

The copyright © of this thesis belongs to its rightful author and/or other copyright owner. Copies can be accessed and downloaded for non-commercial or learning purposes without any charge and permission. The thesis cannot be reproduced or quoted as a whole without the permission from its rightful owner. No alteration or changes in format is allowed without permission from its rightful owner.



**IMPACT OF DEFENCE SPENDING, INTERNAL THREAT,  
POLITICAL INSTABILITY AND ARMS IMPORTATION  
ON ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA**

**MUHAMMED AMINU UMAR**



**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA  
October 2016**

**IMPACT OF DEFENCE SPENDING, INTERNAL THREATS, POLITICAL  
INSTABILITY AND ARMS IMPORTATION ON ECONOMIC GROWTH  
IN NIGERIA**

**By**



**MUHAMMED AMINU UMAR**

**UUM**  
**Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**Thesis Submitted to  
School of Economics, Finance and Banking,  
Universiti Utara Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**



**Kolej Perniagaan**  
(College of Business)  
**Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**PERAKUAN KERJA TESIS / DISERTASI**  
(Certification of thesis / dissertation)

Kami, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa  
(We, the undersigned, certify that)

**AMINU UMAR MUHAMMED**

calon untuk Ijazah **DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY**  
(candidate for the degree of)

telah mengemukakan tesis / disertasi yang bertajuk:  
(has presented his/her thesis / dissertation of the following title):

**IMPACT OF DEFENCE SPENDING, INTERNAL THREAT, POLITICAL INSTABILITY AND ARMS IMPORTATION  
ON ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NIGERIA**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit tesis / disertasi.  
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the thesis / dissertation).

Bahawa tesis/disertasi tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan, sebagaimana yang ditunjukkan oleh calon dalam ujian lisan yang diadakan pada:  
**11 Ogos 2016.**

(That the said thesis/dissertation is acceptable in form and content and displays a satisfactory knowledge of the field of study as demonstrated by the candidate through an oral examination held on:  
**11 August 2016).**

Pengerusi Viva : **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Hussin Abdullah**  
(Chairman for Viva)

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Luar : **Prof. Dr. Muzafar Shah Habibullah**  
(External Examiner)

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Dalam : **Assoc. Prof. Dr. Nor' Aznin Abu Bakar**  
(Internal Examiner)

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Tarikh: **11 August 2016**  
(Date)

Nama Pelajar : Aminu Umar Muhammed  
(Name of Student)

Tajuk Tesis / Disertasi : Impact of Defence Spending, Internal Threat, Political Instability and  
(Title of the Thesis / Dissertation) Arms Importation on Economic Growth in Nigeria

Program Pengajian : Doctor of Philosophy  
(Programme of Study)

Nama Penyelia/Penyelia-penyelia : Dr. Abu Sufian Abu Bakar  
(Name of Supervisor/Supervisors)

  
Tandatangan



**UUM**  
Universiti Utara Malaysia

## **PERMISSION TO USE**

In presenting this thesis in fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Universiti Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for the copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of School of Economics, Finance and Banking. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

Dean of School of Economics, Finance and Banking  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
06010 UUM Sintok  
Kedah Darul Aman



## ABSTRACT

The existence of internal threat, political instability and arms importation has led to the rise in defence expenditure in Nigeria. Whether defence expenditure, with or without threat has a benign or malign impact on the economic growth, is a matter that needs rigorous academic investigation. The objective of this study include examining the impacts of defence expenditure on economic growth in the presence of threats, political instability and arms importation in Nigeria. It also examines the impacts of defence research and development, defence components on the Nigeria's economic growth. In addition it examines the asymmetric causal relationship between defence expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria. Using the robust Autoregressive Distributive Lag (ARDL) model, and asymmetric causality approach. The results reveal that defence expenditure-internal threat and defence-political instability interactions both have positive and significant impacts on economic growth. On the contrary, it reveals that defence arms import interaction has a significant and negative impact on growth in Nigeria. However, the impact of defence Research and Development on economic growth it is not significant as a result of insufficient funding. The result furthermore found that the causation between defence expenditure on economic growth in Nigeria is unidirectional from defence to economic growth. This implies that defence expenditure stimulates growth during the time of threat and civil unrest. The study recommends a revisit on the funding of defence sector in Nigeria. The current defence budget is grossly inadequate for the defence, considering the threats in Nigeria since it independence and recent threats such as the "Boko Haram" and Niger Delta Militancy among others. Regarding the defence R&D, proper funding, as well as management should be considered on Defence Industrial Cooperation of Nigeria to avoid over dependence on foreign sources.

**Keywords:** defence, internal threat, economic growth, autoregressive distributive lag model

## ABSTRAK

Kewujudan ancaman dalaman, ketidakstabilan politik, dan pengimportan senjata telah meningkatkan perbelanjaan pertahanan di Nigeria. Sama ada perbelanjaan pertahanan, dengan atau tanpa ancaman mempunyai kesan benigna atau buruk terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi, adalah satu perkara yang memerlukan siasatan akademik yang padu. Kajian ini mengkaji kesan perbelanjaan pertahanan terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi dalam situasi wujudnya ancaman, ketidakstabilan politik, dan pengimportan senjata di Nigeria. Ia juga menyiasat impak penyelidikan dan pembangunan pertahanan, iaitu komponen pertahanan, terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi Nigeria. Selain itu, ia turut menilai hubungan sebab dan akibat yang asimetri antara perbelanjaan pertahanan dan pertumbuhan ekonomi di Nigeria. Menggunakan model Autoregresif Lag Teredar (ARDL) yang jitu dan pendekatan sebab akibat asimetri, keputusan kajian mendedahkan bahawa interaksi perbelanjaan pertahanan-ancaman dalaman dan pertahanan-ketidakstabilan politik mempunyai kesan positif dan signifikan terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi. Sebaliknya, interaksi import senjata pertahanan mempunyai kesan yang signifikan dan negatif terhadap pertumbuhan. Walau bagaimanapun, kesan penyelidikan pertahanan dan pembangunan terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi tidak signifikan akibat pembiayaan yang tidak mencukupi. Hasil kajian juga mendapati kesan perbelanjaan pertahanan terhadap pertumbuhan ekonomi adalah satu arah, yang menunjukkan bahawa perbelanjaan pertahanan merangsang pertumbuhan pada zaman ancaman dan rusuhan awam. Kajian ini mencadangkan penerokaan semula terhadap pembiayaan sektor pertahanan di Nigeria. Bajet pertahanan semasa adalah tidak memadai untuk pertahanan disebabkan oleh ancaman di Nigeria sejak kemerdekaan dan ancaman baru-baru ini seperti Boko Haram dan Militan Delta Niger antara lainnya. Mengenai penyelidikan dan pembangunan pertahanan, pembiayaan yang betul serta pengurusan perlu mempertimbang Kerjasama Pertahanan Industri Nigeria untuk mengelakkan terlalu bergantung kepada sumber luar.

**Kata kunci:** perbelanjaan pertahanan, ancaman dalaman, pertumbuhan ekonomi, model autoregresif lag teredar



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

In the name of Allah the Most Gracious the Most Merciful. All praises are due to Almighty Allah who made it possible for me to undertake and complete this research task. May peace and blessings of Allah be upon the Holy Prophet Muhammad (SAW), his family, companions, and all those who follow them in good deeds till eternity.

I remain grateful to: my supervisor Dr. Abu Sufian Abu Bakar for his valuable guidance that led to the success of this research; the chairman of the thesis examination committee, Associate Prof. Dr. Hussin bin Abdullah; the external examiner, Prof. Dr. Muzafar Shah Habibullah and the internal examiner, Associate Prof. Dr. Nor Aznin Abu Bakar for their invaluable inputs that led to the success of this work. I acknowledge the contribution of Prof Dr. Amir Hussin Baharuddin, Associate Prof. Dr Norehan Abdallah, and Prof. Dr. Fatimah Wati Ibrahim for their comments and suggestions during my doctoral research proposal defense session.

My sincere gratitude goes to the Ministry of Defence (MoD), the Army Headquarters (AHQ) and to all their staff who assist during the process of my release for the study and the period of the data collection.

My heartfelt appreciation goes to my very good wife for her patience my thanks also go to my friends Dr. Umar Mohammed, Dr. Murtala Musa and all others for the unmeasurable assistance they rendered throughout my PhD journey. May Allah reward you all.

Finally, I dedicate this thesis to my late brother Nasir Muhammed Umar who pass away while I'm away for PhD and to my late Mother Halimatu Muhammad (May their gentle souls rest in perfect peace), Amen.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Pages
<b>TITLE PAGE .....</b>	<b>i</b>
<b>CERTIFICATION OF THESIS.....</b>	<b>ii</b>
<b>PERMISSION TO USE.....</b>	<b>iv</b>
<b>ABSTRACT .....</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>ABSTRAK .....</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT .....</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>TABLE OF CONTENTS.....</b>	<b>viii</b>
<b>LIST OF TABLES .....</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>LIST OF FIGURES .....</b>	<b>xii</b>
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS .....</b>	<b>xiii</b>
 <b>CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION .....</b>	 <b>1</b>
1.1 Background of the Study .....	1
1.2 Economic Highlight of Defence in Nigeria.....	15
1.3 Problem Statement.....	27
1.4 Research Questions .....	30
1.5 Research Objectives .....	30
1.6 Significance of the Study.....	30
1.7 Scope of the Study.....	32
1.8 Organization of the Research .....	32
1.9 Conclusion.....	33
 <b>CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	 <b>34</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	34
2.2 Theoretical Frame Work.....	34
2.2.1 Feder-Ram Model .....	34
2.2.2 Standard Neoclassical Model of Demand for Defence.....	37
2.2.3 Augmented Solow Model .....	40
2.2.4 Endogenous/Barro Growth Model.....	45
2.2.5 Wagner's Versus Keynesian Law .....	47
2.3 Empirical Literature Review .....	48
2.4 Gaps in the Literature .....	79
2.5 Conclusion .....	82
 <b>CHAPTER THREE: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY .....</b>	 <b>83</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	83
3.2 Model Specification.....	83
3.2.1 Defence Expenditure and Economic Growth Models .....	83

3.2.2	Research and Development (R&D) Model.....	91
3.2.3	Asymmetric Causality Test.....	97
3.3	Justification of Variables .....	100
3.3.1	Real Gross Domestic Product Per Capita .....	100
3.3.2	Threats .....	100
3.3.3	Defence Expenditure .....	101
3.3.4	Defence Expenditure Threats Interaction .....	101
3.3.5	Political Instability .....	102
3.3.6	Political Instability and Defence Expenditure Interaction .....	103
3.3.7	Defence Research and Development .....	103
3.3.8	Arms Procurement .....	104
3.3.9	Investment.....	105
3.3.10	Labour Force Total .....	106
3.4	Sources of Data.....	106
<b>CHAPTER FOUR: RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS .....</b>		<b>108</b>
4.1	Introduction .....	108
4.2	Preliminary Analysis .....	108
4.2.1	Graphical Plots of the Variables .....	108
4.2.2	Descriptive Statistics .....	109
4.2.3	Correlation Analysis .....	112
4.3	Defence Expenditure-Interaction Terms and Economic Growth .....	116
4.3.1	Unit Root Test.....	116
4.3.2	Test for Cointegration.....	121
4.3.3	Long Run Relationship .....	122
4.3.4	Short Run Relationship.....	129
4.3.5	Diagnostic Analysis .....	134
4.4	Defence Research and Development.....	136
4.4.1	Unit Root Test.....	137
4.4.2	Test for Cointegration.....	138
4.4.3	Long Run Relationship .....	139
4.4.4	Short Run Relationship.....	143
4.4.5	Diagnostic Analysis .....	146
4.5	Asymmetric Causality Analysis .....	148
4.5.1	Unit Root Analysis .....	148
4.5.2	Granger, Toda-Yamamoto Asymmetric Causality .....	149
4.6	Discussion of Results .....	153
4.7	Chapter Summary .....	156
<b>CHAPTER FIVE: SUMMARY, POLICY IMPLICATION AND RECOMMENDATION .....</b>		<b>158</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	158

