

**RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN  
CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND PROFITABILITY:  
A TIME-SERIES CROSS-SECTIONAL  
STUDY ON MALAYSIAN FIRMS**

**A thesis submitted to the Graduate School of Universiti Utara Malaysia  
in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of  
Master of Science (Management)**

**BY  
Chin Ai Fu**

**April 1997**



**Sekolah Siswazah  
(Graduate School)  
Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**PERAKUAN KER JA TESIS  
(Certification Of Thesis Work)**

Kami, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa  
(We, the *undersigned*, certify that)

CHIN AI FU

calon untuk ijazah  
(candidate for the degree of) \_\_\_\_\_ Master of Science (Management)

telah mengemukakan tesisnya yang bertajuk  
(has presented his/her thesis of the following title)

**RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN CAPITAL STRUCTURE AND PROFITABILITY:**

**A TIME-SERIES CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY ON MALAYSIAN FIRMS.**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit tesis  
(as it appears on the *title* page and front cover of thesis)

bahawa tesis **tersebut** boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan, dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.

(that the thesis is acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory knowledge of the field is covered by the thesis).

AJK Tesis  
(Thesis Committee)

Nama  
(Name) assoc. Prof. Dr. Bala Shanmugam  
(Penyelia Utama/Principal Supervisor)

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Nama  
(Name) Mrs. Nor Hayati Ahmad

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Nama  
(Name) \_\_\_\_\_

Tandatangan  
(Signature) \_\_\_\_\_

Tarikh  
(Date)

8/4/97.

## **PERMISSION TO USE**

In presenting this thesis in partial **fulfilment** of the requirements for a Post Graduate degree **from** the Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Libraries of this University may make it **freely** available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by the Lecturer or the Lecturers who supervised my thesis work or, in their absence, by the Dean of the Graduate School which my thesis was done. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for **financial** gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the Universiti Utara Malaysia in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of material in this thesis in whole or in part should be addressed to:

**Dean of Graduate School  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
06010 Sintok  
Kedah Darul Aman**

## ABSTRAK

Semenjak terbitnya Proposisi M&M dalam 1958, isu **struktur** kapital telah menarik **banyak** perhatian **dan** kontroversi. Proposisi **tersebut** yang mengutarakan bahawa nilai sesebuah firma adalah bebas daripada pengaruh struktur kapitanya, telah diuji dan dikaji berulang kali oleh **para** cendekiawan. Namun begitu, sebahagian besar kajian **tersebut** telah dijalankan di Amerika Syarikat. Oleh itu, ketidakpastian timbul terhadap **kesahan** hasil kajian-kajian **tersebut apabila diletakkan** dalam konteks Malaysia. Lantaran itu, **kajian ini cuba** mengatasi masalah kekurangan kajian-kajian **bermutu** dalam bidang **struktur** kapital, terutama kesannya terhadap keuntungan firma-&ma tempatan. Sejumlah 267 buah firma yang tersenarai **pada Papan** Utama Bursa Saham Kuala Lumpur dikaji untuk jangka masa selama 10 tahun (1985 - 1994). Dua set utama pemboleh ubah dipakai untuk mewakili struktur kapital iaitu Nisbah **Hutang/Ekuiti**, Nisbah Hutang, Nisbah Leveraj Kewangan, Nisbah **Kapital** Ditaja, Nisbah Hutang Ditaja, Nisbah Hutang Semasa, Nisbah **Aset** Ditaja, **dan** keuntungan iaitu Pulangan keatas **Equiti**, **Untung** Sesaham, Pulangan keatas Pelaburan, **Untung** Sebelum **Cukai**, **dan Untung** Bersih. Pemboleh ubah-pemboleh ubah **tersebut** dianalisa menggunakan kaedah **siri-masa** keratan-rentas. Demi mendapatkan bukti empirikal, Korelasi **Produk-Momen** Pearson, **analisis min** dan **carta** bar telah digunakan. Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa keuntungan adalah berkaitan secara **signifikan** dengan **struktur** kapital. Adalah didapati keuntungan berkadar **songsang** dengan jumlah **liabiliti** dalam **struktur** kapital sesebuah syarikat. Maka itu, lebih **banyak** hutang sesebuah **syarikat**, **lebih teruk** tahap keuntungan syarikat itu. **Kajian ini juga** mendapati wujudnya struktur kapital optimal **pada** syarikat-syarikat tersenarai. Firma-firma berlainan sektor didapati sentiasa mengbahsuai **struktur** kapital mereka untuk mencapai suatu kombinasi hutang dan ekuiti yang optimal.

