Investigating the Impact of Different Representations of Data on Neural Network and Regression

This thesis is presented to the Graduate School
In fulfilment of the requirements for
Master of Science (Information Technology)
Universiti Utara Malaysia

Ву

Ehab A. Omer El Fallah

W. S.



KOLEJ SASTERA DAN SAINS (College of Arts and Sciences) Universiti Utara Malaysia

PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK (Certificate of Project Paper)

Saya, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa (I, the undersigned, certify that)

EHAB A. OMER EL FALLAH

calon untuk Ijazah (candidate for the degree of) MSc. (Information Technology)

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk (has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

INVESTIGATING THE IMPACT OF DIFFERENT REPRESENTATION OF DATA ON NEURAL NETWORK AND REGRESSION

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek (as it appears on the title page and front cover of project paper)

bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan. (that the project paper acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia Utama

(Name of Main Supervisor): ASSOC. PROF. FADZILAH SIRAJ

Tandatangan (Signature)

Tarikh (Date) 22/06/08

PERMISION TO USE

This thesis presents a partial fulfilment of the requirement for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia. I agree that the Universiti Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that the permission for copyright of this these in many manners, in whole or part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor or, in their absence by the Dean of the Graduate School. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Graduate School Universiti Utara Malaysia 06010 UUM Sintok Kedah Darul Aman.

ABSTRACT

In this research the impact of different data representation on the performance of neural network and regression was investigated on different datasets that has binary or Boolean class target. In addition, the performance of particular predictive data mining model could be affected with the change of data representation. The seven data representations that have been used in this research are As Is, Min Max normalization, standard deviation normalization, sigmoidal normalization, thermometer representation, flag representation and simple binary representation. Moreover, all data representations have been applied on two datasets which are Wisconsin breast cancer and German credit dataset. As a result, the neural network performance is better than logistic regression on both datasets if we exclude the thermometer and flag representations. For datasets having a binary or Boolean target class, flag or thermometer binary representation is recommended to be used if logistic regression analysis is performed. Meanwhile, As is representation, min max normalization, standard deviation normalization or sigmoidal normalization is recommended for neural network analysis on datasets having binary or Boolean target class.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Title		Page
TITLE .		
PERMIS	SION TO USE	i
ABSTR	ACT	ii
ACKNO	WLEDGEMENTS	i
TABLE	OF CONTENTS	
LIST OF	F FIGURES	vi
LIST OF	TABLES	vii
	ER 1 INTRODUCTION	
	kground	
1.1. PRO	OBLEM STATEMENT	
	JECTIVE	
	NIFICANCE	
1.4. SCC	OPE AND LIMITATION	5
	ER 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
	a mining applications	
2.2. Diff	ferent predictive models on different data representation	11
	ER 3 METHODOLOGY	
3.0	Introduction	
3.1.	Data Collection	
3.2.	Data preparation	21
3.2.1.	Data description	
3.2.1.1.	Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset	
3.2.1.2.	German credit	
3.2.2.	Data Cleaning	
3.3.	Analysis and experiment	
3.3.1.	Data representation	
3.3.1.1.	As_Is	
3.3.1.2.	Min Max normalization	
3.3.1.3.	Standard deviation normalization	
3.3.1.4.	Sigmoidal normalization	
3.3.1.5.	Thermometer binary	
3.3.1.6.	Flag binary	52
3.3.1.7.	Simple binary	د د م
3.3.1.8.	Change continuous into categorical	
3.3.2.	Regression modelArtificial Neural Network Model	عرع مر
3.3.3.		
3 4	Investigation and Comparison	48

	TER 4 ANALYSIS AND EXPERIMENT	
4.0 In	troduction	
4 .1.	Regression analysis	
4.1.1.	As_Is representation	
4.1.2.	Min Max normalization	
4.1.3.	Standard deviation normalization	
4.1.4.	Sigmoidal normalization	•••••
4.1.5.	Thermometer representation	
4.1.6.	Flag representation	
4.1.7.	Simple binary representation	•••••
4.2.	Artificial neural network	
4.2.1.	As_Is representation	
4.2.2.	Min Max normalization	
4.2.3.	Standard deviation normalization	
4.2.4.	Sigmoidal normalization	
4.2.5.	Thermometer representation	
4.2.6.	Flag representation	
4.2.7.	Simple binary representation	
4.3.	Conclusion	
CHAP	TER 5 INVESTIGATION AND COMPARISON	
5.0	Introduction	
5.1.	Regression analysis	
5.2.	Neural network analysis	1
6.0	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	
6.1.	Discussion	
6.2.	Conclusion	
	Limitation	