5.2	Summary of Findings .....	158
5.3	Policy Implication .....	159
5.4	Limitation of the Study.....	162
5.5	Recommendation for Future Research .....	163
5.6	Conclusion.....	164
<b>REFERENCES</b> .....		165
<b>APPENDICES</b> .....		194
Appendix A .....		194
Appendix B .....		196
Appendix C .....		200



**UUM**  
Universiti Utara Malaysia

## LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
Table 1.1 The World 15 Countries with the Highest Defence Expenditure .....	6
Table 1.2 Instances of Internal Security Operations in Nigeria 1960 to date .....	12
Table 1.3 Defence Expenditure, Threats and Foreign Direct Investment in Nigeria ....	23
Table 3.1 Data and Variable Description .....	107
Table 4.1 Summary of Descriptive Statistics .....	114
Table 4.2 Correlation Matrix for Variables .....	115
Table 4.3 Augmented Dickey-Fuller Unit Root Test .....	117
Table 4.4 Phillips and Peron (PP) Unit Root Test .....	120
Table 4.5 ARDL Bound test result for the Long Run Relationship .....	122
Table 4.6 long Run Coefficients .....	115
Table 4.7 Short Run Coefficients .....	131
Table 4.8 Diagnostic Tests .....	135
Table 4.9 ARDL Bound Test Result for the Long run Relationship .....	138
Table 4.10 Long Run Coefficients: Defence Research and Development Model ...	140
Table 4.11 Short Run Coefficients: Defence Research and Development Model ...	145
Table 4.12 Diagnostic Tests .....	146
Table 4.13 Diagnostic Tests .....	150
Table 4.14 Toda-Yamamoto/Granger Causality (Modified WALD) Test Result ...	151

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
Figure 1.1 Trends in World Military Expenditure .....	5
Figure 1.2 Budgetary Allocation to Defence in Nigeria .....	8
Figure 3a Defence Expenditure, Real GDP per Capita in Nigeria.....	18
Figure 3b Political Instability and Real GDP per Capita in Nigeria.....	18
Figure 3c Internal Threat and Real GDP per Capita in Nigeria.....	19
Figure 2.1 The Effect of Defense Expenditure on Economic Growth.....	44
Figure 4.1 Graphical Plots of the Data.....	113
Figure 4.2a Plot of Cumulative Sum of Recursive Residual .....	135
Figure 4.2b Plot of Cumulative Sum of Squares of Recursive Residual .....	136



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADF	Augmented Dickey Fuller
APC	Arewa People's Congress
ARDL	Autoregressive Distributed Lag
BRIC	Britain Russia, India and China
CBN	Central Bank of Nigeria
COMA	Coalition for Militant Action
CUSUM	Cumulative sum of Recursive Residuals
CUSUMQ	Cumulative sum of Recursive Residuals Square
DH	Defence Headquarters
DICON	Defence Industrial Cooperation of Nigeria
ECT	Error Correction Term
FDI	Foreign Direct Investment
GPI	Global Peace Index
ICRG	International Country Risk Guide
IMF	International Monetary Fund
IVF	Iduwini Volunteer Force
LDCs	Less Developed Countries
MASSOB	Movement for the Actualization of the Sovereign State of Biafra
MEND	Movement for the Emancipation of the Niger Delta
MINT	Mexico, Indonesia, Nigeria and Turkey
MoD	Ministry of Defence
MOSOP	Movement for the Survival of the Ogoni People
MSSND	Movement for the Self-governing State of the Niger Delta
NDA	Nigerian Defence Academy
NDCG	Niger Delta Coastal Guerillas
NDMFS	Niger Delta Militant Force Squad
NDPSF	Niger Delta People's Salvation Front
NHIS	National Health Insurance Scheme
NPC	National Planning Commission
OECD	Organization of Economic Cooperation and Development
OPC	Oodua People's Congress
OPEC	Organization Petroleum Exporting Countries
PKO's	Peace Keeping Operations
PPF	Production Possibility Frontier
R&D	Research and Development
SAARC	South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation
SAP	Structural Adjustment Programmes
SIPRI	Stockholm International Peace Research Institute
TCC	Troops Contributing Countries
TRADOC	Training and Doctrine
UN	United Nations
UNAMSIL	United Nations Mission in Sierra Leon

## **CHAPTER ONE**

### **INTRODUCTION**

#### **1.1 Background of the Study**

Economic growth is a much-desired goal of every nation of the world. The need to study economic growth in countries became more attractive at the end of the Second World War. Then it became glaring that some nations experienced growth while others experienced either very minimal or no growth or even negative growth (Easterly, 2001). The search for economic growth started from the works of Adam Smith, who led an enquiry into the 'Wealth of Nations' and Thomas Malthus, who postulated that population growth would affect economic growth. To the view of scholars, such as Lucas (1988) and Rostow (1960), investment in dams, roads and machines would lead to growth in backwards countries. Solow (1956) however, argues that investment in tools would not lead to growth, but it is technological change that would stimulate growth in a weak economy. This debate persists where economists built more sophisticated models in which one or more of the factors are endogenously determined (Todaro & Smith, 2003).

While the search for economic growth continues, there has been rising debate over the impact of government expenditure on economic growth. Barro (1989) for instance, found the coefficient of government expenditure on economic growth frequently non-significant. When the impact of government expenditure is narrowed down to the field of defence expenditure on growth, an array of conclusions are reached using varying empirical and statistical methods. From the time Benoit (1973)



The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## REFERENCES

- Abu-Qarn, A. S., & Abu-Bader, S. (2007). Sources of growth revisited: Evidence from selected MENA countries. *World Development*, 35(5), 752-771.
- Achumba, I. C., Ighomereho, O. S., & Akpan-Robaro, M. O. M. (2013). Security challenges in Nigeria and the implications for business activities and sustainable development. *Journal of Economics and Sustainable Development*, 4(2), 79-99.
- Adam, (2011). Nigerians Vote in Presidential Elections. *The New York Times*. Retrieved 17 April 2011.
- Adebiyi, M.A & Oladele, O. (2004). Public education expenditure and defence spending in Nigeria: An empirical investigation. Working Paper, Department of Economics, University of Lagos, Akoka-Yaba, Nigeria. Retrieved on 5th March 2015 from <http://www.saga.cornell.edu/saga/educconf/adebiyi.pdf>
- Adelman, I., & Morris, C. (1967). *Society, politics, and economic Development*. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
- Adrangi, B. & Allender, M. (1998). Budget deficits and stock prices: International evidence. *Journal of Economics*, 22(23), 57-66.
- Agostino, G., Dunne J.P & Pieroni, L. (2013). Military expenditure, endogeneity and growth. MPRA Working Paper No. 45640.
- Agostino, G. & Paul, J. Dunne & Pieroni, L. (2010). Assessing the effects of military expenditure on growth. Oxford Handbook of the Economics of Peace and Conflict Oxford University Press.
- Aigbokhan, B. E. (1996). Government size and economic growth: the Nigerian experience. In *Beyond Adjustment: Management of the Nigerian Economy*.

The proceedings of the 1996 annual Conference of the Nigerian Economic Society.

Aiken, L. S., & West, S. G. (1991). Multiple regression: Testing and interpreting interactions. Newbury Park: Sage Publications.

Aizenman J. & Glick R. (2003). *Military expenditure, threats and growth*, FRBSF Working Paper 2003-08, pp. 1-23.

Aizenman J. & Glick R. (2006). Military expenditure, threats and growth. *Journal of International Trade and Economic Development*, 15(2), 129-155.

Ajobena, P. (1995). Defence spending in a declining economy. Project for the award of fellow war college (fwc), national war college, Nigeria, 1995.

Ajumobi, P.A. (1996). Economics of defence in Nigeria: Strategic and security significance. Unpublished Project for the award of Fellow War College (fwc), National War College, Nigeria, 1996.

Akpan, P.L, Onwuka E.M. & Onyeizugbe C. (2012). Terrorist activities and economic development in Nigeria: An early warning signal. *International Journal of Sustainable Development*, 5(4), 69-78.

Alesina, A., Özler, S., Roubini, N., & Swagel, P. (1996). Political instability and economic growth. *Journal of Economic growth*, 1(2), 189-211.

Al-jarrah, M. A. (2005). Defense spending and economic growth in an oil-rich country: The case of Saudi Arabia. *Pakistan Economic and Social Review*, 43(2) 151-166.

Aminu, A. & Robyn, D. (2015), Hundreds said killed by *Boko Haram* in attacks in northeastern Nigeria. Los Angeles Times. Retrieved from: [www.latimes.com/world/africa/la-fg-wn-boko-Haram.-baga-20150109](http://www.latimes.com/world/africa/la-fg-wn-boko-Haram.-baga-20150109)

- Ando, S. (2009). The impact of defense expenditure on economic growth: Panel data analysis based on the Feder Model. *The International Journal of Economic Policy Studies*, 4(8), 141-154.
- Ansari, M. I., Gordon, D. V. & Akuamoah, C. (1997). Keynes versus Wagner: public expenditure and national income for three African Countries. *Applied Economics*, 29(4), 543-550.
- Antonakis, N. (1997b). Military expenditure and economic growth in Greece, 1960-90. *Journal of Peace Research*, ISSN, 34(1), 89-100.
- Antonakis, N.(1999a). Guns versus butter: a multisectoral approach to military expenditure and growth with evidence from Greece,1960-1993. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 43(4), 501-520.
- Anyanwu, S., & Aiyedogbon, J. O. (2011). Defence expenditure and economic growth in Nigeria: A Vector- Error Correction Model (VECM) Approach. *Asian Economic and Social Society*, 1(2), 31-40.
- Anyanwu, S., Egwaikhde, C., & Aiyedogbon, J. O. (2012). Comparative regime analysis of the trend and structure of military expenditure in Nigeria, 1980-2010. *Journal of African Macroeconomic Review*. 2(1), 88-105.
- Apanisile, O.T., & Okunlola, O.C., (2014). An empirical analysis of effects of military spending on economic growth in Nigeria: A bound testing approach to co-ntegration 1989-2013. *Journal of Public Administration, Finance and Law*. 6
- Araujo, J. A, & .A. Shikida, C.D., (2008). Military expenditure, external threats and economic growth. *Economic Bulletin*, 15(16), 1-7.

