List of Abbreviations	xii
CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA) Method.....	4
1.3 Problem Statement	9
1.4 Objectives of the Study	11
1.5 Significance of the Study.....	12
1.6 Scope of the Study	12
CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW	14
2.1 Discriminant Analysis	14
2.1.1 Discriminant Function	15
2.2 Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA).....	18
2.2.1 Fisher LDA.....	18
2.2.2 Limitations of LDA	20
2.2.2.1 Small Sample Size Problem (SSS).....	20
2.2.2.2 Overfitting or Underfitting.....	22
2.2.2.3 Distribution Assumption.....	24
2.3 Multivariate Outliers	26
2.4 Misclassification Error	28

2.5 Trimming	30
2.6 Robust LDA.....	32
2.6.1 Robust Estimators.....	34
2.6.2 Properties of Robust Estimators	35
2.6.3 Types of Robust Estimators	37
2.6.3.1 Modified One-Step M-Estimator (MOM)	37
2.6.3.2 Winsorized Modified One-Step M-Estimator (WMOM).....	38
2.7 Scale Estimators.....	40
2.7.1 Q_n	41
2.8 Variance Estimators	42
2.8.1 The Traditional Approach	43
2.8.2 Cross-Validation (CV).....	45
2.9 Summary.....	47
CHAPTER THREE RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....	48
3.1 Research Design.....	48
3.2 Research Framework.....	49
3.2.1 Generation of Data.....	50
3.2.2 Properties of Data	50
3.2.3 Assumptions of the Discriminant Model	51
3.3 Linear Discriminant Analysis (LDA).....	53
3.4 Modified One-Step M-Estimator with Q_n (MOM- Q_n).....	56
3.5 Winsorized Modified One-Step M-Estimator with Q_n (WMOM- Q_n).....	57
3.6 Cross Validation (CV).....	59
3.7 Variables Manipulated	59
3.7.1 Dimension of Variable (p) and Sample Size (n)	60
3.7.2 Percentage of Contamination (ϵ), Shifts in Location (μ) and Population (κ)	61
CHAPTER FOUR RESULT AND ANALYSIS	63
4.1 Introduction.....	63

4.2 Misclassification Error Analysis with Simulation Study	63
4.2.1 Equal Covariance Matrices	64
4.2.1.1 Balanced Sample Sizes	64
4.2.1.2 Unbalanced Sample Sizes	73
4.2.2 Unequal Covariance Matrices	79
4.2.2.1 Balanced Sample Sizes	79
4.2.2.2 Unbalanced Sample Sizes	84
4.3 Computational Time Analysis with Simulation Study.....	89
4.3.1 Equal Covariance Matrices with Balanced Sample Sizes.....	89
4.3.2 Equal Covariance Matrices with Unbalanced Sample Sizes.....	95
4.3.3 Unequal Covariance Matrices with Balanced Sample Sizes.....	100
4.3.4 Unequal Covariance Matrices with Unbalanced Sample Sizes.....	104
4.4 Misclassification Error Analysis with Real Data	108
CHAPTER FIVE CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	110
5.1 Conclusion	110
5.2 Comparison between the Linear Models	113
5.3 Implication of Study	116
5.4 Limitation of Study and Future Work	117
REFERENCES	118

List of Tables

Table 3.1	Simulation Conditions	60
Table 4.1	Mean Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 2$	66
Table 4.2	Mean of Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 6$	70
Table 4.3	Mean of Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 10$	71
Table 4.4	Mean Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 2$	74
Table 4.5	Mean of Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 6$	76
Table 4.6	Mean of Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 10$	77
Table 4.7	Mean Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p = 2$	80
Table 4.8	Mean of Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p = 6$	82
Table 4.9	Mean of Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p = 10$	83
Table 4.10	Mean Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p = 2$	85
Table 4.11	Mean of Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p = 6$	86
Table 4.12	Mean of Misclassification Error for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p = 10$	87
Table 4.13	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 2$	90
Table 4.14	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 6$	91
Table 4.15	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 10$	92
Table 4.16	Average Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices	93
Table 4.17	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 2$	95
Table 4.18	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 6$	96

Table 4.19	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p=10$	97
Table 4.20	Average Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices	98
Table 4.21	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p=2$	101
Table 4.22	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p=6$	102
Table 4.23	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p=10$	103
Table 4.24	Average Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices ...	104
Table 4.25	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p=2$	105
Table 4.26	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p=6$	106
Table 4.27	Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices and $p=10$	107
Table 4.28	Average Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Unequal Covariance Matrices	108
Table 4.29	Error Rates for Linear Models using Real Data.....	109
Table 5.1	Summary of Results for Equal Covariance Matrices and Balanced Sample Size Analysis	113
Table 5.2	Summary of Results for Equal Covariance Matrices and Unbalanced Sample Size Analysis	114
Table 5.3	Summary of Results for Unequal Covariance Matrices and Balanced Sample Size Analysis	115
Table 5.4	Summary of Results for Unequal Covariance Matrices and Unbalanced Sample Size Analysis	115
Table 5.5	Summary of Results for Performance of Models with Respect to Presence of Contaminations.....	116

List of Figures

Figure 2.1: Masking and Swamping Effects on Outliers.....	27
Figure 3.1: The Research Flowchart	49
Figure 4.1: Average Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p = 2$	94
Figure 4.2: Average Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p=6$	94
Figure 4.3: Average Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Balanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p=10$	94
Figure 4.4: Average Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p=2$	99
Figure 4.5: Average Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p=6$...	99
Figure 4.6: Average Computational Time (in seconds) for Linear Discriminant Models with Unbalanced Sample Sizes, Equal Covariance Matrices and $p=10$..	99