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Wisconsin breast cancer dataset size	THE	Page
Table 3.2: Wisconsin Breast Cancer Dataset attribute description	Table 3.1: Wisconsin breast cancer dataset size	21
Table 3.3: German credit dataset size. 122 Table 3.5: Min max normalization on Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 226 Table 3.6: Min Max normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 277 Table 3.7: Standard Deviation normalization on Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 287 Table 3.7: Standard deviation normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS as example. 287 Table 3.8: Standard deviation normalization on German credit dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 287 Table 3.9: Sigmoidal normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS as example. 298 Table 3.11: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 309 Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 310 Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 311 Table 3.13: Flag representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 312 Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 312 Table 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 320 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 321 Table 4.2: Annibus 1 sets of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer. 322 Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer. 323 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 324 Table 4.7: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer. 325 Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer. 326 Table 4.10: Consideration Table step 1 for German credit using all variable. 327 Table 4.10: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 328 Table 4.10: Consideration Table step 1 for German credit using all variable. 329 Table	Table 3.2: Wisconsin Breast Cancer Dataset attribute description	21
Table 3.4: German credit dataset attribute description 22 Table 3.6: Min Max normalization on Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example 27 Table 3.6: Min Max normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example 28 Table 3.7: Standard Deviation normalization on Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example 28 Table 3.8: Standard deviation normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS as example 29 Table 3.9: Sigmoidal normalization on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example 29 Table 3.10: Sigmoidal normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example 30 Table 3.11: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example 31 Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example 32 Table 3.13: Flag representation on German credit dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example 33 Table 3.14: Plag representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example 34 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example 35 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer cell dataset taking Cellsize as example 36 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer 47 Table 4.2: Onnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer 48 Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer 49 Table 4.4: Model Summery for Wisconsin breast cancer 40 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer 41 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer 42 Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer 43 Table 4.1: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer 44 Table 4.1: Model Summery for German credit using all variable 45 Table 4.1: Correlation for on significant for German credit using all variable 46 Table 4.1		
Table 3.5: Min max normalization on Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 27 Table 3.7: Standard Deviation normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 28 Table 3.7: Standard Deviation normalization on Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 28 Table 3.8: Standard deviation normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS as example. 29 Table 3.9: Sigmoidal normalization on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 30 Table 3.11: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 31 Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 31 Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 31 Table 3.13: Plag representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 32 Table 3.14: Elag representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 33 Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 34 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 35 Table 4.10: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 46 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer. 47 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer. 48 Table 4.4: Cassification Table step 10 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 49 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 10 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 50 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 10 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 51 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 52 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 63 Table 4.10: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable. 64 Table 4.10: Correlation matrix for Wiscon	Table 3.4: German credit dataset attribute description	23
Table 3.6: Min Max normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 22 Table 3.7: Standard Deviation normalization on Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 28 Table 3.8: Standard deviation normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS as example. 29 Table 3.10: Sigmoidal normalization on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 29 Table 3.10: Sigmoidal normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 30 Table 3.11: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 31 Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 32 Table 3.13: Flag representation on German credit dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 33 Table 3.14: Flag representation on German credit dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 34 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize as example. 35 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 36 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 37 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 38 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 59 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer. 50 Table 4.4: Model Summery for Wisconsin breast cancer. 51 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 52 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 55 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 56 Table 4.7: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer. 57 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 60 Table 4.11: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable. 61 Table 4		
Table 3.7: Standard Deviation normalization on Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 28 Table 3.8: Standard deviation normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS as example. 28 Table 3.9: Sigmoidal normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS as example. 29 Table 3.10: Sigmoidal normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS as example. 20 Table 3.11: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 31 Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 31 Table 3.12: Plag representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 32 Table 3.14: Plag representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 33 Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 33 Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 34 Table 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset taking CellSize as example. 35 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer 46 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer. 47 Table 4.4: Model Summery for Wisconsin breast cancer. 48 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 10 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 49 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 10 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 50 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 10 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 51 Table 4.8: As ja Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer. 52 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 53 Table 4.1: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable. 54 Table 4.1: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable. 55 Table 4.1: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer. 56 Table 4.1: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable		
xample 28 Table 3.8: Standard deviation normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS as example. 28 Table 3.9: Sigmoidal normalization on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 30 Table 3.10: Sigmoidal normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 31 Table 3.11: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 31 Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 32 Table 3.13: Flag representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 32 Table 3.14: Flag representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 32 Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize as example. 33 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 33 Table 3.16: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset. 35 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 34 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer. 34 Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer. 35 Table 4.4: Model Summery for Wisconsin breast cancer. 35 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 35 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 35 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 36 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 36 Table 4.1: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable. 36 Table 4.1: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer. 37 Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer. 37 Table 4.1: Caseification Table step 1 for German credit using all variable. 36 Table 4.1: Correlation for significant for German credit using all variable. 36 Table 4.1: Correlation for Table step 1 for German credit using selected va	Table 3.7: Standard Deviation normalization on Wisconsin Breast Cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as	
Table 3.9: Sigmoidal normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS as example. Table 3.10: Sigmoidal normalization on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. Table 3.11: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. Table 3.13: Flag representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. Table 3.13: Flag representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 31 Table 3.13: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 32 Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 33 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 34 Table 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 35 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 54 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer. 55 Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer. 56 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 57 Table 4.5: Casesification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 58 Table 4.7: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer. 57 Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer. 57 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 60 Table 4.10: Onnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable. 60 Table 4.11: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable. 61 Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable. 62 Table 4.16: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable. 63 Table 4.16: Correlation for Si		28
Table 3.9: Sigmoidal normalization on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example. 31 Table 3.11: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 32 Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 33 Table 3.13: Flag representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 31 Table 3.15: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 32 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 33 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 33 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking Cellsize as example. 33 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking Cellsize as example. 33 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking Cellsize as example. 34 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 54 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 55 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 56 Table 4.2: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 57 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 58 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 59 Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer. 50 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 51 Table 4.1: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable. 52 Table 4.1: Classification Table step 0 for German credit using all variable. 53 Table 4.1: Classification Table step 0 for German credit using all variable. 54 Table 4.1: Classification Table step 0 for German credit using all variable. 55 Table 4.15: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable. 56 Table 4.16: Classifi		
Table 3.10: Sigmoidal normalization on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. Table 3.11: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 31. Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 32. Table 3.15: Flag representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 33. Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize as example. 33. Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 33. Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 34. Table 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset. 35. Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 36. Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer. 37. Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer. 38. Table 4.4: Model Summery for Wisconsin breast cancer. 39. Table 4.5: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 39. Table 4.5: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 39. Table 4.7: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer. 39. Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer. 39. Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 30. Table 4.10: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable. 31. Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable. 32. Table 4.13: Classification Table step 1 for German credit using all variable. 33. Table 4.15: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable. 34. Table 4.18: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 35. Table 4.18: Case Processing Summary for German credit using selected variable. 36. Table 4.19: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variab	Table 3.9: Sigmoidal normalization on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize attribute as example.	29