- Aregbeyen, O. (2006). Cointegration, causality and wagner's law: a test for Nigeria, 1970-2003. *Central Bank of Nigeria Economic and Financial Review*, 44(2), 1-17.
- Aro, O. I. (2013). Boko Haram insurgency in Nigeria: Its implication and way forwards toward avoidance of future Insurgency. *International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications*, 3(11), 1-8.
- Asuni, J. B. (2009). *Blood oil in the Niger Delta*. Washington, DC: United States Institute of Peace.
- Atesoglu, H. S. (2013). Economic growth and military spending in China. *International Journal of Political Economy*, 42(2), 88-100.
- Awaworyi, S., & Yew, S. L. (2014). *The effect of military expenditure on growth: an empirical synthesis* (No. 25-14). Monash University, Department of Economics.
- Aye, G. C., Balcilar, M., Dunne, J. P., Gupta, R., & Van Eyden, R. (2014). Military expenditure, economic growth and structural instability: A case study of South Africa. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 25(6), 619-633.
- Ayewardena, M.M. (2013). A conceptual framework to study national defence and economic development. *International Journal of Education and Research* 1(3).
- Azing, E. (2013). *Military in internal security operations: Challenges and prospect*. A paper presented at the Nigerian Bar Association 53<sup>rd</sup> Annual General Conference 28th August 2013.

- Babatunde, M.A. (2008). A bound testing analysis of wagner's law in Nigeria: 1970-2006. Paper presented at the 13<sup>th</sup> Annual Conference on Econometric Modelling for Africa 9-11 July 2008, Pretoria, South Africa.
- Bachelor, P. Dunne P. & Lamb G. (2002). The demand for military spending in South Africa. *Journal of Peace Research*, 39(3), 339-354.
- Baeck, E. G., & Brock, W. A. (1992). A General Test for Nonlinear Granger Causality: Bivariate Model (mimeo).
- Bagdigen, M., & Cetintas, H. (2004). Causality between public expenditure and economic growth: The Turkish case. *Journal of Economic and Social Research*, 6(1), 53-72.
- Bahmani-Oskooee, M., & Ng, R. C. W. (2002). Long-run demand for money in Hong Kong: An application of the ARDL model. *International Journal of Business and Economics*, 1(2), 147-155.
- Bai, J., & Ng, S. (2005). Tests for skewness, kurtosis, and normality for time series data. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics*, 23(1), 49-60.
- Balan, F. (2015). The Nexus between Political Instability, Defence Spending and Economic Growth in the Middle East Countries: Bootstrap Panel Granger Causality Analysis. *Petroleum-Gas University of Ploiesti Bulletin, Technical Series*, 67(4), 1-14.
- Balogun, A.A. (2004). *Defence budgeting in a democracy: Nigeria's experience 1999-2003*. Unpublished Project for the award of Fellow War College (fwc), National War College, Nigeria.
- Bamber, G. (2001). History World. Ongoing. <http://www.historyworld.net>.

- Barro, R. (1991). Economic Growth in a Cross Section of Countries. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, Vol. 106.
- Barro R. & Sala-i-Martin, X. (2007). Economic Growth. New Delhi: Prentice-Hall of India Private Limited.
- Barro R.J. (1995). New classical and keynesians, or the good guys and the bad guys. *Swiss. Journal of Economics and Statistics*, 125(3), 263-273
- Barro, R. J. & Sala-i-Martin, X. (1995). Economic growth. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Barro, R. J. & Sala-i-Martin, X. (2004). Economic Growth. Massachusetts, London.
- Barro, R. J. (1989). A cross-country study of growth, saving, and government, *National Bureau of Economic Research, working paper No. 2855*.
- Barro, R., & Lee. J. W. (1994). Losers and winners in economic growth. In Proceedings of the World Bank Annual Conference on Development Economics, 267–297. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Batchelor, P., Dunne, J. P., & Saal, D. S. (2000). Military spending and economic growth in South Africa. *Defence and peace economics*, 11(4), 553-571.
- Benoit, E. (1973). Growth effects of defence in developing countries. *Int. Development Rev.* 14(1), 2-10.
- Benoit, E. (1978). Growth and defence in developing countries. *Economic Development and Cultural Change* 26(2), 271-280.
- Bhatia H.L. (2004), *Public Finance*. New Delhi: Vikas Publishing House, 2004.
- Bird, R. M. (1971). Wagner's law of expanding state activity. *Public Finance (Finance Publiques)*, 26(1), 1-26. <http://EconPapers.repec.org/RePEc:pfi:pubfin>.

- Biswas, B. & Ram R. (1986). Military expenditures and economic growth in less developed countries: An augmented model and further evidence. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 34(2), 361-372.
- Blomberg, B.S, (1996). Growth, political instability and the defence burden. *Economica*, 63(252), 649-672.
- Brown, P.Z. (2014). The military and internal security in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences*, 5 (27), 34-47.
- Burrill, D. F. (1997). Modeling and interpreting interactions in multiple regression. accessed July, 2, 2007.
- Cappelen, Å. Gleditsch, N. P., & Bjerkholt, O. (1984). Military spending and economic growth in the OECD countries. *Journal of peace Research*, 21(4), 361-373.
- Central Bank of Nigeria (various years), Statistical bulletin golden jubilee edition. Retrieved from [www.lifedocs.info/pdf/cbn-statistical-bulletin-2015](http://www.lifedocs.info/pdf/cbn-statistical-bulletin-2015).
- Chakrabarti, A. K., & Anyanwu, C. L. (1993). Defense R&D, technology, and economic performance: A longitudinal analysis of the US experience. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 40(2), 136-145.
- Chan, S. (1995). Grasping the peace dividend: Some propositions on the conversion of swords into plowshares. *Mershon International Studies Review*, 39(1), 53-95.
- Chandler, D. (2007). The security–development nexus and the rise of anti-foreign policy. *Journal of International relations and Development*, 10(4), 362-386.
- Chang, T., Fang, W., Wen, L. F., & Liu, C. (2001). Defence spending, economic growth and temporal causality: evidence from Taiwan and mainland China, 1952-1995. *Applied Economics*, 33(10), 1289-1299.



- Chowdhury, A. (1991). A causal analysis of defence spending and economic growth. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 35(1), 80-97.
- Chu, A. C., & Lai, C. C. (2012). On the growth and welfare effects of defense R&D. *Journal of Public Economic Theory*, 14(3), 473-492.
- Chu, A., & Lai, C. C. (2009). *Defense R&D: effects on economic growth and social welfare* (No. 16325). University Library of Munich, Germany.
- CIAWorld Fact Book (2014). Sky Horse Publishing, Inc. 2014. ISBN 9781626360730
- Cohen, B. H., & Lea, R. B. (2004). Essentials of statistics for the social and behavioral sciences (Vol. 3). John Wiley & Sons.
- Collier, P., & Hoeffler, A. (2002). Military expenditure: Threats, aid, and arms races. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, (2927).
- Courson, E. (2007). The burden of oil working paper15. Retrieved from [www.geography.berkeley.edu/.../NDWebsite/NigerDelta/WP/15-Courson.pdf](http://www.geography.berkeley.edu/.../NDWebsite/NigerDelta/WP/15-Courson.pdf).
- Cuaresma, J., Crespo, D., & Gerhard, F. (2004). A non-linear defense growth nexus: Evidence from the US economy. *Defense and Peace economics*, 15(1), 71-82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/1024269042000164504>.
- Dahalan, J., & Jayaet al.an, T. K. (2006). Monetary and fiscal policies in Fiji: A test of effectiveness. *Pacific Economic Bulletin*, 21(2), 94-102.
- Dakurah, A. H., Davies, S. P., & Sampath, R. K. (2001). Defense spending and economic growth in developing countries: A causality analysis. *Journal of Policy Modeling*, 23(6), 651-658.
- Darlington, R. B. (1990). *Regression and linear models*. New York: McGraw-Hil

- Deger, S. & Smith, R.(1990). Military expenditure and growth in less developed countries. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 27(2), 335-353.
- Deger, S. (1986). Economic development and defense expenditure. *Economic development and cultural change*, 35(1), 179-196.
- DeGrasse, R.W.Jr. (1993) Military expansion economic decline: The impact of military spending on U.S. economic performance. *Journal of economic issues*, 19(1), 227-233.
- Desli, E., Gkoulgkoutsika, A., & Katrakilidis, C. (2016). Investigating the Dynamic Interaction between Military Spending and Economic Growth. *Review of Development Economics*.
- Destek, M. A. (2016). Is the causal nexus of military expenditures and economic growth asymmetric in G-6? *Journal of Applied Research in Finance and Economics*, 1(1), 1-8.
- Dickey, D. A., & Fuller, W. A. (1979). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of the American statistical association*, 74(366a), 427-431.
- Dudzeviciute, G., Peleckis, K., & Peleckiene, V. (2016). Tendencies and Relations of Defense Spending and Economic Growth in the EU Countries. *Engineering Economics*, 27(3), 246-252.
- Dunne P. & Vougas D, (1999). Military spending and economic growth in South Africa. A causal analysis. *The Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 43(4), 521-537.
- Dunne P. J. & Nikolaidou E, (2012). Defence spending and economic growth in the EU15 *Defence and Peace Economics*, Taylor & Francis. *Journals*, 23(6), 537-548.

- Dunne, J. P. (2011). Defence spending and economic growth in the EU15,1–16 economic growth. *Economic Bulletin*, 15(16), 1-7.
- Dunne, J. P., & Tian, N. (2015). Military Expenditure, Economic Growth and Heterogeneity. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 26(1), 15-31.
- Dunne, J. P., Smith, R. P., & Willenbockel, D. (2005). Models of military expenditure and growth: A critical review. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 16(6), 449-461.
- Dunne, P. & S. Perlo-Freeman. (2003). The demand for military spending in developing countries. *International Review of Applied Economics* 17(1), 23-48.
- Dunne, P., Smith, R. (1990), Military expenditure and unemployment in the OECD. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 1(1), 57-73.
- Easterly, W. (2001). The Elusive Quest for Growth: Economists' Adventures and Misadventures in the Tropics. Cambridge: MIT Press.
- Edame, G. E., & Nwankwo, C. (2013). The interaction between defence spending, debt service obligation and economic growth in Nigeria. *Research Journal of Finance and Accounting*, 4(13), 61-72.
- Eme, O. I., & Onyishi, A. (2011). The challenges of insecurity in Nigeria: A thematic exposition. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 3(8), 172-184.
- Enders, W., & Sandler, T. (1995). Terrorism: Theory and applications. *Handbook of Defense Economics*, 1, 213-249.
- Engle, R. & Granger, W.J. (1987). Co-integration and error correction: Representation, estimation and testing. *Econometrica*, 55(2), 251-76.