List of Abbreviations

MOM	Modified One-step M-estimator
WMOM	Winsorized Modified One-step M-estimator
CA	Classical Approach
Q_n	A scale estimator
CV	Cross- Validation
LDA	Linear Discriminant Analysis
MOM- Q_n	Modified One-Step M-Estimator with Q_n
WMOM- Q_n	Winsorized Modified One-Step M-Estimator with Q_n
RLDA _{MQ}	RLDA with MOM- Q_n
RLDA _{wMQ}	RLDA with WMOM- Q_n
QDA	Quadratic Discriminant Analysis
LR	Logistic Regression
RDA	Regularized Discriminant Analysis
MVE	Minimum Volume Ellipsoid
MCD	Minimum Covariant Determinant
MAD	Mean Absolute Deviation
PCA	Principal Component Analysis
RLDA	Robust Linear Discriminant Analysis
KPCA	Kernel Principal Component Analysis
CKFD	Complete Kernel Fisher Discriminant
KFD	Kernel Fisher Discriminant
LLDA	Locally Linear Discriminant Analysis

MODA	Multimodal Oriented Discriminant Analysis
MAD_n	Median Absolute Deviation
S_n	A scale estimator
T_n	A scale estimator
LSE	Least-Squares Estimation
MSE	Mean Squared Error
AER	Apparent Error Rates



CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Statistical classification techniques are basically of two types; cluster analysis and discriminant analysis. In cluster analysis, the rule to classify and the independent variables that describe the classification of the object are known but the category of the object is not known. Whereas, in discriminant analysis the object groups and several training examples of objects that have been grouped are known and the model of classification is also given. Discriminant analysis is one of the methods that give more information to the structure of multivariate data; which are data arising from variables greater than one (Fidler & Leonardis, 2003). The construction of a discriminant procedure comes from a training sample used for classifying every member of the sample. One of the primary objectives of discriminant analysis is to make inference about the unknown class membership of a new observation.

As stated in Chen and Muirhead (1994), distributional assumptions on the observation which involves the measurement of groups separately and the examination of the properties of the intended algorithms are the major root of statistical considerations in discriminant analysis. These rationales form the two stages of separation and allocation of the discriminant analysis. The separation stage is aimed to obtain functions known as discriminant functions which can conveniently make a separation of the groups, while the allocation stage involves assigning an unclassified object to one of the given groups using discriminant functions. On the other hand, the most crucial stage is the separation stage where the outcomes on the discriminant analysis are determined (Yan & Dai, 2011).

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Abu-Shawiesh, M. O. A., Banik, S., & Golam Kibria, B. M. (2011). A simulation study on some confidence intervals for the population standard deviation. *Statistics and Operations Research Transactions*, 35(2), 83–102.
- Abu-Shawiesh, M. O., & Abdullah, M. B. (2001). A new robust bivariate control chart for location. *Communications in Statistics-Simulation and Computation*, 30(3), 513-529.
- Acuna, E., & Rodriguez, C. (2004). The treatment of missing values and its effect on classifier accuracy. In *Classification, Clustering, and Data Mining Applications*, 639-647.
- Ahmed, S. W., & Lachenbruch, P. A. (1977). Discriminant analysis when scale contamination is present in the initial sample. *Classification and clustering*, 331-353.
- Alfaro, J. L., & Ortega, J. F. (2008). A robust alternative to Hotelling's T^2 control chart using trimmed estimators. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 24(5), 601-611.
- Alfaro, J. L., & Ortega, J. F. (2009). A comparison of robust alternatives to Hotelling's T^2 control chart. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 36(11–12), 1385–1396.
- Ali H. (2013). *Efficient and highly robust Hotelling T^2 Control charts using reweighted minimum vector variance*. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- Ali, H., & Yahaya, S. S. S. (2013). On robust Mahalanobis distance issued from minimum vector variance. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 74(2), 249.
- Ali, H., Yahaya, S. S. S., & Omar, Z. (2013). Robust hotelling T^2 control chart with consistent minimum vector variance. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2013(Icoqsia), 695–702.
- Ali, H., Yahaya, S., S. S., & Omar, Z. (2015). Enhancing minimum vector variance estimators using reweighted scheme. *Far East Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 98(7), 819-830.
- Allen, D. M. (1974). The relationship between variable selection and data augmentation and a method for prediction. *Technometrics*, 16, 125–127.
- Alrawashdeh, M. J., Sabri, S. R. M., & Ismail, M. T. (2012). Robust linear discriminant analysis with financial ratios in special interval. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 6(121), 6021–6034.
- Angiulli, F., & Pizzuti, C. (2005). Outlier mining in large high-dimensional data sets. *IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering*, 17(2), 203–215.
- Arjmandi, M. K., & Pooyan, M. (2012). An optimum algorithm in pathological voice quality assessment using wavelet-packet-based features, linear discriminant analysis and support vector machine. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Control*, 7(1), 3–19.