Table 3.11: Thermometer representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 31 Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 31 Table 3.13: Flag representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example. 32 Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize at example. 33 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 33 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking CellSize as example. 33 Table 3.16: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset. 35 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer 44 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer. 54 Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer. 54 Table 4.4: Model Summery for Wisconsin breast cancer. 55 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 56 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 57 Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer. 57 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 60 Table 4.11: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable. 61 Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable. 62 Table 4.15: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable. 63 Table 4.16: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable. 64 Table 4.19: Classification Table step 0 for German credit using all variable. 65 Table 4.19: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable. 66 Table 4.10: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable. 67 Table 4.15: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable. 68 Table 4.19: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable. 69 Tabl		
Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example		
Table 3.12: Thermometer representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example and table 3.13: Flag representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example and table 3.14: Flag representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example and 3.15: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking Cellsize as example and 3.15: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking Cellsize as example and 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset as example and 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset as example and 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset. 35: Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer 4.31: Alable 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer 5.34: Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer 5.34: Table 4.3: Wariables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer 5.35: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer 5.35: Table 4.6: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer 5.35: Table 4.6: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer 5.37: Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer 5.37: Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable 6.30: Alable 4.10: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable 6.30: Table 4.11: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable 6.30: Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable 6.30: Table 4.12: Classification Table step 1 for German credit using all variable 6.30: Table 4.12: Classification Table step 1 for German credit using all variable 6.30: Table 4.12: Correlation for significant for German credit using all variable 6.30: Table 4.13: Classification Table step 1 for German credit using selected variable 6.31: Table 4.14: Correlation for significant for German credit usi		
Table 3.14: Flag representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking Cellsize attribute as example 32 Table 3.14: Flag representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example 33 Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize as example 33 Table 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset . 35 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer . 34 Table 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset . 35 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer . 34 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer . 34 Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer . 34 Table 4.4: Model Summery for Wisconsin breast cancer . 35 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer . 35 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer . 36 Table 4.7: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer . 36 Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer . 37 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable . 36 Table 4.10: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable . 36 Table 4.11: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable . 36 Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable . 36 Table 4.13: Classification Table step 1 for German credit using all variable . 37 Table 4.14: Classification for best part of German credit using all variable . 37 Table 4.15: Correlation for significant for German credit using all variable . 37 Table 4.16: Correlation for on German credit using all variable . 37 Table 4.17: As is Accuracy for German credit using all variable . 37 Table 4.18: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable . 38 Table 4.19: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable . 39 Table 4.19: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all va		
Table 3.14: Flag representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example 33 Table 3.15: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example 34 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example 34 Table 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset 35 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer 54 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer 54 Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer 54 Table 4.4: Model Summery for Wisconsin breast cancer 55 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer 55 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer 55 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer 55 Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer 55 Table 4.9: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer 57 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable 60 Table 4.10: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable 60 Table 4.11: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable 61 Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable 62 Table 4.13: Classification Table step 0 for German credit using all variable 62 Table 4.14: Classification Table step 1 for German credit using all variable 62 Table 4.15: Correlation for significant for German credit using all variable 63 Table 4.16: Correlation for significant for German credit using all variable 63 Table 4.16: Correlation for significant for German credit using all variable 64 Table 4.19: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable 65 Table 4.20: Variables in the Equation for German credit using selected variable 65 Table 4.20: Variables in the Equation for German credit using selected variable 65 Table 4.20: Variables in the Equation for German credit using selected variable		
Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on Wisconsin breast cancer dataset taking CellSize as example. 33 Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example. 34 Table 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset. 35 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer. 54 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer. 54 Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer. 55 Table 4.4: Model Summery for Wisconsin breast cancer. 56 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 57 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer. 58 Table 4.5: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer. 59 Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer. 50 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 50 Table 4.10: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable. 51 Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable. 52 Table 4.13: Classification Table step 0 for German credit using all variable. 53 Table 4.15: Correlation for Bet step 1 for German credit using all variable. 54 Table 4.15: Correlation for German credit using all variable. 55 Table 4.16: Correlation for German credit using all variable. 56 Table 4.17: As is Accuracy for German credit using all variable. 57 Table 4.18: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 58 Table 4.19: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable. 59 Table 4.19: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable. 50 Table 4.19: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable. 51 Table 4.21: Valiable for the department of the step 1 for German credit using selected variable. 52 Table 4.21: Valiable for the forest partment of the step 1 for German credit using selected variable. 53 Table 4.21: Model Summery for German credit using selected variable		
Table 3.16: Simple binary representation on German credit dataset taking PersonalS attribute as example 34 Table 3.17: Changing continuous attributes into categorical in German credit dataset. 35 Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer 54 Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer 54 Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer 55 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer 55 Table 4.5: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer 55 Table 4.6: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer 55 Table 4.7: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer 57 Table 4.8: As_is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer 57 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 57 Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable. 50 Table 4.11: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable. 51 Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable. 51 Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable. 52 Table 4.13: Classification Table step 1 for German credit using all variable. 53 Table 4.16: Correlation for significant for German credit using all variable. 53 Table 4.16: Correlation for on on significant for German credit using all variable. 53 Table 4.17: As_is Accuracy for German credit using all variable. 53 Table 4.18: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable. 54 Table 4.19: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable. 54 Table 4.19: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using selected variable. 55 Table 4.19: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using selected variable. 56 Table 4.20: Variables in the Equation for German credit using selected variable. 57 Table 4.20: Variables in the Equation for German credit using selected variable. 57 Table 4.20: Classification Table step 1 for Min max German credit using selected variable. 57 Tabl		
Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer		
Table 4.1: Case Processing Summary for Wisconsin breast cancer		
Table 4.2: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for Wisconsin breast cancer		
Table 4.3: Variables in the Equation for Wisconsin breast cancer		
Table 4.9: Model Summery for Wisconsin breast cancer		
Table 4.5: Classification Table step 0 for Wisconsin breast cancer	<u>.</u>	
Table 4.6: Classification Table step 1 for Wisconsin breast cancer		
Table 4.7: Correlation matrix for Wisconsin breast cancer		
Table 4.9: Case Processing Summary for German credit using all variable		
Table 4.10: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable	Table 4.8: As is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer	57
Table 4.11: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable		
Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable	Table 4.10: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using all variable	60
Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable	Table 4.11: Variables in the Equation for German credit using all variable	61
Table 4.13: Classification Table step 0 for German credit using all variable	Table 4.12: Model Summery for German credit using all variable	62
Table 4.15: Correlation for significant for German credit using all variable	Table 4.13: Classification Table step 0 for German credit using all variable	62
Table 4.15: Correlation for significant for German credit using all variable	Table 4.14: Classification Table step 1 for German credit using all variable	63
Table 4.17: As_is Accuracy for German credit using all variable	Table 4.15: Correlation for significant for German credit using all variable	63
Table 4.18: Case Processing Summary for German credit using selected variable	Table 4.16: Correlation for non significant for German credit using all variable	63
Table 4.19: Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients for German credit using selected variable	Table 4.17: As_is Accuracy for German credit using all variable	64
Table 4.20: Variables in the Equation for German credit using selected variable		
Table 4.21: Model Summery for German credit using selected variable		
Table 4.22: Classification Table step 0 for German credit using selected variable	Table 4.20: Variables in the Equation for German credit using selected variable	65
Table 4.23: Classification Table step 1 for German credit using selected variable	Table 4.21: Model Summery for German credit using selected variable	66
Table 4.24: As_is Accuracy for selected variables for German credit using selected variable	Table 4.22: Classification Table step 0 for German credit using selected variable	67
Table 4.25: Classification Table step 1 for Min max Wisconsin breast cancer dataset68Table 4.26: Min Max Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset68Table 4.27: Classification Table step 1 for Min max German credit dataset using all variables69Table 4.28: Min Max Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables69Table 4.29: Classification Table step 1 for Min max German credit dataset using selected variables70Table 4.30: Min Max Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables70Table 4.31: Classification Table step 1 for standard deviation Wisconsin breast cancer dataset71Table 4.32: standard deviation Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset71Table 4.33: Classification Table step 1 for standard deviation German credit dataset using all variables71Table 4.34: standard deviation Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables72	Table 4.23: Classification Table step 1 for German credit using selected variable	67
Table 4.26: Min Max Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	Table 4.24: As_is Accuracy for selected variables for German credit using selected variable	67
Table 4.27: Classification Table step 1 for Min max German credit dataset using all variables69Table 4.28: Min Max Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables69Table 4.29: Classification Table step 1 for Min max German credit dataset using selected variables70Table 4.30: Min Max Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables70Table 4.31: Classification Table step 1 for standard deviation Wisconsin breast cancer dataset71Table 4.32: standard deviation Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset71Table 4.33: Classification Table step 1 for standard deviation German credit dataset using all variables71Table 4.34: standard deviation Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables72	Table 4.25: Classification Table step 1 for Min max Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	68
Table 4.28: Min Max Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	Table 4.26: Min Max Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset.	68
Table 4.29: Classification Table step 1 for Min max German credit dataset using selected variables	Table 4.27: Classification Table step 1 for Min max German credit dataset using all variables	69
Table 4.30: Min Max Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables		
Table 4.31: Classification Table step 1 for standard deviation Wisconsin breast cancer dataset		
Table 4.32: standard deviation Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	Table 4.30: Min Max Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	/0
Table 4.33: Classification Table step 1 for standard deviation German credit dataset using all variables	Table 4.31: Classification Table step 1 for standard deviation Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	/1
Table 4.34: standard deviation Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	Table 4.32: standard deviation Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	/1
	Table 4.33: Classification Table step 1 for standard deviation German credit dataset using all variables	/1
Table 4.35: Classification Table step 1 for standard deviation German credit dataset using selected variables		
	1 adie 4.55: Classification Table step 1 for standard deviation German credit dataset using selected variables	/2