- Erdogdu, O. S. (2008). Political decisions, defence and growth. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 19(1), 27-35.
- Essien, E. A. (1997). Public sector growth: An econometric test of Wagner's law. *Economic and Financial Review*, 35(3), 23-42.
- Ewetan, O. O. (2014). Insecurity and socio-economic development: Perspectives on the Nigerian experience. *Journal of Sustainable Development Studies*. 5(1), 40-63.
- Fashakin, R. (2014). The politicking of a nation's security. Sahara Reporters.
- Feder, G. (1983). On exports and economic growth. *Journal of Development Economics*, 12(1), 59-73.
- Feridun, M. & Shahbaz, M. (2010). Fighting terrorism: Are military measures effective. Empirical evidence from Turkey. *Defence and Peace Economics* 21(2), 193-205.
- Forest, P. G. (2013). Citizens as analysts, redux: Revisiting Aaron Wildavski on public participation. *Journal of Public Deliberation*, 9(1), 7-23
- Fosu, A.K. (1996). Political instability and economic growth in developing economies: Some specification empirics. *Economic Letters*. 70(2), 289-294.
- Gisore, N., Kiprop, S., Kalio, A., & Ochieng, J. (2014). Effect of government expenditure on economic growth in East Africa: A disaggregated model. *European Journal of Business and Social Sciences*, 3(8), 289-304.
- Goel, R. K., & Ram R. (1994). Research and development expenditures and economic growth: A cross-country study. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 42(2), 403-411.

- Goel, R. K., Payne, J. E., & Ram, R. (2008). R&D expenditures and US economic : A disaggregated approach. *Journal of policy modeling*, 30(2), 237-250.
- Goffman, I.J. & Mahar, D.J. (1971). The growth of public expenditures in selected developing nations: Six Caribbean countries 1940-65. *Public Finance Finances Publiques*, 26(1), 57-74.
- Goolsbee, A. (1998). Does government R&D policy mainly benefit scientists and engineers? *American Economic Review*. 88(2), 298-302.
- Greene, W. H. (2003). *Econometric Analysis. 5th edition*. New Jersey: Prentice Hall
- Gregoriou, A., & Ghosh, S. (2009). The impact of government expenditure on growth: Empirical evidence from a heterogeneous panel. *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 61(1), 95-102.
- Guru-Gharana, K. K. (2012). Relationships among export, FDI and growth in India: An application of auto regressive distributed lag (ARDL) bounds testing approach. *Journal of International Business Research*, 11(1), p 1
- Habibullah, M. S., Law, S. H., & Dayang-Affizzah, A. M. (2008). Defense spending and economic growth in Asian economies: A panel error-correction approach, MPRA paper number 12105,
- Hacker, R. S., & Hatemi-J, A. (2006). Tests for causality between integrated variables using asymptotic and bootstrap distributions: theory and application. *Applied Economics*, 38(13), 1489-1500.
- Hakkio, C. S., & Rush, M. (1991). Cointegration: How short is the long run. *Journal of Money and Finance*, 10, 571-581.

- Halicioglu, F. (2003). An econometrical analysis of effects of aggregate defence spending on aggregate output. In *METU International Conference in Economics/VII September* (pp. 6-9).
- Hall, R.E. (1995). Eviews user's guide. Irvine, California: Quantitative Micro Software.
- Harris, G., & Kelly, M. (1988). Trade-offs between defence and education/health expenditures in developing countries. *Journal of Peace Research*, 25(2), 165-177.
- Hartley, K. (2006). Defence R&D: Data issues. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 17(3), 169-175.
- Harrod, R.F. and Domar, .E. (1957). Essays on the Theory of Economic Growth. Oxford University Press London.
- Harvey, A. C. (1977). Some comments on multicollinearity in regression. *Applied Statistics*, 188-191.
- Hasseb *et al.* (2014). The Macroeconomic impact of defense expenditure on economic growth of Pakistan: An econometric approach. *Asian Social Science*, 10(4), 203-213.
- Hatemi-J, A. (2012). Asymmetric granger-causality tests with an application. *Empirical Economics*. 43(1), 447-456.
- Hatemi-J, A., & Irandoust, M. (2006). A bootstrap-corrected causality test: Another look at the money–income relationship. *Empirical Economics*, 31(1), 207-216.
- Hatemi-J, A., Chang, T., Chen, W. Y., Lin, F. L., & Gupta, R. (2015). *Asymmetric granger causality between military expenditures and economic growth in top six*

- defense suppliers* (No. 201565). University of Pretoria Department of Economics Working Paper Series
- Hedima, S.B. (1995), Achieving optimal defence in a declining economy. A Case for rational defence spending in Nigeria Unpublished Project for the award of Fellow War College (fwc), National War College, Nigeria.
- Helliwell, J. F. (1994). Empirical linkages between democracy and economic growth. *British journal of political science*, 24(2), 225-248.
- Hiemstra, C., & Jones, J. D. (1994). Testing for linear and nonlinear Granger causality in the stock price-volume relation. *The Journal of Finance*, 49(5), 1639-1664.
- Henderson, E. A. (1997). Military spending and poverty. *The Journal of Politics*, 60(2), 503-520.
- Heo, U. (2005). Paying for security: The security-prosperity dilemma in the United States. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 49(5), 792-817.
- Heo, U. (2009). The relationship between defense spending and economic growth in the United States. *Political Research Quarterly*. 63(4), 760-770.
- Heo, U.K, (1999). Defence spending and economic growth in South Korea: The indirect link. *Journal of Peace Research*. 36(6), 699-708.
- Heo U.K, & Kwang-Hae, R. O. (1998). Review essay: The economic effects of military spending on growth in developing countries. *The Journal of East Asian Affairs*, 12(1), 291-306.
- Heo, U., & Ye, M. (2016). Defense Spending and Economic Growth around the Globe: The Direct and Indirect Link. *International Interactions*, 42(5), 774-796.

- Hou, N., & Chen, B. (2013). Military expenditure and economic growth in developing countries: Evidence from system GMM estimates. *Defence and peace economics*, 24(3), 183-193.
- Jalil, A., Abbasi, H. K. N., & Bibi, N. (2016). Military expenditures and economic growth: allowing structural breaks in time series analysis in the case of India and Pakistan. *Quality & Quantity*, 1-19.
- Lai, C. N., Huang, B. N., & Yang, C. W. (2005). Defense spending and economic growth across the Taiwan straits: a threshold regression model. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 16(1), 45-57.
- Lee, C. C., & Chen, S. T. (2007). Do defence expenditures spur GDP? A panel analysis from OECD and non-OECD countries. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 18(3), 265-280.
- Lin, P. S., & Lee, C. T. (2012). Military spending, threats and stochastic growth. *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 64(1), 8-19.
- Lawanson, O. I. (2007). High rate of unemployment in Nigeria: The consequence on Human Resource Management.
- Hou, N. & Chen, B. (2014). Military spending and economic growth in an augmented Solow model: A panel data investigation for OECD Countries. *Peace Economics. Peace* 20(3), 395-409.<http://cdn.peaceopstraining.org/theses/sule.pdf>
- Iftikar, Z. & Ali, A. (2012). The impact of income inequality and defence burden on economic growth. *The Singapore Economic Review*, 57(3), 15.
- Igbuzor, O. (2011). Peace and security education: A critical factor for sustainable peace and national development. *International Journal of Peace and Development Studies*, 2(1), 1-7.



- Imobighe, T. A. (Ed.). (1987). *Nigerian defence and security: issues and options for policy*. Dept. of Research, National Institute for Policy and Strategic Studies.
- Erb, C. B., Harvey, C. R., & Viskanta, T. E. (1996). Political risk, economic risk, and financial risk. *Financial Analysts Journal*, 52(6), 29-46.
- Karagianni, S., & Pempetzoglu, M. (2009). Defense spending and economic growth in turkey: a linear and non-linear granger causality approach. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 20(2), 139-148
- Kekic, L. (2007). The Economist intelligence unit's index of democracy. *The Economist*, 21, 1-11.
- Kennedy, P. (2008). *A Guide to Econometrics*. Blackwell Publishing, Malden, Massachusetts.
- Khalid, M.A. & Mustapha, A.B, (2014). Long-run relationship and causality test between expenditure and economic growth in India. *The Economic and Finance Letter* 1(6), 49-58.
- Khilji, N. M., Mahmood, A., & Siddiqui, R. (1997). Military expenditures and economic growth in Pakistan [with Comments]. *The Pakistan Development Review*, 36(4), 791-808.
- Knight, M., Loayza, N., & Villanueva D., (1996). The peace dividend: Military spending cuts and economic growth. *International Monetary Fund*, 43(1), 1-37.
- Kollias C. & Paleologou S. (2010). Growth, investment and military expenditure in the European Union-15. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 37(2), 228-240. <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/10.1108/01443581011043618>

- Kollias, C. Messisb, P. Mylonidisc N. & Paleologouc S. (2009). Terrorism and the effectiveness of security spending in Greece: Policy implications of some empirical findings. *Journal of Policy Modeling* 31(20), 788-802.
- Kuznets, S. (1971). Economic Growth of Nations, Total Output and Production Structure. Cambridge, Mass. The Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Landau, D. (1996). Is one of the peace dividends negative? military expenditure and economic growth in the wealthy OECD countries. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, 36(2), 183-195.
- Lebovic, J. H., & Ishaq, A. (1987). Military burden, security needs, and economic growth in the Middle East. *Journal of Conflict Resolution*, 31(1), 106-138.
- Library of Congress–Federal Research Division (July 2008). [Country profile: Nigeria](#). Retrieved 28 December 2011.
- Lim, D. (1983). Another look at growth and defense in less developed countries. *Economic Development and Cultural Change*, 31(2), 377-384.
- Lin, P. S., & Lee, C. T. (2012). Military spending, threats and stochastic growth. *Bulletin of Economic Research*, 64(1), 8-19.
- Lindhauer, D. & Velenchik, A. (1992), Government spending in developing countries: Trends, causes and consequences. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 7(1), 59-78.
- Linpow, J., & Antinori, C.M. (1995). External security threat, defence expenditures and the economic growth of less developed countries. *Journal of Policy Modelling*, 7(6), 579-595.
- Looney, R. E. (1989). Internal and external factors in affecting third world military expenditures. *Journal of Peace Research*, 26(1), 33-46.

- Lucas, R.E (1988). On the mechanics of economic development. *Journal of Monetary Economics*. 22(1), 3-42.
- Magbadelo, J. O. (2012). Defence transformation in Nigeria a critical issue for National security concerns. *India quarterly: A Journal of International Affairs*, 68(3), 251-266.
- Malizard, J. (2014). Defense spending and unemployment in France. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 25(6), 635-642.
- Mankiw, N. G., Romer, D., and Weil, D.N. (1990). A Contribution to the Empirics of Economic Growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 107(2), 407-438.
- Masih, A.M., Masih, R. & Hassan, S.M. (1997). New evidence from an alternative methodological approach to the defence spending economic growth causality issue in the case of mainland China. *Journal of Economics Studies*, 24(3), 123-140.
- Mathews, R. (2001). *Introduction: Managing the revolution. In managing the revolution in military affairs*, by and Treddenick J Mathews R. New York: Palgrave Publishers, 2001.
- McDonald, B.D. & Eger, R.J. (2010). The defense-growth relationship: an economic investigation into Post-Soviet States, *Peace Economics, Peace Science and Public Policy*, 16(1), 1-26. <http://www.bepress.com/peps/vol16/iss5>.
- Mehanna, R. A. (2004). An Econometric Contribution to the US Defense–Growth Nexus: Evidence from Error Correction Model. *Conflict Management and Peace Science*, 21(2), 121-131.