- Austin, P. C., & Steyerberg, E. W. (2015). The number of subjects per variable required in linear regression analyses. *Journal of clinical epidemiology*, 68(6), 627-636.
- Ayanendranath, B., Smarajit B., & Sumitra, P. (2004). Robust discriminant analysis using weighted likelihood estimators. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 74(6), 445-460.
- Balakrishnama, S., & Ganapathiraju, A. (1998). Linear discriminant analysis - a brief tutorial. *Institute for Signal and information Processing*, 18.
- Barnett, V., & Lewis, T. (1994). *Outliers in Statistical Data*. New York: John Wiley.
- Beckman, R. J., & Cook, R. D. (1983). Outliers. *Technometrics*, 25(2), 119-149.
- Belhumeur, P. N., Hespanha, J. P., & Kriegman, D. J. (1997). Eigenfaces vs. fisherfaces: Recognition using class specific linear projection. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 19(7), 711-720.
- Ben-Gal, I. (2005). Outliers detection. In: Maimon O. and Rockach, L. (Eds.), *Data Mining and Knowledge Discovery Handbook*: Heidelberg, Berlin: Springer, pp. 131-146.
- Bengio, Y., & Grandvalet, Y. (2004). No unbiased estimator of the variance of k-fold cross-validation. *The Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 5, 1089-1105.
- Bennett, P. J. (2009). *Introduction to the Bootstrap and Robust Statistics*, Bootstrapping PJ PSY711/712, 1-11.
- Betz, N. E. (1987). Use of discriminant analysis in counseling psychology research. *Journal of Counseling Psychology*, 34(4), 393-403.
- Borgen, F. H., & Seling, M. J. (1978). Uses of discriminant analysis following MANOVA: Multivariate statistics for multivariate purposes. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 63(6), 689-697.
- Brown, B. M. & Kildea, D. G. (1978). Reduced u-statistics and the Hodges-Lehmann estimator. *The Annals of Statistics*, 6, (4), 828-835.
- Campbell, N. A. (1980). Robust procedures in multivariate analysis I robust covariance estimation. *Applied Statistics*, 29(3), 231-237.
- Cacoullos, T. (2014). *Discriminant analysis and applications*. Academic Press.
- Cevikalp, H., Neamtu, M., Wilkes, M., & Barkana, A. (2005). Discriminative common vectors for face recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 27(1), 4-13.
- Chen, Z. Y., & Muirhead, R. J. (1994). A comparison of robust linear discriminant procedures using projection pursuit methods. *Lecture Notes-Monograph Series*, 24, 163-176.
- Chenouri, S., Steiner, S. H., & Mulayath, A. (2009). A multivariate robust control chart for individual observations, *Journal of Quality Technology*, 41(3), 259-271.
- Cheng, G., Li, X., Lai, P., Song, F., & Yu, J. (2016). Robust rank screening for

- ultrahigh dimensional discriminant analysis. *Statistics and Computing*, 27(2), 535–545.
- Cohen, J., Cohen, P., West, S. G., & Aiken, L. S. (2013). *Applied multiple regression/correlation analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Routledge.
- Croux, C., & Dehon, C. (2001). Robust linear discriminant analysis using S-estimators. *The Canadian Journal of Statistics*, 29(3), 473–492.
- Croux, C., & Rousseeuw, P. J. (1992). *Time-efficient algorithms for two highly robust estimators of scale*. In *Computational Statistics*, pp. 411-428, Physica-Verlag HD.
- Dabney, A. R., & Storey, J. D. (2007). Normalization of two-channel microarrays accounting for experimental design and intensity-dependent relationships. *Genome Biology*, 8(3), R44.
- Damico, J. S., Nettleton, S. K., Damico, H. L., & Nelson, R. L. (2014). Discriminant validity with a direct observational assessment system: Research with previously identified groups. *Clinical Linguistics & Phonetics*, 28(7–8), 617–626.
- Davies, P.L. (1987). Asymptotic behaviour of S-estimators of multivariate location parameters and dispersion matrices. *The Annals of Statistics*, 15, 1269-1292.
- Ella, R., Van Aelst, S. & Williem, G. (2009). The minimum weighted covariance determinant estimator. *Metrika*, 70, 177-204.
- Ender, P. (2014, July). Profile Analysis. In *2014 Stata Conference* (No. 1). Stata Users Group.
- Estoup, A., Lombaert, E., Marin, J. M., Guillemaud, T., Pudlo, P., Robert, C. P., & Cornuet, J. M. (2012). Estimation of demo-genetic model probabilities with Approximate Bayesian Computation using linear discriminant analysis on summary statistics. *Molecular ecology resources*, 12(5), 846-855.
- Feng, J., Xu, H., & Mannor, S. (2014). Distributed Robust Learning. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1409.5937*.
- Fidler, S., & Leonardis, A. (2003, June). *Robust LDA classification by subsampling*. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition Workshop, 2003. CVPRW'03. Conference on* (Vol. 8, pp. 97-97). IEEE.
- Filzmoser, P., & Todorov, V. (2013). Robust tools for the imperfect world. *Information Sciences*, 245, 4-20.
- Fisher, R. A. (1936). The use of multiple measurements in taxonomic problems. *Annals of Eugenics*, 7(2), 179–188.
- Fukunaga, K. (2013). *Introduction to statistical pattern recognition*. Academic press.
- Fung, W. K. (1995). Diagnostics in linear discriminant analysis. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 90(431), 952–956.
- Fung, W. K. (1996). Diagnosing influential observations in quadratic discriminant analysis. *Biometrics*, 52(4), 1235–1241.
- Gao, H., & Davis, J. W. (2006). Why direct LDA is not equivalent to LDA. *Pattern*