Table 4.36: standard deviation Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	73
Table 4.37: Classification Table step 1 for sigmoidal Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	72
Table 4.38: sigmoidal Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	72
Table 4.39: Classification Table step 1 for sigmoidal German credit dataset using all variables	74
Table 4.40: sigmoidal Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	74
Table 4.41: Classification Table step 1 for sigmoidal German credit dataset using selected variables	75
Table 4.42: sigmoidal Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	75
Table 4.43: Classification Table step 1 for thermometer Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	76
Table 4.44: thermometer Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	76
Table 4.45: Classification Table step 1 for thermometer German credit dataset using all variables	77
Table 4.46: thermometer Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	/ /
Table 4.47: Classification Table step 1 for thermometer German credit dataset using selected variables	70
Table 4.48: thermometer Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	/0
Table 4.49: Classification Table step 1 for flag Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	/0
Table 4.50: flag Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	/8
Table 4.51: Classification Table step 1 for flag German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.52: flag Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.53: Classification Table step 1 for flag German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.54: flag Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.55: Classification Table step 1 for simple binary Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.56: simple binary Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.57: Classification Table step 1 for simple binary German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.58: simple binary Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.59: Classification Table step 1 for simple binary German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.60: simple binary Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.61: Investigate Hidden Unit for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.62: Investigate Hidden Unit with weight seed for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset.	
Table 4.63: Investigate learning rate for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.64: Investigate learning rate with weight seed for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.65: Investigate momentum rate for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.66: Investigate momentum rate with weight seed for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.67: Investigate activation function with weight seed for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.68: Investigate number of epoch for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.69: Investigate weigh seed for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.70: As_is Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.71: Investigate Hidden Unit for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.72: Investigate Hidden Unit with weight seed for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.73: Investigate learning rate for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.74: Investigate learning rate with weight seed for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.75: Investigate momentum rate for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.76: Investigate momentum rate with weight seed for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.77: Investigate activation function with weight seed for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.78: Investigate number of epoch for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.79: Investigate weigh seed for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.80: As_is Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	96
Table 4.81: Investigate Hidden Unit for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.82: Investigate Hidden Unit with weight seed for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.83: Investigate learning rate for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.84: Investigate learning rate with weight seed for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.85: Investigate momentum rate for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.86: Investigate momentum rate with weight seed for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.87: Investigate activation function with weight seed for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.88: Investigate number of epoch for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.89: Investigate weigh seed for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.90: As_is Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.91: Investigate weight seed for Min max Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.92: Min max Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.93: Investigate weigh seed for Min max German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.94: Min max Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.95: Investigate weigh seed for Min max German credit dataset using selected variables	106