## ABSTRACT

Ever since the M&M Propositions were made in 1958, the issue of capital structure has gained much interest and controversy. The propositions which contended that the **value** of a firm is independent of its capital structure, have been put to test and researched into time and again. Most of the studies, however, were done in the U.S., hence doubts arise on whether the conclusions would apply in the Malaysian context. Based on this motivation, this study attempted to solve the dearth of research on capital structure, particularly its effect on profitability, of local firms. A total of 267 firms listed on the **Kuala** Lumpur Stock Exchange Main Board were put under study for a period of ten years (1985 - 1994). Two major sets of variables were used to indicate capital structure i.e. Debt/Equity Ratio, Debt Ratio, Financial Leverage Ratio, Funded Capital Ratio, Funded Debt Ratio, Current Debt Ratio, Funded Assets Ratio; and, profitability i.e. Return On Equity, Earnings Per Share, Return On Investment, Profit Before Tax, Net Income. The variables were analyzed using the time-series cross-sectional methodology. In order to generate empirical evidence, the Pearson Product-Moment Correlation, mean and bar chart analysis were employed. The results implied that profitability is **significantly** related to capital structure. Specifically, profitability was inversely related to the amount of liability in a company's capital structure. Therefore, the more debt a firm incur, the worse its earnings is hurt. This study also found evidence of the existence an optimal capital structure among listed companies. Firms of **different** sectors were found to adjust their capital structure regularly in order to achieve an optimal combination of debt and equity.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was conducted under the direction and supervision of Associate Professor Dr. Bala Shanmugam and Puan Nor Hayati binti **Ahmad**, my thesis advisors, to whom I express my deeply felt gratitude for their wise and generous counsel. Their kind guidance, patience and encouragement were the greatest stimulation toward the completion of this work.

I extend my special thanks and appreciation to Associate Professor Dr. Ibrahim **Abdul-Hamid**, the Dean of Graduate School, both for his assistance in this research and also his mind-opening lectures. I am also grateful to all my lecturers particularly Professor **Nini**, Dr. Nik **Hassani**, Encik **Ahmad** Yaacob, Mr. Larry, Tuan Syd Abdul Rahman, Puan Rusniab, Cik **Faizah Ismail**, and Encik Munauwar. They have shown me the wonderful world of academia and revealed to me the meaning of *intellect par excellence*.

I am also indebted to the **staff** of Graduate School especially Puan Ramlah Chek (Assistant Registrar), Cik Sahnah, **Cik** Nur Hasaniah, and **Encik Azizan** for their support and help. Not forgetting are my coursemates and **close-friends** who had brought joy and new light upon my **life** in UUM particularly the *Magnificent-7* group of Lt.Kol. Zulkiplé, ASP Arjunaidi, Encik **Ramli**, Encik **Ahmad** Noordin, Puan Wan Esah, and Madame Sujatha.

Last but not least, I wish to acknowledge my appreciation to all those who have helped, in any way, in the preparation of this thesis.

*To my wonderful parents,  
Chin Ming Cheow and Toh Hong Gwek,  
and also my brother, Ai Keat.*

# TABLE of CONTENTS

PERMISSION TO USE	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
DEDICATION	vii
CHAPTER I: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Context of the Study	1
1.2 Research Objectives	2
1.3 Research Questions	2
1.4 Research Hypotheses	3
1.5 Significance of the Study	3
1.6 Limitations of the Study	4
CHAPTER II: CONCEPTUAL FRAMEWORK	5
2.1 Review of Related Literature	5
2.1.1 Related Studies on Capital Structure	6
2.1.2 Theories and Models on Capital Structure	14
2.2 Research Model	18



2.3	Definition of Terms	21
	2.3.1 Capital Structure Variables	21
	2.3.2 Profitability Variables	23
	2.3.3 Notation of Variables	25
CHAPTER III: RESEARCH DESIGN and METHODOLOGY		26
3.1	Type of Study	26
3.2	Sources of Data	27
	3.2.1 Unit of <b>Analysis</b>	27
	3.2.2 Population Frame	27
3.3	Data Collection Technique	29
3.4	Data Analysis Techniques	30
	3.4.1 Research Question 1 and Hypothesis	30
	3.4.2 Research Question 2 and Question 3	31
CHAPTER IV: PRESENTATION and ANALYSIS of FINDINGS		32
4.1	Pearson Product-Moment Correlation	32
	4.1.1 Main Board	32
	4.1.2 Construction	36
	<b>4.1.3</b> Consumer Products	37
	4.1.4 Finance	38
	4.1.5 Industrial Products	39

4.1.6	Mining	40
4.1.7	Plantation	41
4.1.8	Property	42
4.1.9	Trading / Services	43
4.2	Time Series Analysis	44

CHAPTERV: **SUMMARY**, CONCLUSIONS and

	RECOMMENDATIONS	66
5.1	<b>Summary</b>	66
	5.1.1 Correlation Analysis	67
	5.1.2 Time-Series Inference	68
5.2	conclusions	69
5.3	Recommendations	72