- Recognition*, 39(5), 1002–1006.
- Guh, R. S., Shiue, Y. R., & Yu, F. J. (2014). Real-time monitoring of the quality of multivariate processes with a SVM based classifier ensemble approach. *Journal of Quality*, 21(6), 427-454.
- Haddad, F. S. (2013). *Statistical Process Control Using Modified Robust Hotelling's T² Control Charts*. Unpublished Ph.D. thesis, Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- Haddad, F. S., Syed-Yahaya, S. S., & Alfaro, J. L. (2012). Alternative Hotelling's T² charts using winsorized modified one-step M-estimator. *Quality and Reliability Engineering International*, 29(4), 583–593.
- Hampel, F. R. (2001). Robust statistics: A brief introduction and overview. In *First International Symposium on Robust Statistics and Fuzzy Techniques in Geodesy and GIS.*, 295, 3-17.
- Hampel, F. R. (1971). A general qualitative definition of robustness. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 1887-1896.
- Hampel, F. R. (1974). The influence curve and its role in robust estimation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 69(346), 383-393.
- Hampel, F. R. (1985). The Breakdown Points of the Mean Combined with Some Rejection Rules. *Technometrics*, 27(2), 95.
- Härdle, W. K., & Simar, L. (2012). Applied multivariate statistical analysis. *Springer Science & Business Media*.
- Harlow, L. L. (2014). *The essence of multivariate thinking: Basic themes and methods*. Routledge.
- Hastie, T., Buja, A., & Tibshirani, R. (1995). Penalized discriminant analysis. *The Annals of Statistics*, 73-102.
- Hawkins, D. M. (1980). *Identification of Outliers*. London, Chapman and Hall.
- Hintze, J. L. (2008). Quick start manual. *PASS Power Analysis and Sample Size System*, NCSS, Kaysville, Utah.
- Huber, P. J. (1964). Robust Estimation of a Location Parameter. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 35(1), 73–101.
- Hubert, M., & Van Driessen, K. (2004). Fast and robust discriminant analysis. *Computational Statistics and Data Analysis*, 45, 301–320.
- Hubert, M., Rousseeuw, P. J., & Van Aelst, S. (2008). High-Breakdown Robust Multivariate Methods. *Statistical Science*, 23(1), 92–119.
- Iglewics, B., Martinez, J. (1982), Outlier Detection using robust measures of scale. *Journal of Statistical Computation and Simulation*, 15, 285-293.
- Jensen, W. A., Birch, J. B. & Woodall, W. H. (2007). High breakdown estimation methods for phase I multivariate control charts. *Quality Reliability Engineering International*, 23, 615-629.
- Jin, J. & An, J. (2011). Robust discriminant analysis and its application to identify protein coding regions of rice genes. *Mathematical Biosciences*, 232, 96-100.

- Jin, X., Zhao, M., Chow, T. W., & Pecht, M. (2014). Motor bearing fault diagnosis using trace ratio linear discriminant analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Industrial Electronics*, 61(5), 2441-2451.
- Johnson, R. (1992). *Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis*. Prentice Hall.
- Joossens, K. (2006). *Robust Discriminant Analysis*. Leuven, K. U. Leuven, Faculteit Economische en Toegepaste Economische Wetenschappen, 2006.
- Kao, L. J., Lee, C. F., & Tai, T. (2015). Discriminant analysis and factor analysis: Theory and method. *Handbook of Financial Econometrics and Statistics*, 2461-2476.
- Keselman, H. J., Wilcox, R. R., Algina, J., Othman, A. R., & Fradette, K. (2008). A comparative study of robust tests for spread: Asymmetric trimming strategies. *British Journal of Mathematical & Statistical Psychology*, 61, 235-253.
- Keselman, H. J., Wilcox, R. R., Othman, A. R., Fradette, K., & Wilcox, R. R. (2002). Trimming, transforming statistics, and bootstrapping: circumventing the biasing effects of heteroscedasticity and nonnormality. *Journal of Modern Applied Statistical Methods*, 1(2), 288-309.
- Khan Mohammadi, M., Garmarudi, A. B., & De La Guardia, M. (2013). Feature selection strategies for quality screening of diesel samples by infrared spectrometry and linear discriminant analysis. *Talanta*, 104, 128-134.
- Kim, H. C., Kim, D., & Bang, S. Y. (2001). A PCA mixture model with an efficient model selection method. *International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, 430-435.
- Kim, H. C., Kim, D., & Bang, S. Y. (2003). Face recognition using LDA mixture model. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 24(15), 2815-2821.
- Kim, S. J., Magnani, A., & Boyd, S. (2006). Optimal kernel selection in Kernel Fisher discriminant analysis. In *Proceedings of the 23rd International Conference on Machine Learning*, pp. 465-472. ACM.
- Kim, T., & Kittler, J. (2005). Locally linear discriminant analysis for multi-modally distributed classes for face recognition with a single model image. *Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions*, 27(3), 318-327.
- Klaus, B. (2013). Effect size estimation and misclassification rate based variable selection in linear discriminant analysis. *Journal of Data Science*, 11(2013), 537-558.
- Lee, S., & Choi, W. S. (2013). A multi-industry bankruptcy prediction model using back-propagation neural network and multivariate discriminant analysis. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40(8), 2941-2946.
- Li, C., Shao, Y., & Deng, N. (2015). Robust L1-norm two-dimensional linear discriminant analysis. *Neural Networks*, 65, 92-104.
- Li, M., & Yuan, B. (2005). 2D-LDA: A statistical linear discriminant analysis for image matrix. *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 26(5), 527-532.
- Li, T., Zhu, S., & Ogihara, M. (2006). Using discriminant analysis for multi-class