Table 4.96: Min max Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	107
Table 4.97: Investigate weight seed for standard deviation Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	108
Table 4.98: standard deviation Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	108
Table 4.99: Investigate weigh seed for standard deviation German credit dataset using all variables	109
Table 4.100: standard deviation Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	109
Table 4.101: Investigate weigh seed for standard deviation German credit dataset using selected variables	110
Table 4.102: standard deviation Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	111
Table 4.103: Investigate weight seed for sigmoidal Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	112
Table 4.104: sigmoidal Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	112
Table 4.105: Investigate weigh seed for sigmoidal German credit dataset using all variables	113
Table 4.106: sigmoidal Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	113
Table 4.107: Investigate weigh seed for sigmoidal German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.108: sigmoidal Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.109: Investigate weight seed for thermometer Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.110: thermometer Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	116
Table 4.111: Investigate weigh seed for thermometer German credit dataset using all variables	117
Table 4.112: thermometer Accuracy for thermometer German credit dataset using all variables	117
Table 4.113: Investigate weigh seed for thermometer German credit dataset using selected variables	118
Table 4.114: Classification Table for thermometer German credit dataset using selected variables	119
Table 4.115: thermometer Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.116: Investigate weight seed for flag Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	120
Table 4.117: flag Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.118: Investigate weigh seed for flag German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.119: flag Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	121
Table 4.120: Investigate weigh seed for flag German credit dataset using selected variables	122
Table 4.121: Classification Table for flag German credit dataset using selected variables	123
Table 4.122: flag Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	123
Table 4.123: Investigate weight seed for simple binary Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	124
Table 4.124: simple binary Accuracy for Wisconsin breast cancer dataset	
Table 4.125: Investigate weigh seed for simple binary German credit dataset using all variables	125
Table 4.126: simple binary Accuracy for German credit dataset using all variables	
Table 4.127: Investigate weigh seed for simple binary German credit dataset using selected variables	
Table 4.128: Classification Table for simple binary German credit dataset using selected variables	127
Table 4.129: Simple binary Accuracy for German credit dataset using selected variables	127
Table 5.1: All regression and neural network accuracy.	128