- Mezue, A.C. (2005). Defence economics and national security in Nigeria 1999-2004. Unpublished Project for the award of Fellow War College (fwc), National War College, Nigeria, 2004
- Michael, E. (2014). *Nigeria loses N .3 trillion over the decline in crude revenue*. Retrieved from <http://www.vanguardngr.com/2014/05/nigeria-loses-1.3trndecline-crude-revenue>.
- Mintz, A., & C. Huang, (1990). Defence expenditures and economic growth: The externality effect. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 3(1), 35-40.
- Ministry of Defence, Defence budgets Allocations (various years).
- Mosikan, T.J. & Matiwa, K. (2014). An analysis of defence expenditure and economic growth in South Africa. *Mediterranean Journal of Social Sciences* 5(20), 2769-2776.
- Musa Yar'Adua (2008), Budget Speech
- Musayev, V. (2016). Externalities in military spending and growth: The role of natural resources as a channel through conflict. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 27(3), 378-391.
- Mylonidis, N. (2008). Revisiting the nexus between military spending and growth in the European Union. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 19(4), 265-272.
- Narayan, P. K. (2005). The saving and investment nexus for China: Evidence from cointegration tests. *Applied economics*, 37(17), 1979-1990.
- Nelson, C. R., & Plosser, C. R. (1982). Trends and random walks in macroeconomic time series: Some evidence and implications. *Journal of monetary economics*, 10(2), 139 162.

- Ngwube, A. (2013). Threats to security in Nigeria. *Review of Public Administration and Management, 1*(3), 79-80.
- Nurudden, A. (2015). The effects of corruption and political instability on savings: The case of economic community of West African States. Phd Thesis UUM.
- Nwagboso, C. I. (2012). Security challenges and economy of the Nigerian State (2007– 2011). *American International Journal of Contemporary Research, 2*(6), 244-258.
- Nwanegbo, C. J., & Odigbo, J. (2013). Security and national development in Nigeria: The threat of *Boko Haram*. *International Journal of Humanities and Social Science, 3*(4), 285-291.
- Ogomegbunam AO. & David A. (2014), Boko Haram activities: A major setback to Nigerian economic growth. *Journal of economics and finance, 3*(5), 01-06.
- Okogu, B. (2011). *Budget process, implementation & challenges*. Presentation to: Public Service Institute of Nigeria, Abuja.
- Okoli, A., & Orinya, S. (2013). Evaluating the strategic efficacy of military involvement in internal security operations (ISOPs) in Nigeria. *Journal of Humanities and Social Science (IOSR-JHSS) 9*(6), 20-22
- Olaniyi, O. (2000). An analysis of the macroeconomic impact of military spending in Nigeria: Implications for economic development. Unpublished Ph.D. dissertation submitted to the Post Graduate School, University of Jos.
- Olanrewaju, S.A. (1990). *The Nigerian economy and national defence: Nigerian defence policy: Issues and policy*. Malthouse Press Limited, Lagos, pp.33-53
- Olofin, P.O. (2012). Defense spending and poverty reduction in Nigeria. *American Journal of Economics, 2*(6), 122-127

- Olukayode, O.E. & Urhie, E. (2014). Insecurity and socio-economic development in Nigeria. *Journal of Sustainable Development Studies*. 5(1), 40-65.
- Omede, A. J. (2012). The Nigerian military: Analyzing fifty years of defence and internal military and fifty years of internal security operations in Nigeria (1960-2010). *Journal of Social Sciences*, 33(3), 293-303.
- Omede, A.J. (2001). Threats and military capabilities: A Nigerian experience. Unpublished Ph.D. Thesis. Unpublished. Nigeria: University of Lagos
- Omitoogun, W. (2003). *Military expenditure data in Africa: A survey of Cameroon, Ethiopia, Ghana, Kenya, Nigeria and Uganda*. SIPRI Research Report No. 17.2003. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Omojinite, B. U. (2012). Public education and defence spending in Nigeria: implications for economic growth. *Journal of Education and Social Research*, 12(1), 59-72.
- O moyibo, K. U., & Akpomera, E. (2013). Insecurity mantra: The paradox of Nigerian growth and development. *European Scientific Journal*, 8(15), 132-142.
- Onuoha, C.F. (2010). The Islamic challenge: Nigeria's *Boko Haram* crisis explained. *African Security Review*, 19(2), 54-67.
- Oriavwote, V. E., & Eshenake, S. J. (2013). A vector error correction modelling of security spending and economic growth in Nigeria. *Accounting and Finance Research*, 2(2), 59-68.
- Oshanupin, J.O.S. (2002). Capacity building for the production of defence needs in Nigeria: An evaluation of DICON Unpublished Project for the award of Fellow War College(fwc), National War College, Nigeria, 2002.

- Iwobi, A. U. (2004). Tiptoeing through a constitutional minefield: The great Sharia controversy in Nigeria. *Journal of African Law*, 48(2), 111-164.
- Ozun, A., & Erbaykal, E. (2011). *Further evidence on defence spending and economic growth in NATO countries*. Koç University-TÜSİAD Economic Research Forum Working Paper Series.
- Palm, F., (1983). Structural econometric modelling and time series analysis. Applied time series analysis of economic data, *Economic Research Report ER-5* (Us Department of Commerce, Washington, DC), 199-233.
- Perlo-Freeman, S., & Perdomo, C. (2008). The developmental impact of military budgeting and procurement—implications for an arms trade treaty.
- Perron, P. (1989). The great crash, the oil price shock, and the unit root hypothesis. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 57(6), 1361-1401.
- Pesaran, M. H. & Pesaran, B. (1997). Working with Microfit 4.0: Interactive econometric analysis. Oxford University Press, Oxford.
- Pesaran, M. H., & Shin, Y. (1998). An autoregressive distributed-lag modelling approach to cointegration analysis. *Econometric Society Monographs*, 31, 371-413.
- Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., & Smith, R. J. (2001). Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 16(3), 289-326.
- Phiri, A. (2016), Does military spending nonlinearly affect economic growth in South Africa? 3(4), 55-71.

- Premium Times Exclusive: Seized \$9.3 million: Nigerian officials blame U.S. for secret South Africa arm deal, (2014 September 17).
- Press, W. H. (2007). *Numerical recipes 3rd edition: The art of scientific computing*. Cambridge university press.
- Ram R. (1986). Government size and economic growth: A new framework and some evidence from cross-section and time-series data. *The American Economic Review*, 76(1), 191-203.
- Ram R. (1995). *Defense expenditure and economic growth*. Handbook of defense economics, 1, 251-274.
- Robinson, J. (1972). The second crisis of economic theory. *The American Economic Review*, 62(1/2), 1-10.
- Romer, P.M. (1986). Increasing return and long run growth. *Journal of Political Economy* 94(5), 1002-1037
- Rostow, W.W. (1960). *The stages of economic growth: A non-communist manifesto* 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed Cambridge University Press.
- Roux, A. (1994). Defence, human capital and economic development in South Africa. *African Defence Review* 19, 14–22.
- Rusek, A. (1994), Macroeconomic policy, output, and inflation in the former Czechoslovakia: Some evidence from the VAR analysis, *Atlantic Economic Journal*, 22(3), 8–23.
- Saidu, B.G. (2008). Defence expenditure and national development in Nigeria: Challenges and Prospects. Unpublished project for the award of Fellow Defence College (fdc), National Defence College, Nigeria.



- Salawu, B. (2010). Ethno-religious conflicts in Nigeria: Causal analysis and proposals for new management strategies. *European Journal of Social Sciences*, 13(3), 345-353.
- Samuelson, P. A. & Nordau's, W.D. (2004), Economics, McGraw-Hill.
- Sandler T. & Hartley K. (1995). *The economics of defense*, Cambridge University.
- Sarah, A. Chris, E., & Olu-coris, A. (2012). Comparative regime analysis of the trend and structure of military expenditure in Nigeria, 1980-2010. *Journal of African Macroeconomics Review*, 2(1), 88-105
- Schick, A. (2002). A contemporary approach to public expenditure management. *World Bank Institute*.
- Schwert, G. W. (1987). Effects of model specification on tests for unit roots in macroeconomic data. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 20(1), 73-103.
- Seigle, C. (1998). Defense Spending in a Neo-Ricardian World. *Economica*, 65(258), 193-210.
- Sezgin, S. (2000). A note on defence spending in Turkey: New findings. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 11(2), 427-435.
- Shahbaz, M., Afza, T., & Shabbir, M. S. (2013). Does defence spending impede economic growth? Cointegration and causality analysis for Pakistan. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 24(2), 105-120.
- Shahbaz, M., Leitão, N. C., Uddin, G. S., Arouri, M., & Teulon, F. (2013). Should Portuguese economy invest in defense spending? A revisit. *Economic Modelling*, 35, 805-815.
- SIPRI (2014). Trends international arms transfers.  
[www.sipri.org/databases/armstransfers](http://www.sipri.org/databases/armstransfers)

SIPRI (2015). Trends international arms transfers.

[www.sipri.org/databases/armstransfers](http://www.sipri.org/databases/armstransfers).

SIPRI Year Book (2006). Armaments, disarmament and international security,

Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, Oxford University Press,

SIPRI Yearbook (2002). Armaments, disarmament and international security.

Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, 2002.

SIPRI, (2006). Budgeting for the military sector in Africa the processes and mechanisms of control: The process and mechanism of control.

Skons (2002). Understanding military expenditure. SIPRI Year Book (2002). The

SIPRI experience paper presented in Accra, Stockholm International Peace Research Institute, University Press,

Skons *et al.* (2008). Military expenditure in SIPRI Year Book (2008), *Armaments, Disarmament and International Security*, Stockholm. *International Peace Research Institute*, Oxford University Press.

Smaldone, J. P. (2006). African military spending: Defence versus development?. *African Security Studies*, 15(4), 17-32.

Smith, R. & Smith, D. (1980). *Military expenditure, resources and development*. Birkbeck College Discussion Paper, No. 87, University of London.

Smith, R. (1995). The demand for military expenditure. *Handbook of defense economics*, 1, 69-87.

Smith, R. P. (1980). The demand for military expenditure. *The Economic Journal*, 90(360), 811-820.

- Smyth, R. & Narayan, K.P. (2009). A panel data analysis of the military expenditure external debt nexus: Evidence from six Middle Eastern countries. *Journal of Peace Research*. 46(2), 223-250.
- Solow, R.M. (1956). A contribution to the theory of economic growth. *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 70(1), 65-94.
- Stan, F. (2004). The Security-development nexus: conflict, peace and development in the 21<sup>st</sup> century. *New York: International Peace Academy*.
- Steiss, A.W (1989). Financial management in public organisations. California: Brooks/Cole Publishing Company.
- Stock, J.H. & Watson, M.W. (2001). Vector Autoregressions, *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, fall, 15(4) 101-116.
- Stroup, M. D., & Heckelman, J. C. (2001). Size of the military sector and economic growth: A panel data analysis of Africa and Latin America. *Journal of Applied Economics*, 4(2), 329-360.
- Stroup, M. D., & Heckelman, J. C. (2001). Size of the military sector and economic growth: A panel data analysis of Africa and Latin America. *Journal of Applied Economics*, 4(2), 329-360.
- Sufian, F., & Habibullah, M. S. (2010). Does economic freedom fosters banks' performance? Panel evidence from Malaysia. *Journal of Contemporary Accounting & Economics*, 6(2), 77-91.
- Sule M.A. (2013). Nigeria's participation in peacekeeping operations. A thesis presented in partial completion of the requirements of The Certificate-of-Training in United Nations Peace Support Operations. Peace Operation Center Nigerian Army.