- classification: An experimental investigation. *Knowledge and Information Systems*, 10(4), 453–472.
- Lim, Y. F., Yahaya, S. S. S., & Ali, H. (2016). Winsorization on linear discriminant analysis. In *Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Quantitative Sciences and Its Applications*, 0500101-0500107.
- Lim, Y. F., Yahaya, S. S. S., Idris, F., Ali, H., & Omar, Z. (2014). Robust linear discriminant models to solve financial crisis in banking sectors. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Quantitative Sciences and Its Applications*, 798(Icoqsia), 794–798.
- Loog, M., Duin R. P. W., & Haeb-Umbach R. (2001). Multiclass linear dimension reduction by weighted pairwise fisher criteria. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 23(7), 762 – 766.
- Lopuhaa, H.P. (1989). On the relation between S- estimators and M-estimators of multivariate location and covariance. *The Annals of Statistics*, 17, 1662-1683.
- Lu, C. D., Zhang, T. Y., Du, X. Z., & Li, C. P. (2004, August). A robust kernel PCA algorithm. In *Machine Learning and Cybernetics. Proceedings of 2004 International Conference*, 5, 3084-3087.
- Lu, C., Zhang, T., Zhang, R., & Zhang, C. (2003). Adaptive robust kernel PCA algorithm. *Communication*, 621–624.
- Mahir, R. A., & Al-Khazaleh, A. M. H. (2009). New method to estimate missing data by using the asymmetrical winsorized mean in a time series. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 3(35), 1715-1726
- Maronna, R. A. R. D., Martin, D., & Yohai, V. (2006). *Robust statistics* (pp. 978-0). John Wiley & Sons, Chichester. ISBN.
- Maronna, R. A., & Zamar, R. H. (2012). Robust estimates of location and dispersion for high-dimensional datasets. *Technometrics*.
- Maronna, R. A., Stahel, W. A., & Yohai, V. J. (1992). Bias-robust multivariate scatter estimators of based on projections . *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 42(1), 141-161.
- Maronna, R.A. (1976). Robust M-estimators of multivariate location and scatter. *The Annal of Statistics*, 4, 51-67.
- Martínez, A. M., & Kak, A. C. (2001). Pca versus LDA. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 23(2), 228-233.
- McGarigal, K., Cushman, S. A., & Stafford, S. (2013). *Multivariate statistics for wildlife and ecology research. Springer Science & Business Media*.
- McLachlan, G. (2004). *Discriminant analysis and statistical pattern recognition. John Wiley & Sons*.
- Mohammadi M., Midi, H., Arasan, J. & Al-Talib, B. (2011). High breakdown estimators to robustify phase II control charts. *Applied Sciences*, 11 (3), 503-511
- Morrison, D. F. (1976). *Multivariate statistical methods*. New York: McGraw Hill.

- Nkiruka, E., Onyeagu, S., & Okeke, J. U. (2015). Discriminant analysis by projection pursuit. *Global Journal of Science Frontier Research*, 15(6).
- Okwonu, F. Z., & Othman, A. R. (2013). Heteroscedastic variance covariance matrices for unbiased two groups linear classification methods. *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, 7(138), 6855–6865.
- Othman, A. R., Keselman, H. J., Padmanabhan, A. R., Wilcox, R. R., & Fradette, K. (2004). Comparing measures of the “ typical ” score across treatment groups. *British Journal of Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*. 57, 215 - 234.
- Pei C. W. (2002). *The central limit theorem and comparing means, trimmed means, one step M-estimators and modified one step M-estimators under non normality*. Southern of California, Los Angeles, California.
- Pena, D., & Prieto, J. F. (2001). Multivariate outlier detection and robust covariance matrix estimation. *Technometrics*, 3, 286-322.
- Peña, D., & Prieto, J F. (2001). Multivariate outlier detection and robust covariance matrix estimation. *Technometrics August*, 43(3), 286–310.
- Pires, A. M., & Branco, J. A. (2010). Projection-pursuit approach to robust linear discriminant analysis. *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, 101(10), 2464–2485.
- Pohar, M., Blas, M., & Turk, S. (2004). Comparison of Logistic regression and linear discriminant analysis : A Simulation study. *Metodoloski zvezki*, 1(1), 143–161.
- Poulsen, J., & French, A. (2003). Discriminant function analysis (DA). Retrieved from <http://userwww.sfsu.edu/~efc/classes/biol710/discrim/discrim.pdf>.
- Press, S. J. (2012). *Applied multivariate analysis: using Bayesian and frequentist methods of inference*. Courier Corporation.
- Randles, R. H., Brofitt, J. D, Ramberg, J. S. & Hogg. R. V. (1978). Discriminant analysis based on ranks. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 73: 379-384.
- Rao, C. R. (1948). The utilization of multiple measurements in problems of biological classification. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 10(2), 159-203.
- Raschka, S. (2014) Linear Discriminant Analysis– bit by bit, retrieved from http://sebastianraschka.com/Articles/2014_python_lda.html
- Reed, J. F., & Stark, D. B. (1996). Hinge estimators of location: Robust to asymmetry. *Computer methods and programs in biomedicine*, 49(1), 11-17.
- Rousseeuw, P. J. (1984). Least median of squares regression. *Journal of the American statistical association*, 79(388), 871-880.
- Rousseeuw, P.J. (1985). Multivariate estimators with high breakdown point. *Mathematical Statistics and its Applications*, B, 283-297.
- Rousseeuw, P. J. (1991). Tutorial to robust statistics. *Journal of Chemometrics*, 5(1), 1-20.
- Rousseeuw, P. J., & Croux, C. (1992). Explicit scale estimators with high breakdown