ž

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Background

Data mining has been used widely in many different areas and domains to extract useful information from large amounts of data (Leung *et al.*, 2001). Instead of being its own field, data mining is a combination of several fields such as computer science, artificial intelligence and statistics (Remondino & Correndo, 2005). In addition, data mining is a crucial step in the Knowledge Discovery in Databases (KDD) process which comprises of data cleaning, data consolidation, data selection, data transformation, data mining, pattern analysis and knowledge presentation (Ozekes & Osman, 2003). There are two types of data mining models, namely the predictive and the descriptive (Kusiak, 2006; Remondino & Correndo, 2005; Ozekes & Osman, 2003). Descriptive data mining aims to summarize data and extract interesting properties from the data, while predictive data mining aims to build models and predict future behaviours. Data mining tasks can be grouped into four categories which are association, summarization, classification, clustering and trend analysis (Luo, 2008). There are many different methods of predictive data mining, for example, prediction, classification, regression, and time series.

The contents of the thesis is for internal user only

7. REFERENCES

- Abraham, R., Simha, J. B. & Iyengar S. S (2007). Medical Datamining with a New Algorithm for Feature Selection and Naive Bayesian Classifier. 10th International Conference, ICIT 2007: Information Technology, 17-20 Dec 2007 (pp. 44-49).
- Altun, H., Talcinoz, T. & Tezekiei B. S. (2000). Improvement in the Learning Process as a Function of Distribution Characteristics of Binary Data Set. 10th Mediterranean Electrotechnical Conference, 2000, Vol. 2 (pp. 567-569).
- An, L. & Tong, L. (2005). A rough neural expert system for medical diagnosis. Proceeding of International Conference, ICSSSM '05: Services Systems and Services Management, 13-15 June 2005, Vol. 2 (pp.1130-1135).
- Ashkenazy, Y., Ivanov, P. C., Havlin, S., Peng, C. K., Yamamoto, Y., Goldberger, A. L. & Stanley, H. E. (2000). Decomposition of Heartbeat Time Series: Scaling Analysis of the Sign Sequence. Computers in Cardiology 2000, 27, 139-142.
- Bengtsson, B., Bizios, D. & Heijl, A. (2005). Effects of Input Data on the Performance of a Neural Network in Distinguishing Normal and Glaucomatous Visual Fields. Investigative Ophthalmology and Visual Science, 46, 3730-3736. (URL: http://www.iovs.org/cgi/content/abstract/46/10/3730)
- Burke, H. B., Rosen, D. B. & Goodman, P. H. (1994). Comparing Artificial Neural Networks to Other Statistical Methods for Medical Outcome Prediction. IEEE International Conference: Neural Networks 1994, IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence, 27 June 2 July 1994, Vol. 4 (pp. 2213-2216).
- Chen L. & Kamel M. S. (2007). A New Design of Multiple Classifier System and its Application to the Classification of Time Series Data. IEEE International Conference, ISIC 2007: Systems, Man and Cybernetics, 7-10 October 2007 (pp. 385-391).

- Delen, D. & Patil, N. (2006). Knowledge Extraction from Prostate Cancer Data. Proceedings of the 39th Annual Hawaii International Conference, HICSS '06: System Sciences, 04-07 Jan 2006, Vol. 5 (pp. 92b-92b).
- El-Sebakhy, E. A., Faisal, K. A., Helmy, T., Azzedin, F. & Al-Suhaim, A. (2006). Evaluation of Breast Cancer Tumor Classification with Unconstrained Functional Networks Classifier. IEEE International Conference: Computer Systems and Applications, 8 March 2006 (pp. 281-287).
- Fausett, L. (1994). Fundamentals Of Neural Networks Architectures, Algorithms, and Applications. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey 07458: Prentice Hall.
- Forti, M. (2002). Some Extensions of a New Method to Analyze Complete Stability of Neural Networks. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 13(5), 1230-1238.
- Halstead, J. B. & Brown, D. E. (2004). Improving Upon Logistic Regression to Predict United States Army Delay Entry Program (DEP) Losses. Proceedings of the 2004 IEEE: Systems and Information Engineering Design Symposium, 16-16 April 2004 (pp. 191-201).
- Hashemi R. R., Bahar, M., Tyler, A. A. & Young, J. (2002). The Investigation of Mercury Presence in Human Blood: An Extrapolation from Animal Data Using Neural Networks. Proceedings of International Conference: Information Technology: Coding and Computing, 8-10 April 2002 (pp. 512-517).
- Hung, C. & Tsai, C. F. (2008). Market segmentation based on hierarchical self-organizing map for markets of multimedia on demand. Expert Systems with Applications, 34, 780-787.
- Jia, J. & Chua, H. C. (1993). Neural Network Encoding Approach Comparison: An Empirical Study. Proceedings of First New Zealand International Two-Stream Conference on Artificial Neural Networks and Expert Systems, 24-26 November 1993 (pp 38-41).