	BIBLIOGRAPHY	75
--	--------------	----

APPENDICES

A:	Complete Listing of Firms in the Population Frame by Sector	80
B:	Pearson Product-Moment Correlation Matrices 1985 - 1994	86

## LIST of TABLES

1 - 90 (Appendix B):

	Pearson Product-Moment Correlation Matrices 1985-1994	86
91:	KLSE Main Board and Sectorial Debt/Equity Ratio 1985-1994	47
92:	KLSE Main Board and Sectorial Debt Ratio 1985-1994	48
93:	KLSE Main Board and Sectorial Financial Leverage Ratio <b>1985-</b> 1994	51
94:	KLSE Main Board and Sectorial Funded Capital Ratio 1985-1994	52
95:	KLSE Main Board and Sectorial Funded Debt Ratio <b>1985-</b> 1994	55
96:	KLSE Main Board and Sectorial Current Debt Ratio <b>1985-</b> 1994	56
97:	KLSE Main Board and Sectorial Funded Assets Ratio 1985-1994	63
98:	Capital Structure Mean for Main Board	69

## LIST of FIGURES

1:	A schematic diagram showing the relationship between indicators for capital structure and profitability	19
2:	<b>KLSE</b> Main Board Debt/Equity Ratio 1985-1994	46
3:	KLSE Main Board Debt Ratio <b>1985-</b> 1994	50
4:	KLSE Main Board Financial Leverage Ratio <b>1985-</b> 1994	54
5:	<b>KLSE</b> Main Board Funded Capital Ratio <b>1985-</b> 1994	58
6:	KLSE Main Board Funded Debt Ratio <b>1985-</b> 1994	60
7:	KLSE Main Board Funded Assets Ratio 1985-1994	62

## LIST of ABBREVIATIONS

KLSE	Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange
DER	Debt / <b>Equity</b> Ratio
DR	Debt Ratio
FLR	Financial Leverage Ratio
FCR	Funded Capital Ratio
FDR	Funded Debt Ratio
CDR	Current Debt Ratio
FAR	Funded Assets Ratio
ROE	Return On Equity
EPS	Earnings Per Share
ROI	Return On Investment
PBT	Profit Before Tax
NI	Net Income

# CHAPTER I

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Context of the Study

The capital structure of a **firm** has long been a major subject for academic study **in** the corporate finance world. As early as 1945, Chudson carried out an extensive research into this area by **asking** the question (p.4):

“In what way does the structure of assets and liabilities of a given **concern** reflect the kind of **industry** in which a concern is engaged, the concern’s size and level of profitability?”

Chudson’s research question has implied that there might be a relationship between the capital structure **practised** by a firm with its **profitability**.

Furthermore, the importance of the capital structure issue was formally recognized internationally when the Nobel prize committee awarded its prizes for Economic Sciences to **Franco** Modigliani in 1985 and to Merton Miller in 1990, largely for their work on capital structure. **In** 1958, Merton Miller and **Franco** Modigliani published a paper containing the now famous Miller-Modigliani (M&M) propositions.

In essence, M&M were able to show that capital structure in a perfect market was irrelevant. The capital structure issue brought up by the M&M propositions had **since** then created tidal waves in the corporate finance academia. Researchers tested and retested the propositions e.g. Barges (1962), Lamothe (1982), and Canda (1991).

The contents of  
the thesis is for  
internal user  
only

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

- Ahmad Farid bin Abdul Rahman.** (1980). “**Relationship between Profitability and Capital Budgeting: The Case of Malaysian Firms in the Manufacturing Sector**”. Ph.D. (Managerial Economics) Thesis submitted to the Graduate Faculty of Rensselaer Polytechnic Institute, Troy, New York.
- Barges, Alexander. (1962). “**The Effect of Capital Structure on The Cost of Capital: A Test and Evaluation of the Modigliani and Miller Propositions**”. Ph.D. (Finance) Thesis submitted to the Graduate School of Northwestern University.
- Bamea, A., R Haugen, and L. Senbet. (1981). ‘Market Imperfection, Agency Problems, and Capital Structure: A Review’. **Financial Management**. September. **Pp.7-22**.
- Baskin, Jonathan Barron.** (1985). “**On the Financial Policy of Large Mature Corporations** ”. Ph.D. (Economics) Thesis submitted to the Department of Economics, Harvard University.
- Bowen,** Robert M., Lane A. Daley, and Charles C. Huber, Jr. (1982). ‘Evidence on the Existence and Determinants of Inter-Industry **Differences** in Leverage’. **Financial Management**. Vol. 11. Pp. 10-20.
- Bradley, M., G. Jarrell and E. Kim. (1984). “On the Existence of an Optimal Capital Structure: **Theory** and Evidence”. **Journal of Finance**. Vol. 39 (July). **Pp.857-878**.