- Swan, T. W. (1956). Economic growth and capital accumulation. *Economic Record*, 32(2), 334-361.
- Swift, A. L., & Janacek, G. J. (1991). Forecasting non-normal time series. *Journal of Forecasting*, 10(5), 501-520.
- The CIAWorld Fact Book (2014). Sky Horse Publishing, Inc. 2014. ISBN 9781626360730.
- Thirwall A.P. (1999). *Growth and development 6<sup>th</sup> edition*, Macmillan Press Ltd, London.
- Tiwari, A.K. (2014). The asymmetric granger-causality analysis between energy consumption and income in the United States. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 36(20), 362-369.
- Tiwari, A.K., Shahbaz, M. (2013) Does defence spending stimulate economic growth in India? A revisit. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 24(4), 371-395.
- Toda, H. Y., & Yamamoto, T. (1995). Statistical inference in vector autoregressions with possibly integrated processes. *Journal of Econometrics*, 66(1), 225-250.
- Todaro M. & Smith S. (2003). *Economic Development*. Singapore: Pearson Education.
- Treddenick, J. M. (2000). Modelling defense budget allocations: An application to Canada. *The Economics of Regional Security: NATO, the Mediterranean, and Southern Africa*, 2(3), 43-70.
- Wang, T.P., Shyu S.H, & Chou H. (2012). The impact of defence expenditure on economic productivity in OECD countries. *Journal Economic Modelling*. 29(12), 2104-2114. Retrieved from home page: [www.elsevier.com/locate](http://www.elsevier.com/locate).

- Wang, D. H. M., Yu, T. H. K., & Liu, H. Q. (2013). Heterogeneous effect of high-tech industrial R&D spending on economic growth. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(10), 1990-1993.
- Ward, M. D., Davis, D. R., & Chan, S. (1993). Military spending and economic growth in Taiwan. *Armed Forces & Society*, 19(4), 533-550.
- Watts, M. (2007). Petro-insurgency or criminal syndicate? Conflict & violence in the Niger Delta. *Review of African Political Economy*, 34(114), 637-660.
- Waya, T.T. (2005). The impact of defence expenditure on the Nigerian economy 1970-2000. Unpublished Project for the award of Fellow War College (fwd), National War College, Nigeria, 2005.
- Wezeman, P. D., & Wezeman, S. T. (2015). *Trends In international arms transfers, 2014*. SIPRI.
- Wildavski, A. (1964). *The politics of the budgetary process*. Little, Brown and Company, 1964.
- Wolde-Rufael, Y. (2001). Causality between defence spending and economic growth-The case of mainland China: A comment. *Journal of Economic Studies*, 28(3), 227-230.
- Wolde-Rufael, Y. (2016). Military expenditure and income distribution in South Korea. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 27(4), 571-581.
- World Bank (2013). *Public expenditure management*. Handbook. World Bank Document, 1998.
- World Bank (2015), World Development Indicators 2015, available from <http://devdata.worldbank.org/wdi2006/contents/cover.htm>

- Yakovlev, P. (2004). *Do arms exports stimulate economic growth?* Department of Economics, West Virginia University Working Papers.
- Yakovlev, P. (2007). The arms trade, military spending, and economic growth. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 18(4), 317-338.
- Yang, A. J., Trumbull, W. N., Yang, C. W., & Huang, B. N. (2011). On the relationship between military expenditure, threat, and economic growth: A nonlinear approach. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 22(4), 449-457.
- Yildirim, J., & Öcal, N. (2016). Military expenditures, economic growth and spatial spillovers. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 27(1), 87-104.
- Yildirim, J., Sezgin, S., & Öcal, N. (2005). Military expenditure and economic growth in Middle Eastern countries: a dynamic panel data analysis. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 16(4), 283-295.
- Yuan, C., Liu, S., Yang, Y., & Shen, Y. (2014). On the contribution of defense innovation to China's economic growth. *Defence and Peace Economics*, 1-18.
- Zaman, K., Iqtidar, A. S., Khan, M. M., & Ahmad, M. (2013). Impact of military expenditure and economic growth on external debt: New evidence from a Panel of SAARC Countries. *Journal of Economic and Social Studies*, 3(2), 131-149.
- Zhang, X., Chang, T., Su, C. W., & Wolde-Rufael, Y. (2016). Revisit causal nexus between military spending and debt: A panel causality test. *Economic Modelling*, 52(2), 939-944.
- Zellner, A. (1979). Statistical analysis of econometric models. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74(367), 628-643.

## APPENDICES

### Appendix A

#### Economic Growth Model

##### Autoregressive Distributed Lag Estimates

```

ARDL(2,2,2,2,1,2,2,2,2) selected based on Schwarz Bayesian Criterion
*****
Dependent variable is LRGDPK
30 observations used for estimation from 1985 to 2014
*****
Regressor          Coefficient          Standard Error          T-Ratio[Prob]
LRGDPK(-1)         -.22327              .22533                  -.99085[.378]
LRGDPK(-2)         .54713              .15868                  3.4480[.026]
LDE                .071255             .12119                  .58796[.588]
LDE(-1)            -.92304             .15321                  -6.0247[.004]
LDE(-2)            -.71640             .17637                  -4.0619[.015]
LAI                .54872              2.3368                 .23482[.826]
LAI(-1)            18.8811             3.0750                  6.1402[.004]
LAI(-2)            15.3946             3.5852                  4.2939[.013]
PI                 -.030959            .018709                -1.6547[.173]
PI(-1)             -.11410             .026987                -4.2282[.013]
PI(-2)             -.054297            .021906                -2.4787[.068]
THR                -.13571             .031182                -4.3521[.012]
THR(-1)            -.11118             .029936                -3.7139[.021]
LDETHR             .24389              .080631                3.0248[.039]
LDETHR(-1)         .35524              .12305                 2.8870[.045]
LDETHR(-2)         -.084378            .054376                -1.5518[.196]
LDEPI              .11730              .089878                1.3051[.262]
LDEPI(-1)          .14550              .078070                1.8637[.136]
LDEPI(-2)          .34999              .079839                4.3838[.012]
LDEAI              -.50746             2.3343                 -2.1739[.839]
LDEAI(-1)          -18.8437            3.0721                 -6.1338[.004]
LDEAI(-2)          -15.3528            3.5813                 -4.2869[.013]
LEDU               .74256              .26272                 2.8265[.048]
LEDU(-1)           -1.3985             .37957                 -3.6846[.021]
LEDU(-2)           .68682              .22451                 3.0593[.038]
INPT               71.7628             12.0927                5.9344[.004]
*****
R-Squared          .99837              R-Bar-Squared          .98816
S.E. of Regression .029264             F-Stat.   F(25,4)      97.8230[.000]
Mean of Dependent Variable 6.5142             S.D. of Dependent Variable 2.6895
Residual Sum of Squares .0034254             Equation Log-likelihood 93.5978
Akaike Info. Criterion 67.5978             Schwarz Bayesian Criterion 49.3822
DW-statistic       3.1059
*****

Testing for existence of a level relationship among the variables in the ARDL model
*****
F-statistic   95% Lower Bound   95% Upper Bound   90% Lower Bound   90% Upper Bound
8.5486        2.8218                4.3963            2.3301            3.7152

W-statistic   95% Lower Bound   95% Upper Bound   90% Lower Bound   90% Upper Bound
76.9375       25.3963            39.5670           20.9707           33.4365
*****

Diagnostic Tests
*****
*   Test Statistics   *           LM Version           *           F Version           *
*****
*   A:Serial Correlation*CHSQ(1) = 2.4862[.115]*F(1,2) = .18073[.712]*
*   *               *           *           *           *
*   B:Functional Form *CHSQ(1) = .034747[.852]*F(1,3) = .0034787[.957]*

```

```

*
* C:Normality          *CHSQ(2) = 3.9834[.136]*          Not applicable
*
* D:Heteroscedasticity*CHSQ(1) = .068930[.793]*F(1,27)    = .064329[.802]*
*****
A:Lagrange multiplier test of residual serial correlation
B:Ramsey's RESET test using the square of the fitted values
C:Based on a test of skewness and kurtosis of residuals

```

Estimated Long Run Coefficients using the ARDL Approach  
ARDL(2,2,2,2,1,2,2,2,2) selected based on Schwarz Bayesian Criterion

```

*****
Dependent variable is LRGDPK
30 observations used for estimation from 1985 to 2014
*****

```

Regressor	Coefficient	Standard Error	T-Ratio[Prob]
LDE	-2.3193	.35570	-6.5205[.003]
LAI	51.5043	7.9648	6.4665[.003]
PI	-.29485	.061146	-4.8220[.009]
THR	-.36514	.064642	-5.6487[.005]
LDE_THR	.76130	.16246	4.6860[.009]
LDE_PI	.90631	.18769	4.8287[.008]
LDE_LAI	-51.3263	7.9445	-6.4606[.003]
LEDU	.045627	.18305	.24926[.815]
INPT	106.1354	16.1307	6.5797[.003]

```

*****

```

Testing for existence of a level relationship among the variables in the ARDL model

```

*****
F-statistic  95% Lower Bound  95% Upper Bound  90% Lower Bound  90% Upper Bound
8.5486       2.8218          4.3963         2.3301         3.7152

W-statistic  95% Lower Bound  95% Upper Bound  90% Lower Bound  90% Upper Bound
76.9375     25.3963         39.5670         20.9707         33.4365

```

If the statistic lies between the bounds, the test is inconclusive. If it is above the upper bound, the null hypothesis of no level effect is rejected. If it is below the lower bound, the null hypothesis of no level effect can't be rejected. The critical value bounds are computed by stochastic simulations using 20000 replications.