- point. *LI-Statistical Analysis and Related Methods*, 1, 77-92.
- Rousseeuw, P. J., & Croux, C. (1993). Alternatives to the median absolute deviation. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 88(424), 1273-1283.
- Rousseeuw, P. J., & Leroy, A. M. (1987). *Robust regression and outlier detection*. New York: John Wiley.
- Rousseeuw, P.J. and Van Driessen, K. (1999) A fast algorithm for the minimum covariance determinant estimator. *Technometrics*, 41: 212-223.
- Rousseeuw, P.J., & Van Zomeren, B.C. (1990). Unmasking multivariate outliers and leverage points. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*. 85(411), 633-651.
- Sajtos, L., & Mitev, A. (2007). SPSS research and data analysis handbook. *Alinea, Budapest*, 454-458.
- Santos, F., Guyomarc'h, P., & Bruzek, J. (2014). Statistical sex determination from craniometrics: Comparison of linear discriminant analysis, logistic regression, and support vector machines. *Forensic science international*, 245, 204-e1.
- Staudte, R. G., & Sheather, S. J. (1990). Robust estimation and testing: Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics. *New York*.
- Stevens, J. P. (2012). *Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences*. Routledge.
- Stone, M. (1974). Cross-validatory choice and assessment of statistical predictions. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 111-147.
- Swets, D. L., & Weng, J. J. (1996). Using discriminant eigenfeatures for image retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 18(8), 831-836.
- Sajtos, L., & Mitev, A. (2007). SPSS research and data analysis handbook. *Alinea, Budapest*, 454-458.
- Santos, F., Guyomarc'h, P., & Bruzek, J. (2014). Statistical sex determination from craniometrics: Comparison of linear discriminant analysis, logistic regression, and support vector machines. *Forensic Science International*, 245, 204-e1.
- Shao, J., & Tu, D. (2012). *The jackknife and bootstrap*. Springer Science & Business Media.
- Shao, J., Wang, Y., Deng, X., & Wang, S. (2011). Sparse linear discriminant analysis by thresholding for high dimensional data. *The Annals of Statistics*, 39(2), 1241-1265.
- Staudte, R. G., & Sheather, S. J. (1990). Robust estimation and testing: Wiley series in probability and mathematical statistics. *New York*.
- Stevens, J. P. (2012). *Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences*. Routledge.
- Stone, M. (1974). Cross-validatory choice and assessment of statistical

- predictions. *Journal of the Royal Statistical Society. Series B (Methodological)*, 111-147.
- Swets D. L. & Weng, J. (1996). Using Discriminant Eigenfeatures for Image Retrieval. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 18(8):831 – 837.
- Tang, E. K., Suganthan, P. N., Yao, X., & Qin, A. K. (2005). Linear dimensionality reduction using relevance weighted LDA. *Pattern recognition*, 38, 485–493.
- Teknomo, K. (2015). Discriminant Analysis Tutorial. <http://people.revoledu.com/kardi/tutorial/LDA/>
- Tiku, M. L., & Balakrishnan, N. (1984). Robust multivariate classification procedures based on the MML estimators. *Communications in Statistics-Theory and Methods*, 13(8), 967-986.
- Todorov, V., & Pires, A. M. (2007). Comparative performance of several robust linear discriminant analysis methods. *REVSTAT Statistical Journal*, 5, 63-83.
- Torre, F., & Black, M. J. (2001). Robust principal component analysis for computer vision. *In Computer Vision. ICCV 2001. Proceedings. Eighth IEEE International Conference*, 1, 362-369.
- Uray, M. (2008). *Incremental, Robust, and Efficient Linear Discriminant Analysis Learning*. Published Ph.D. thesis, Graz University of Technology.
- Vapnik, V. (2013). The nature of statistical learning theory. *Springer Science & Business Media*.
- Werner, M. (2003). *Identification of multivariate outliers in large data sets*. PhD thesis, University of Colorado, Denver.
- Wilcox, R. (1997). Introduction to robust estimation and hypothesis testing. *Statistical modeling and decision science*.
- Wilcox, R. & Keselman, H. J. (2003). Repeated measures ANOVA based on a modified one-step M-estimator. *British Mathematical and Statistical Psychology*, 56(1):15–25.
- Wilcox, R. (2002). Multiple comparisons among dependent groups based on a modified one -step M-estimator. *Biometrical*, 44, 466-477.
- Wilcox, R. R., & Keselman, H. J. (2003). Modern robust data analysis methods: measures of central tendency. *Psychological methods*, 8(3), 254.
- Xao, O. G., Yahaya, S. S. S., Abdullah, S., & Yusof, Z. M. (2014). H-statistic with winsorized modified one-step M-estimator for two independent groups design. *Germination of Mathematical Sciences Education and Research towards Global Sustainability*, 1605 (1), 928-931.
- Yahaya, S. S. S. (2005). *Robust statistical procedures for testing the equality of central tendency parameters under skewed distributions*. Unpublished Ph. D. Thesis, Universiti Sains Malaysia.
- Yahaya, S. S. S., Ali, H., & Omar, Z. (2011). An alternative hotelling T^2 control chart based on minimum vector variance (MVV). *Modern Applied Science*, 5(4), 132–