- Jia, J. & Chua, H. C. (1995). Solving Two-Spiral Problem through Input Data Representation. Proceedings Of IEEE International Conference 1995: Neural Networks, 27 Nov – 1 Dec 1995, Vol. 1 (pp. 132-135). Perth, WA.
- Kantardzic, M. (2003). DATA MINING: Concepts, Models, Methods and Algorithms. IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks, 14(2), 464-464.
- Kosmelj, K. & Vadnal, K. (2003). Comparison of two generalized logistic regression models: a case study. Proceedings of the 25th International Conference, ITI 2003: Information Technology Interfaces, 16-19 June 2003 (pp. 199-204).
- Ksantini, R., Ziou, D., Colin, B., & Dubeau, F. (2008). Weighted Pseudometric Discriminatory Power Improvement Using a Bayesian Logistic Regression Model Based on a Variational Method. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 30(2), 253-266.
- Kurt, I., Ture, M. & Kurum, A.T. (2008). Comparing performances of logistic regression, classification and regression tree, and neural networks for predicting coronary artery disease. Expert Systems with Applications, 34, 366-374.
- Kusiak, A. (2006). Data Mining in Design of Products and Production Systems. Proceedings of INCOM'2006: 12th IFAC/IFIP/IFORS/IEEE Symposium on Control Problems in Manufacturing, May 2006 (pp. 49-53). Saint-Etienne, France.
- Lean, Y., Wang, S. & Lai, K.K. (2006). An Integrated Data Preparation Scheme for Neural Network Data Analysis. IEEE Transactions on Knowledge and Data Engineering, 18(2), 217-230.
- Lee, A. H. I., Chen, W. C. & Chang, C. J.(2008). A fuzzy AHP and BSC approach for evaluating performance of IT department in the manufacturing industry in Taiwan. Expert Systems with Applications, 34, 96-107.

- Leung Y., Ma J. H. & Zhang W. X. (2001). A New Method for Mining Regression Classes in Large Data Sets. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 23(1), 5-21.
- Lewicke, A., Sazonov, E., Corwin, M. J., Neuman, M., Schuckers, S., & CHIME. (2008). Sleep Versus Wake Classification From Heart Rate Variability Using Computational Intelligence: Consideration of Rejection in Classification Models. IEEE Transactions on Biomedical Engineering, 55 (1), 108-118.
- Lin, C. T. & Chen. C. T. (2004). A Fuzzy-Logic-Based Approach for New Product Go/NoGo Decision at the Front End. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics, Part A, 34, 132-142.
- Lu, C., Devos, A., Suykens, J. A. K., Arus, C. & Huffel, S. V. (2007). Bagging Linear Sparse Bayesian Learning Models for Variable Selection in Cancer Diagnosis, IEEE Transactions on Information Technology in Biomedicine, 11(3), 338-347.
- Luo, Q. (2008). Advancing Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining. International Workshop on Knowledge Discovery and Data Mining, 23-24 January 2008 (pp. 3-5).
- Nawi, N. M., Ransing, M. R. and Ransing R. S. (2006). An Improved Learning Algorithm Based on The Broyden-Fletcher-Goldfarb-Shanno (BFGS) Method For Back Propagation Neural Networks. Sixth International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Applications, October 2006, Vol. 1, pp.152-157.
- O'Neal, M.R., Engel, B.A., Ess, D.R. & Frankenberger, J.R. (2002). Neural Network prediction of maize yield using alternative data coding algorithms. Biosystems Engineering, 83, 31-45.
- Ortiz-Arroyo, D., Skov, M.K. & Huynh, Q. (2005). Accurate Electricity Load Forecasting with Artificial Neural Networks. International Conference on Computational Intelligence for