Error Correction Representation for the Selected ARDL Model  
ARDL(2,2,2,2,1,2,2,2,2) selected based on Schwarz Bayesian Criterion

```

*****
Dependent variable is dLRGDPK
30 observations used for estimation from 1985 to 2014
*****

```

Regressor	Coefficient	Standard Error	T-Ratio[Prob]
dLRGDPK1	-.54713	.15868	-3.4480[.005]
dLDE	.071255	.12119	.58796[.567]
dLDE1	-.71640	.17637	-4.0619[.002]
dLAI	.54872	2.3368	.23482[.818]
dLAI1	-15.3946	3.5852	-4.2939[.001]
dPI	-.030959	.018709	-1.6547[.124]
dPI1	-.054297	.021906	-2.4787[.029]
dTHR	-.13571	.031182	-4.3521[.001]
dLDETHR	.24389	.080631	3.0248[.011]
dLDETHR1	.084378	.054376	1.5518[.147]
dLDEPI	.11730	.089878	1.3051[.216]
dLDEPI1	-.34999	.079839	-4.3838[.001]
dLDEAI	-.50746	2.3343	-.21739[.832]
dLDEAI1	15.3528	3.5813	4.2869[.001]
dLEDU	.74256	.26272	2.8265[.015]
dLEDU1	-.68682	.22451	-3.0593[.010]
ecm(-1)	-.67614	.15000	-4.5076[.001]

```

*****

```



## Appendix B

### Research and Development Model

```

Autoregressive Distributed Lag Estimates
ARDL(1,2,0,0) selected based on Schwarz Bayesian Criterion
*****
Dependent variable is LRGDPK
31 observations used for estimation from 1984 to 2014
*****
Regressor      Coefficient      Standard Error      T-Ratio[Prob]
LRGDPK(-1)     .84832             .10765              7.8806[.000]
LLFT           24.5563           4.3913              5.5921[.000]
LLFT(-1)       -41.8992           7.6338              -5.4886[.000]
LLFT(-2)       23.9600           4.2660              5.6165[.000]
LINV           .17553             .090313             1.9435[.063]
LRD            -.042581           .011518             -3.6968[.001]
INPT           .91337             .51293              1.7807[.087]
*****
R-Squared      .96490             R-Bar-Squared      .95950
S.E. of Regression .053359          F-Stat.            F(4,26)            178.6763[.000]
Mean of Dependent Variable 6.5107          S.D. of Dependent Variable .26514
Residual Sum of Squares .074027          Equation Log-likelihood 49.5912
Akaike Info. Criterion 44.5912          Schwarz Bayesian Criterion 41.0062
DW-statistic    1.7379          Durbin's h-statistic .91141[.362]
*****

Testing for existence of a level relationship among the variables in the ARDL model
*****
F-statistic  95% Lower Bound  95% Upper Bound  90% Lower Bound  90% Upper Bound
7.0973       3.7320             5.0460           3.0233           4.1943

W-statistic  95% Lower Bound  95% Upper Bound  90% Lower Bound  90% Upper Bound
4.3890       14.9281            20.1841          12.0933          16.7770
*****
If the statistic lies between the bounds, the test is inconclusive. If it is
above the upper bound, the null hypothesis of no level effect is rejected. If
it is below the lower bound, the null hypothesis of no level effect can't be
rejected. The critical value bounds are computed by stochastic simulations
using 20000 replications.
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Diagnostic Tests
*****
*   Test Statistics   *           LM Version           *           F Version           *
*****
*   A:Serial Correlation*CHSQ(1) = .72142[.396]*F(1,25) = .59566[.447]*
*   *               *           *           *           *
*   B:Functional Form *CHSQ(1) = 1.3947[.238]*F(1,25) = 1.1778[.288]*
*   *               *           *           *           *
*   C:Normality      *CHSQ(2) = .33719[.845]*           Not applicable
*   *               *           *           *           *
*   D:Heteroscedasticity*CHSQ(1) = .61783[.432]*F(1,29) = .58973[.449]*
*****
A:Lagrange multiplier test of residual serial correlation
B:Ramsey's RESET test using the square of the fitted values
C:Based on a test of skewness and kurtosis of residuals
D:Based on the regression of squared residuals on squared fitted values
```

Estimated Long Run Coefficients using the ARDL Approach  
ARDL(1,2,0,0) selected based on Schwarz Bayesian Criterion  
\*\*\*\*\*  
Dependent variable is LRGDPK  
30 observations used for estimation from 1985 to 2014  
\*\*\*\*\*

Regressor	Coefficient	Standard Error	T-Ratio[Prob]
LLFT	23.0122	6.5785	3.4981[.002]
LINV	-.73512	.36742	-2.0008[.057]
LRD	-.28833	.16231	-1.7764[.089]
INPT	-8.4469	3.8348	-2.2027[.038]

\*\*\*\*\*

Testing for existence of a level relationship among the variables in the ARDL model  
\*\*\*\*\*

F-statistic	95% Lower Bound	95% Upper Bound	90% Lower Bound	90% Upper Bound
2.2360	3.7038	5.0495	3.0123	4.1752

  

W-statistic	95% Lower Bound	95% Upper Bound	90% Lower Bound	90% Upper Bound
8.9439	14.8151	20.1980	12.0492	16.7008

\*\*\*\*\*

If the statistic lies between the bounds, the test is inconclusive. If it is above the upper bound, the null hypothesis of no level effect is rejected. If it is below the lower bound, the null hypothesis of no level effect can't be rejected. The critical value bounds are computed by stochastic simulations using 20000 replications.

Error Correction Representation for the Selected ARDL Model  
ARDL(1,1,2,0,0) selected based on Schwarz Bayesian Criterion  
\*\*\*\*\*  
Dependent variable is dLRGDPK  
30 observations used for estimation from 1985 to 2014  
\*\*\*\*\*

Regressor	Coefficient	Standard Error	T-Ratio[Prob]
dLRGDPK1	-.54713	.15868	-3.4480[.005]
dLLFT	10.7477	3.4844	3.0845[.005]
dLLFT1	-11.7444	3.6366	-3.2295[.004]
dLINV	-.11887	.051638	-2.3019[.030]
dLRD	-.046621	.012458	-3.7424[.001]
ecm(-1)	-.16170	.080840	-2.0002[.057]

\*\*\*\*\*

Universiti Utara Malaysia

## Model B

```

Autoregressive Distributed Lag Estimates
ARDL(1,2,0,0,0,0) selected based on Schwarz Bayesian Criterion
*****
Dependent variable is LRGDPK
30 observations used for estimation from 1985 to 2014
*****
Regressor      Coefficient      Standard Error      T-Ratio[Prob]
LRGDPK(-1)     .46048             .12583              3.6595[.001]
LLFT           13.2610           4.5643              2.9054[.008]
LLFT(-1)       -22.9682           7.3133              -3.1406[.005]
LLFT(-2)       13.9233            3.9062              3.5644[.002]
LINV           -1.10289           .048722             -2.1119[.047]
LEXA           1.7432             .73503              2.3716[.027]
LEXAF          -1.6882            1.4861              -1.1360[.269]
LEXN           1.7032             1.6081              1.0591[.302]
INPT           -8.1306            1.5588              -5.2159[.000]
*****
R-Squared      .97896             R-Bar-Squared      .97094
S.E. of Regression .045849          F-Stat.    F(8,21)  122.1135[.000]
Mean of Dependent Variable 6.5142          S.D. of Dependent Variable .26895
Residual Sum of Squares .044144          Equation Log-likelihood 55.2542
Akaike Info. Criterion 46.2542          Schwarz Bayesian Criterion 39.9488
DW-statistic    1.9716          Durbin's h-statistic .10725[.915]
*****

Testing for existence of a level relationship among the variables in the ARDL model
*****
F-statistic  95% Lower Bound  95% Upper Bound  90% Lower Bound  90% Upper Bound
4.9350       3.1173           4.6127           2.5745           3.8443

W-statistic  95% Lower Bound  95% Upper Bound  90% Lower Bound  90% Upper Bound
29.6103      18.7037           27.6759          15.4469          23.0660
*****
If the statistic lies between the bounds, the test is inconclusive. If it is
above the upper bound, the null hypothesis of no level effect is rejected. If
it is below the lower bound, the null hypothesis of no level effect can't be
rejected. The critical value bounds are computed by stochastic simulations
using 20000 replications.

Diagnostic Tests
*****
*      Test Statistics      *      LM Version      *      F Version      *
*****
*      A:Serial Correlation*CHSQ(1) = .0031882[.955]*F(1,20) = .0021257[.964]*
*      *      *      *      *      *
*      B:Functional Form  *CHSQ(1) = .80002[.371]*F(1,20) = .54796[.468]*
*      *      *      *      *      *
*      C:Normality       *CHSQ(2) = 3.5095[.173]*      Not applicable      *
*      *      *      *      *      *
*      D:Heteroscedasticity*CHSQ(1) = .044002[.834]*F(1,28) = .041129[.841]*
*****
A:Lagrange multiplier test of residual serial correlation
B:Ramsey's RESET test using the square of the fitted values
C:Based on a test of skewness and kurtosis of residuals
D:Based on the regression of squared residuals on squared fitted values

```

Estimated Long Run Coefficients using the ARDL Approach  
ARDL(1,2,0,0,0) selected based on Schwarz Bayesian Criterion  
\*\*\*\*\*  
Dependent variable is LRGDPK  
30 observations used for estimation from 1985 to 2014  
\*\*\*\*\*

Regressor	Coefficient	Standard Error	T-Ratio[Prob]
LLFT	7.8146	1.5371	5.0838[.000]
LINV	-.19071	.10184	-1.8727[.075]
LEXA	3.2311	1.5513	2.0829[.050]
LEXAF	-3.1291	2.9606	-1.0569[.303]
LEXN	3.1568	3.0496	1.0352[.312]
INPT	-15.0701	1.9005	-7.9296[.000]

\*\*\*\*\*

Testing for existence of a level relationship among the variables in the ARDL model  
\*\*\*\*\*

F-statistic	95% Lower Bound	95% Upper Bound	90% Lower Bound	90% Upper Bound
4.9350	3.1173	4.6127	2.5745	3.8443

  

W-statistic	95% Lower Bound	95% Upper Bound	90% Lower Bound	90% Upper Bound
29.6103	18.7037	27.6759	15.4469	23.0660

\*\*\*\*\*

If the statistic lies between the bounds, the test is inconclusive. If it is above the upper bound, the null hypothesis of no level effect is rejected. If it is below the lower bound, the null hypothesis of no level effect can't be rejected. The critical value bounds are computed by stochastic simulations using 20000 replications.

Error Correction Representation for the Selected ARDL Model  
ARDL(1,2,0,0,0) selected based on Schwarz Bayesian Criterion  
\*\*\*\*\*  
Dependent variable is dLRGDPK  
30 observations used for estimation from 1985 to 2014  
\*\*\*\*\*

Regressor	Coefficient	Standard Error	T-Ratio[Prob]
dLRGDPK1	-.44713	.15868	-3.4480[.005]
dLLFT	13.2610	4.5643	2.9054[.008]
dLLFT1	-13.9233	3.9062	-3.5644[.002]
dLINV	-.10289	.048722	-2.1119[.046]
dLEXA	1.7432	.73503	2.3716[.027]
dLEXAF	-1.6882	1.4861	-1.1360[.268]
dLEXN	1.7032	1.6081	1.0591[.301]
ecm(-1)	-.53952	.12583	-4.2876[.000]

\*\*\*\*\*

## Appendix C

### Asymmetric Causality

DE+ to GDP+

This program performs an asymmetric causality test developed by Hatemi-J (2012).

Reference: Hatemi-J (2012) Asymmetric Causality Tests with an Application, Empirical Economics, 43:447\_456

This program code is the copyright of the authors. Applications are allowed only if proper reference and acknowledgments are provided.  
For non-Commercial applications only.

No performance guarantee is made. Bug reports are welcome.

AhatTU=

-3.4235088e+010	1.0090531	35.334215	4.2893255e+010	-0.10510747	-71.811229	-4.0487966e+010	0.092886632
3.1117674e+008	0.00011692263	1.6629096	-2.1101154e+008	-0.00082807344	-0.46311271	2.0094870e+008	0.00067728830
0.23426455	-6.9186569e-015	-4.6536320e-012	1.2499832	1.6740568e-014	6.0311661e-012	-0.30826727	2.1965732e-014

AhatTR=

-3.5988419e+010	0.96806206	0.00000000	4.6567596e+010	-0.037566640	0.00000000	-4.7037692e+010	0.069872302
3.1117674e+008	0.00011692263	1.6629096	-2.1101154e+008	-0.00082807344	-0.46311271	2.0094870e+008	0.00067728830
0.23426455	-6.9186569e-015	-4.6536320e-012	1.2499832	1.6740568e-014	6.0311661e-012	-0.30826727	2.1965732e-014

-----  
Information criterion used; lags based on that =Hatemi-J Criterion (HJC) 2.000  
Varorder chosen by information criterion (excluding augmentation lag(s)) is 2.000  
additional lags=1.000

Wstat = 5.493

Wcriticalvals=

10.733

6.489

4.874

DE- to GDP-

This program performs an asymmetric causality test developed by Hatemi-J (2012).