- Yahaya, S. S. S., Lim, Y. F., Ali, H., & Omar, Z. (2016a). Robust linear discriminant analysis. *Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 12(4), 312-316.
- Yahaya, S. S. S., Lim, Y. F., Ali, H., & Omar, Z. (2016b). Robust linear discriminant analysis with automatic trimmed mean. *Electronic and Computer Engineering*, 8(10), 1-3.
- Yahaya, S. S. S., Otluna, A. R., & Iiesehan, H. J. (2004). Testing the equality of location parameters for skewed distributions using S1 with high breakdown robust scale estimators, *In Theory and Applications of Recent Robust Methods*, (pp. 319-328). Birkhäuser Basel.
- Yahaya, S. S. S., Othman, A. R., & Keselman, H. J. (2006). Comparing the typical score across independent groups based on different criteria for trimming. *Metodoloski zvezki*, 3(1), 49-62.
- Yan, H., & Dai, Y. (2011). The comparison of five discriminant methods. *In Management and Service Science (MASS), 2011 International Conference, 1-4. IEEE*.
- Yan, Y., Ricci, E., Subramanian, R., Liu, G., & Sebe, N. (2014). Multitask linear discriminant analysis for view invariant action recognition. *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 23(12), 5599-5611.
- Yang, J. & Yang, J. Y. (2003). Why can LDA be performed in PCA transformed space? *Pattern Recognition*, 36, 563 – 566.
- Yang, J., Frangi, A. F., Yang, J. Y., Zhang, D., & Jin, Z. (2005). KPCA plus LDA: A complete kernel Fisher discriminant framework for feature extraction and recognition. *IEEE Transactions on pattern analysis and machine intelligence*, 27(2), 230-244.
- Yang, J., Jin, Z., Yang, J. Y., Zhang, D., & Frangi, A. F. (2004). Essence of kernel Fisher discriminant: KPCA plus LDA. *Pattern Recognition*, 37(10), 2097–2100.
- Yu, H., & Yang, J. (2001). A direct LDA algorithm for high-dimensional data with application to face recognition. *Pattern Recognition*, 34(10), 2067–2070.
- Yu, J. (2011). Localized Fisher discriminant analysis based complex chemical process monitoring. *AIChE Journal*, 57(7), 1817-1828.
- Yu, S. X., & Shi, J. (2003). Multiclass spectral clustering. *Proceedings Ninth IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, 1(1), 313–319.
- Yusof, Z. M., Abdullah, S., Yahaya, S. S. S., & Othman, A. R. (2011). Type I error rates of Ft statistic with different trimming strategies for two groups case. *Modern Applied Science*, 5(4), 236–242.
- Yusof, Z. M., Othman, A. R., & Yahaya, S. S. S. (2010). Comparison of type I error rates between T1 and Ft statistics for unequal population variance using variable trimming. *Malaysian Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 4(2), 195–207.
- Zollanvari, A., & Dougherty, E. R. (2015). Generalized consistent error estimator of linear discriminant analysis. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 63(11),

2804–2814.

Zuo, Y. (2006). The Frontiers in Statistics. In Peter Bickel on his 65th Birthday, *Robust location and scatter estimators in multivariate analysis*. Imperial College Press.



Appendix A

Program Calculates the Value of the Robust Scale Estimator Q_n

```
function Result=Qn(X)
[s1 s2]=size(X);
dist=zeros(s1,s2);
count=0;
for i=1:s1
    for j=1:s1
        if i<j
            count=count+1;
            dist(count,s2)=abs(X(i,s2)-X(j,s2));
        end
    end
end
end
sortdist=sort(dist);
h=floor(s1/2)+1;
k=nchoosek(h,2);
Result=sortdist(k,s2)*2.2219;
```



Appendix B

Programs for Calculates Modified One-Step M-Estimator $RLDA_{MQ}$ and Winsorized Modified One-Step M-Estimator $RLDA_{WMQ}$ Sample with the scale estimator Q_n

```
1- Program calculates the  $RLDA_{MQ}$ 
function Result=MOM_Qn_sample(Y)
[S1 S2]=size(Y);
if S2>1
    disp('error Only vectors not coulumns or Matrices');
return;
end

Med=median(Y);
QN= Qn(Y);
const = 2.24;
Low=-const*QN;
High=const*QN;
k=0;
for i=1:S1,
    if ((Y(i) - Med) >= Low) && ((Y(i) - Med) <= High)
        k= k+1;
    end
end
X = zeros(k,S2);
k=1;
for i=1:S1
    if ((Y(i) - Med) >= Low) && ((Y(i) - Med) <= High)
        X(i) = Y(i);
        k= k+1;
    else
        X(i)=nan;
    end
end
end

Result=X;
```

```
2- Program calculates the  $RLDA_{WMQ}$ 
function Result=WQn_sample(Y)
[S1 S2]=size(Y);
if S2>1
    disp('error Only vectors not coulumns or Matrices');
return;
end

Med=median(Y);
QN= Qn(Y);
const = 2.24;
Low=-const*QN;
```

```

High=const*QN;
k=0;
for i=1:S1,
    if ((Y(i) - Med) >= Low) && ((Y(i) - Med) <= High)
        k= k+1;
    end
end
X = zeros(k,S2);
k=1;
for i=1:S1
    if ((Y(i) - Med) >= Low) && ((Y(i) - Med) <= High)
        X(i) = Y(i);
        k= k+1;
    end
end
Max = max(X);
Min = min(X);
for i=1:S1
    if ((Y(i) - Med) < Low)
        X(i) = Min;
    elseif((Y(i) - Med) > High)
        X(i) = Max;
    end
end
Result=X;

```



UUM
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Appendix C

Programs for Simulation Study

1- Programs for Simulation RLDA_{MQ}

```
function result = simulation_MOM_Qn
clear all;
start_time = cputime;

N1=2000;
N2=2000;
n1=20;
n2=20;
p1=2;
err = 0.4;
R=2000;

misc1 = zeros(R,1);

for r=1:R

    seed1 = 12954+r;
    randn('seed',seed1);

    G1=randn(N1,p1);
    G2=1+2*randn(N2,p1);

    V1 = repmat(1:1, [N1 1]);
    V2 = repmat(2:2, [N2 1]);

    test_data=[G1 V1
               G2 V2];

    [n,p] = size(test_data);

    seed = 3984+r;
    randn('seed',seed);

    X1=[randn((1-err)*n1,p1)
        3+randn(err*n1,p1)];
    X2=[1+2*randn((1-err)*n2,p1)
        -2+2*(randn(err*n2,p1))];

    MS_Qn1 = zeros(n1,p1);
    MS_Qn2 = zeros(n2,p1);
    Qn_X1=zeros(1,p1);
    Qn_X2=zeros(1,p1);

    for i=1:p1
        MS_Qn1(1:n1,i) = MOM_Qn_sample(X1(1:n1,i));
```



```

MS_Qn2(1:n2,i) = MOM_Qn_sample(X2(1:n2,i));
end

dim = p-1;
a = log (n2/n1);

for i=1:p1
    Qn_X1(i) = Qn(X1(1:n1,i));
    Qn_X2(i) = Qn(X2(1:n2,i));
end

Product_Qn_X1=Qn_X1*Qn_X1;
Product_Qn_X2=Qn_X2*Qn_X2;

mu1 = nanmean(MS_Qn1); mu2 = nanmean(MS_Qn2);
cov1 = corr(X1,'type','Spearman').*Product_Qn_X1;
cov2 = corr(X2,'type','Spearman').*Product_Qn_X2;

sigma = ((n1-1)*cov1+(n2-1)*cov2)/(n1+n2-2);
linear = (mu1-mu2)/sigma;
constant = 1/2*linear*(mu1+mu2)';
scores = linear*test_data(1:n,1:dim)' - constant ;

group = (scores < a) + 1;
misc1(r) = mean(group ~= test_data(:,p)');
end

end_time = cputime;

result.average_MOM_Qn_misc1 = mean(misc1);
result.std_dev_MOM_Qn_misc1 = std(misc1);
result.exec_time = end_time-start_time;