- Modelling, Control and Automation and International Conference on Intelligent Agents, Web Technologies and Internet Commerce, 28-30 November 2005, Vol. 1 (pp. 94-99).
- Ozekes, S. & Osman, O. (2003). Classification and Prediction in Data Mining with Neural Networks. Istanbul University Journal of Electrical & Electronic Engineering, 3, 702-712.
- Remondino, M. & Correndo, G. (2005). Data Mining Applied to Agent Based Simulation. Proceedings 19th European Conference on Modelling and Simulation, 2005.
- Revett, K., Gorunescu, F., Gorunescu, M., El-Darzi, E. & Ene, M. (2005). A Breast Cancer Diagnosis System: A Combined Approach Using Rough Sets and Probabilistic Neural Networks. The International Conference, EUROCON: Computer as a Tool, 2005, Vol. 2 (pp. 1124-1127). Belgrade, Serbia and Montenegro.
- Schaefer, G., Nakashima, T., Yokota, Y. & Ishibuchi, H. (2007). Cost-Sensitive Fuzzy Classification for Medical Diagnosis. IEEE Symposium: Computational Intelligence and Bioinformatics and Computational Biology, 1-5 April 2007 (pp. 312-316).
- Sewak, M., Vaidya, P., Chan, C. C., & Duan, Z. H. (2007). SVM Approach to Breast Cancer Classification. Second International Multi-Symposiums IMSCCS 2007: Computer and Computational Sciences, 13-15 Aug 2007 (pp. 32-37).
- Shen, L. & Tan, E. C. (2005). Dimension Reduction-Based Penalized Logistic Regression for Cancer Classification Using Microarray Data. IEEE/ACM Transactions on Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, 2(2), 166-175.
- Shen, A., Tong, R., & Deng, Y. (2007). Application of Classification Models on Credit Card Fraud Detection. International Conference: Service Systems and Service Management, 9-11 June 2007 (pp. 1-4).

- Stalbovskaya, V., Ifeachor, E.C., Huffel, S.V., Timmerman, D. (2007). A New Method for Modeling Preoperative Diagnosis of Ovarian Tumors. IEEE Transactions: Biomedical Engineering, 54(11), 2064-2072.
- Thomassey, S., Happiette, M. & Castelain, J. M. (2002). Textile items classification for sales forecasting. ESS 2002, fourth European Simulation Symposium and Exhibition Simulation in Industry.
- UCI Machine Learning Repository. (n.d.). Retrieved May 15, 2008, from http://www.ics.uci.edu/~mlearn/MLRepository.html.
- Wang, W. B., Vundla, S., Sun, H. Y., & Tian, Y. Z. (2007). A Statistical Study on the Relationship between Business Performance Measures and Influencing Factors/Covariates. International Conference, ICMSE 2007: Management Science and Engineering, 20-22 Aug 2007 (pp. 599-613).
- Wessels, L.F.A., Reinders, M.J.T., Welsem, T.V. & Nederlof, P.M. (2002). Representation and classification for high-throughput data sets. SPIE-BIOS2002, Biomedial Nanotechnology Architectures and Applications, 4626, 226-237, San Jose, USA, Jan 2002.
- Wettayaprasit W. and Sangket U. (2006). Linguistic Knowledge Extraction from Neural Networks Using Maximum Weight and Frequency Data Representation. IEEE Conference: Cybernetics and Intelligent Systems, June 2006 (pp. 1-6). Bangkok.
- Xu, L. & Chow, M.Y. (2006). A Classification Approach for Power Distribution Systems Fault Cause Identification. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems, 21(1), 53-60.
- Yada, K., Ip, E., & Katoh, N. (2007). Is this brand ephemeral? A multivariate tree-based decision analysis of new product sustainability. ScienceDirect: Decision Support Systems, 44, 223–234

- Yang Y. and Chen K. (2006). An Ensemble of Competitive Learning Networks with Different Representations for Temporal Data Clustering. International Joint Conference, IJCNN '06: Neural Networks, 16-21 July 2006 (pp.3120-3127).
- Yoon, J., Kwon, Y. S. & Roh, T. H. (2007). Performance Improvement of Bankruptcy Prediction using Credit Card Sales Information of Small & Micro Business. 5th ACIS International Conference: Software Engineering Research, Management & Applications, 20-22 Aug 2007 (pp. 503-512).
- Yun, W. H., Kim, D. H., Chi, S. Y. & Yoon, H. S. (2007). Two-dimensional Logistic Regression. 19th IEEE International Conference, ICTAI 2007: Tools with Artificial Intelligence, 29-31 October 2007, Vol. 2 (pp. 349-353).
- Zhang, N. & Lu, W.F. (2007). An Efficient Data Preprocessing Method for Mining Customer Survey Data. 5th IEEE International Conference: Industrial Informatics, 23-27 June 2007, Vol. 1 (pp. 573-578). Vienna.
- Zhang, D. & Zhou, L. (2004). Discovering Golden Nuggets: Data Mining in Financial Application. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C: Applications and Review, 34(4), 513-522.
- Zheng, G., Huang, Y. L., Wang, P. T. & Shu, G. F. (2005). A Study of classification rules on weighted coronary heart disease data. Proceedings of 2005 International Conference: Machine Learning and Cybernetics, 18-21 August 2005, Vol. 3 (pp.1845-1850).
- Zhu, D., Premkumar, G., Zhang, X. & Chu, C.H. (2001). Data mining for Network Intrusion Detection: A Comparison of Alternative Methods. *Decision Sciences*, 32(4), 635-660.