Reference: Hatemi-J (2012) Asymmetric Causality Tests with an Application, Empirical Economics, 43:447\_456

This program code is the copyright of the authors. This program code is the copyright of the authors. Applications are allowed only if proper reference and acknowledgments are provided. For non-Commercial applications only.No performance guarantee is made. Bug reports are welcome.

AhatTU=

3.5270072e+009	0.90023908	-1.4528217	-5.4341599e+008	-0.026937212	3.5054291	1.9541471e+009
84521635.	-0.0011371703	1.0795248	-1.6420204e+008	0.00071734546	-0.085823360	2.2372328e+008
-0.16367251	-4.0926558e-013	-8.7648350e-012	1.2740392	1.8080829e-013	1.4353625e-011	-0.28874489

AhatTR=

3.4130834e+009	0.90009028	0.00000000	-3.0898607e+008	-0.025719292	2.0489361	1.6369805e+009
84521635.	-0.0011371703	1.0795248	-1.6420204e+008	0.00071734546	-0.085823360	2.2372328e+008
-0.16367251	-4.0926558e-013	-8.7648350e-012	1.2740392	1.8080829e-013	1.4353625e-011	-0.28874489

-----  
Information criterion used; lags based on that =Hatemi-J Criterion (HJC) 1.000  
Varorder chosen by information criterion (excluding augmentation lag(s)) is 1.000  
additional lags=1.000

Wstat = 0.248

Wcriticalvals=

20.976

3.986

1.630

DE+ to GDP-

This program performs an asymmetric causality test developed by Hatemi-J (2012).

Reference: Hatemi-J (2012) Asymmetric Causality Tests with an Application, Empirical Economics, 43:447\_456

This program code is the copyright of the authors. This program code is the copyright of the authors. Applications are allowed only if proper reference and acknowledgments are provided. For non-Commercial applications only. No performance guarantee is made. Bug reports are welcome.

AhatTU=

9.1663097e+009	0.81712759	-4.5361582	6.0945202e+010	0.10526717	51.650915	-5.7652022e+010
-1.1914355e+008	-0.00027341947	1.0920909	42281196.	0.00029422555	-0.11315874	20439625.
0.22390637	1.8354227e-015	-7.0936017e-012	1.2551992	1.7744331e-014	5.4520903e-012	-0.28572687

AhatTR=

1.0687393e+010	0.81487290	0.00000000	5.7428947e+010	0.10743971	47.256223	-5.4534834e+010
-1.1914355e+008	-0.00027341947	1.0920909	42281196.	0.00029422555	-0.11315874	20439625.
0.22390637	1.8354227e-015	-7.0936017e-012	1.2551992	1.7744331e-014	5.4520903e-012	-0.28572687

-----  
Information criterion used; lags based on that =Hatemi-J Criterion (HJC) 1.000

Varorder chosen by information criterion (excluding augmentation lag(s)) is 1.000

additional lags=1.000

Wstat = 0.032

Wcriticalvals=

12.808

4.843

2.674

DE- to GDP+

This program performs an asymmetric causality test developed by Hatemi-J (2012).

Reference: Hatemi-J (2012) Asymmetric Causality Tests with an Application, Empirical Economics, 43:447\_456

This program code is the copyright of the authors. This program code is the copyright of the authors. Applications are allowed only if proper reference and acknowledgments are provided. For non-Commercial applications only. No performance guarantee is made. Bug reports are welcome.

AhatTU=

-4.5245367e+010	0.81221691	1.6864994	5.0949606e+010	0.11110042	47.769040	-5.6016106e+010
73436426.	-0.00023554493	1.0872450	-1.4635815e+008	0.00028296827	-0.11973666	2.0245962e+008
-0.16983602	5.3316763e-014	-9.7610322e-012	1.2723172	-5.8023644e-014	1.3377251e-011	-0.28892188

AhatTR=

-4.5108970e+010	0.81318819	0.00000000	5.0651513e+010	0.11023630	49.379056	-5.5626778e+010
73436426.	-0.00023554493	1.0872450	-1.4635815e+008	0.00028296827	-0.11973666	2.0245962e+008
-0.16983602	5.3316763e-014	-9.7610322e-012	1.2723172	-5.8023644e-014	1.3377251e-011	-0.28892188

-----  
Information criterion used; lags based on that =Hatemi-J Criterion (HJC) 1.000  
Varorder chosen by information criterion (excluding augmentation lag(s)) is 1.000  
additional lags=1.000  
Wstat = 0.005  
Wcriticalvals=  
12.714  
4.851  
2.598



GDP+ to DE+

This program performs an asymmetric causality test developed by Hatemi-J (2012).

Reference: Hatemi-J (2012) Asymmetric Causality Tests with an Application, Empirical Economics, 43:447\_456

This program code is the copyright of the authors. This program code is the copyright of the authors. Applications are allowed only if proper reference and acknowledgments are provided. For non-Commercial applications only. No performance guarantee is made. Bug reports are welcome.

AhatTU=

3.1117674e+008	1.6629096	0.00011692262	-2.1101154e+008	-0.46311271	-0.00082807345	2.0094870e+008	-0.17516685
-3.4235088e+010	35.334215	1.0090531	4.2893255e+010	-71.811229	-0.10510747	-4.0487966e+010	37.138261
0.23426455	-4.6536320e-012	-6.9186574e-015	1.2499832	6.0311662e-012	1.6740567e-014	-0.30826727	-2.6742868e-012

AhatTR=

3.1863978e+008	1.6449330	0.00000000	-2.0085200e+008	-0.42784977	0.00000000	1.5785145e+008	-0.19447531
-							
-3.4235088e+010	35.334215	1.0090531	4.2893255e+010	-71.811229	-0.10510747	-4.0487966e+010	37.138261
0.23426455	-4.6536320e-012	-6.9186574e-015	1.2499832	6.0311662e-012	1.6740567e-014	-0.30826727	-2.6742868e-012

-----  
Information criterion used; lags based on that =Hatemi-J Criterion (HJC) 2.000

Varorder chosen by information criterion (excluding augmentation lag(s)) is 2.000

additional lags=1.000

Wstat = 1.234

Wcriticalvals=

11.466

6.574

4.744

GDP- to DE-

This program performs an asymmetric causality test developed by Hatemi-J (2012).

Reference: Hatemi-J (2012) Asymmetric Causality Tests with an Application, Empirical Economics, 43:447\_456

This program code is the copyright of the authors. This program code is the copyright of the authors. Applications are allowed only if proper reference and acknowledgments are provided. For non-Commercial applications only. No performance guarantee is made. Bug reports are welcome.

AhatTU=

84521635.	1.0795248	-0.0011371703	-1.6420204e+008	-0.085823360	0.00071734546	2.2372328e+008
3.5270072e+009	-1.4528217	0.90023908	-5.4341599e+008	3.5054291	-0.026937212	1.9541471e+009
-0.16367251	-8.7648350e-012	-4.0926558e-013	1.2740392	1.4353625e-011	1.8080829e-013	-0.28874489

AhatTR=

79301327.	1.0794111	0.00000000	-1.7102882e+008	-0.087793269	-0.00029091346	2.2901594e+008
3.5270072e+009	-1.4528217	0.90023908	-5.4341599e+008	3.5054291	-0.026937212	1.9541471e+009
-0.16367251	-8.7648350e-012	-4.0926558e-013	1.2740392	1.4353625e-011	1.8080829e-013	-0.28874489

-----  
Information criterion used; lags based on that =Hatemi-J Criterion (HJC) 1.000  
Varorder chosen by information criterion (excluding augmentation lag(s)) is 1.000  
additional lags=1.000  
Wstat = 0.145  
Wcriticalvals=  
20.966  
3.926  
1.794

GDP+ to DE-

This program performs an asymmetric causality test developed by Hatemi-J (2012).

Reference: Hatemi-J (2012) Asymmetric Causality Tests with an Application, Empirical Economics, 43:447\_456

This program code is the copyright of the authors. This program code is the copyright of the authors. Applications are allowed only if proper reference and acknowledgments are provided. For non-Commercial applications only. No performance guarantee is made. Bug reports are welcome.

AhatTU=

2.5487545e+008	1.6439418	-0.0014416043	-1.6116037e+008	-0.42952527	-1.6664417e-005	1.4457694e+008	-0.19143700	.
-3.3638170e+009	-1.5327630	0.95655738	7.0822117e+008	1.9838225	0.0026030504	-3.1546114e+009	-0.35007233	-
0.25594971	-4.1477742e-012	-1.9591629e-013	1.2455471	4.9968646e-012	2.3821748e-013	-0.30871794	-4.1028943e-013	

AhatTR=

2.7266800e+008	1.6459022	0.00000000	-1.7714361e+008	-0.42918714	0.00000000	1.4912189e+008	-0.19406135	-
-3.3638170e+009	-1.5327630	0.95655738	7.0822117e+008	1.9838225	0.0026030504	-3.1546114e+009	-0.35007233	-
0.25594971	-4.1477742e-012	-1.9591629e-013	1.2455471	4.9968646e-012	2.3821748e-013	-0.30871794	-4.1028943e-013	

-----  
Information criterion used; lags based on that =Hatemi-J Criterion (HJC) 2.000  
Varorder chosen by information criterion (excluding augmentation lag(s)) is 2.000  
additional lags=1.000  
Wstat = 0.123  
Wcriticalvals=  
18.078  
7.980  
4.965

GDP- to DE+

This program code is the copyright of the authors. Applications are allowed only if proper reference and acknowledgments are provided. For non-Commercial applications only.  
No performance guarantee is made. Bug reports are welcome.

AhatTU=

-2.7253824e+008	1.6521820	-0.0011958954	-7.8135559e+008	-0.44010476	-0.00027723355	4.5290815e+008	-0.19051167	-
5.6882348e+009	-1.5525218	0.94060663	2.6175988e+009	1.9841414	0.00054161131	-1.8196876e+009	-0.28667062	-
-0.17239514	-7.8331183e-012	-3.0556835e-013	1.2897090	1.1605222e-011	7.7969372e-014	-0.33977152	-3.2285125e-012	-

AhatTR=

-2.8875444e+008	1.6537892	0.00000000	-7.8602631e+008	-0.43932069	0.00000000	4.4646671e+008	-0.19330443	-
5.6882348e+009	-1.5525218	0.94060663	2.6175988e+009	1.9841414	0.00054161131	-1.8196876e+009	-0.28667062	-
-0.17239514	-7.8331183e-012	-3.0556835e-013	1.2897090	1.1605222e-011	7.7969372e-014	-0.33977152	-3.2285125e-012	-

-----  
Information criterion used; lags based on that =Hatemi-J Criterion (HJC) 2.000  
Varorder chosen by information criterion (excluding augmentation lag(s)) is 2.000  
additional lags=1.000

Wstat = 0.104

Wcriticalvals=

18.028

7.561

4.838