```

2- Programs for Simulation RLDA_{WMQ}

```

function result = simulation_WMOM_Qn
clear all;
start_time = cputime;

N1=2000;
N2=2000;
n1=50;
n2=20;
p1=2;
err = 0.4;
R=2000;

misc1 = zeros(R,1);

for r=1:R

```

```

seed1 = 12954+r;
randn('seed',seed1);

G1=randn(N1,p1);
G2=1+2*randn(N2,p1);

V1 = repmat(1:1, [N1 1]);
V2 = repmat(2:2, [N2 1]);

test_data=[G1 V1
            G2 V2];

[n,p] = size(test_data);

seed = 3984+r;
randn('seed',seed);

X1=[randn((1-err)*n1,p1)
    3+randn(err*n1,p1)];
X2=[1+2*randn((1-err)*n2,p1)
    -2+2*(randn(err*n2,p1))];

WG1 = zeros(n1,p1);
WG2 = zeros(n2,p1);

for i=1:p1
    WG1(1:n1,i) = WQn_sample(X1(1:n1,i));
    WG2(1:n2,i) = WQn_sample(X2(1:n2,i));
end

dim = p-1;
a = log (n2/n1);

mu1 = mean(WG1); mu2 = mean(WG2);
cov1 = cov(WG1); cov2 = cov(WG2);

sigma = ((n1-1)*cov1+(n2-1)*cov2)/(n1+n2-2);
linear = (mu1-mu2)/sigma;
constant = 1/2*linear*(mu1+mu2)';
scores = linear*test_data(1:n,1:dim)' - constant ;

group = (scores < a) + 1;
misc1(r) = mean(group ~= test_data(:,p)');
end

end_time = cputime;

result.average_WMOM_Qn_misc1 =mean(misc1);
result.std_dev_WMOM_Qn_misc1 =std(misc1);
result.exec_time = end_time-start_time;

```

Appendix D

Programs for Real Data

1- Programs for Real Data RLDA_{MQ}

```
[n,p] = size(datafull);
[N,P] = size(datafull);

dim = p-1;
Dim = P-1;

X1 = datafull(datafull(:,p)==1,1:dim);
X2 = datafull(datafull(:,p)==2,1:dim);
n1 = size(X1,1);
n2 = size(X2,1);
a = log (n2/n1);
MS_Qn1 = zeros(n1,dim);
MS_Qn2 = zeros(n2,dim);
Qn_X1=zeros(1,dim);
Qn_X2=zeros(1,dim);

for i=1:dim
    MS_Qn1(1:n1,i) = MOM_Qn_sample(X1(1:n1,i));
    MS_Qn2(1:n2,i) = MOM_Qn_sample(X2(1:n2,i));
end

for i=1:dim
    Qn_X1(i) = Qn(X1(1:n1,i));
    Qn_X2(i) = Qn(X2(1:n2,i));
end

Product_Qn_X1=Qn_X1*Qn_X1;
Product_Qn_X2=Qn_X2*Qn_X2;

mu1 = nanmean(MS_Qn1); mu2 = nanmean(MS_Qn2);
cov1 = corr(X1,'type','Spearman').*Product_Qn_X1;
cov2 = corr(X2,'type','Spearman').*Product_Qn_X2;

sigma = ((n1-1)*cov1+(n2-1)*cov2)/(n1+n2-2);
linear = (mu1-mu2)/(sigma);
constant = 0.5*linear*(mu1+mu2)';
scores = linear*datafull(1:N,1:Dim)' - constant ;
group = (scores < a) + 1;
misc1 = mean(group ~= datafull(:,P));
```

2- Programs for Real Data RLDA_{WMQ}

```
[n,p] = size(datafull);
[N,P] = size(datafull);

dim = p-1;
Dim = P-1;

X1 = data27(data27(:,p)==1,1:dim);
X2 = data27(data27(:,p)==2,1:dim);
n1 = size(X1,1);
```

```

n2 = size(X2,1);
a = log (n2/n1);
WG1 = zeros(n1,dim);
WG2 = zeros(n2,dim);

for i=1:dim
    WG1(1:n1,i) = WQn_sample(X1(1:n1,i));
    WG2(1:n2,i) = WQn_sample(X2(1:n2,i));
end

mu1 = mean(WG1); mu2 = mean(WG2);
cov1 = cov(WG1); cov2 = cov(WG2);

sigma = ((n1-1)*cov1+(n2-1)*cov2)/(n1+n2-2);
linear = (mu1-mu2)/(sigma);
constant = 0.5*linear*(mu1+mu2)';
scores = linear*datafull(1:N,1:Dim)' - constant ;
group = (scores < a) + 1;
misc1 = mean(group ~= datafull(:,P)');

```