- Canda**, Francis Edward. (1991). **“The Influence of Specified Determinants of Corporate Capital Structure”**. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the Graduate School of the Ohio State University.
- Chudson, Walter Alexander. (1945). **“The Pattern of Corporate Financial Structure: A Cross-Section View of Manufacturing, Mining, Trade, and Construction, 1937”**. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the Faculty of Political Science, Columbia University.
- Davis, **Duane** and Robert M. Cosenza. (1993). **“Business Research for Decision Making”**. 3<sup>rd</sup> Edition. Wadsworth: California.
- El-Khoury, Ritab Salem. (1989). **“Time-Series Cross-Sectional Tests of the Determinants of Capital Structure”**. Ph.D (Business) Thesis submitted to the Graduate School of the **University** of Wisconsin-Madison.
- Fama, Eugene F. and Merton H. Miller. (1972). **“The Theory of Finance”**. Holt, Rinehart and Winston: New York.
- Friend, **Irwin and** Larry Lang. (1988). “An Empirical Test of the **Impact** of Managerial Self-Interest on Corporate Capital **Structure”**. **Journal of Finance**. Vol. 43 (June). **Pp.271-281**.
- Gallinger, George W. and Jerry B. Poe. (1995). **“Essentials of Finance: An Integrated Approach”**. **Prentice-Hall**: New Jersey.
- Harris, Milton and **Artur** Raviv. (1985). “A Sequential **Signalling** Model of **Convertible** Debt Call Policy”. **Journal of Finance**. Vol. 40. Pp. 1263-1281.
- Jensen, M.C. and W.H. **Meckling**. (1976). “Theory of the **Firm**: Managerial Behavior, Agency **Costs** and Ownership Structure”. **Journal of Financial Economics**. Vol. 3, No. 4 (October). **Pp.305-360**.



- Kamma, Sreenivas.** (1986). “**Capital Structure and Compensation: Theory and Evidence**”. Ph.D. (Business Administration) Thesis submitted to the University at **Buffalo**, State University of New York.
- Kester, C.** (1986). “Capital and Ownership Structure: A Comparison of United States and Japanese Manufacturing Corporations”. **Financial Management**. Vol. 15 (Spring). **Pp.5-16**.
- Lamothe, Richard S. (1982). “**Bankruptcy, Precautionary Liquidity, and the Capital Structure of the Firm**”. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the College of Business Administration of Georgia State University.
- Long, M. and I. Malitz. (1985) “**Investment Patterns and Financial Leverage**”. in B. Friedman (editor). “**Corporate Capital Structure in the United States**”. University of Chicago Press: Chicago. **Pp.325-351**.
- Masulis, Ronald W. (1988). “**The Debt/Equity Choice**”. Ballinger: Massachusetts.
- Miller, Merton H. (1988). “The **Modigliani-Miller** Propositions after Thirty Years”. in Robert W. Kolb (editor). (1995). *The Corporate Finance Reader*. 2<sup>nd</sup>. Edition. **Blackwell**: Massachusetts.
- Modigliani, **Franco** and Merton H. Miller. (1958). “The Cost of Capital, Corporation Finance and **the Theory** of Investment”. **The American Economic Review**. Vol. 48, pp.26 1-297.
- Mohamad Khan **Jamal**. (1994). “**The Effect of Capital Structure on Firm’s Profitability: A Case of Listed Malaysian Industrial Firms**”. **M.Sc.(Management)** Thesis submitted to **the** Graduate School of Universiti Utara Malaysia.

- Myers, Stewart C. (1995). "Still Searching for Optimal Capital Structure". in Robert W. Kolb (editor). **"The Corporate Finance Reader"**. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Blackwell: Massachusetts.
- Myers, Stewart C. and N.S. **Majluf**. (1984). "Corporate **Financing and** Investment Decisions When **Firms** Have Information That Investors Do Not Have". **Journal of Financial Economics**. Vol. 13, No. 2 (June). Pp. 187-221.
- Nassir, Md. **Annur** and **Shamsher** Mohamad (1993). "An Empirical Study of the Capital Structure of Malaysian Listed Firms". **Capital Market Review**. Vol. 1, No. 1, pp.96-108.
- Petty, J. William, Arthur J. Keown, David F. Scott, Jr., and John D. Martin. (1993). **"Basic Financial Management"**. 6<sup>th</sup> Edition. Prentice **Hall**: New Jersey.
- Ross, S. (1977). "The Determination of Financial Structure: The Incentive Signalling Approach". **Bell Journal of Economics**. Spring. Pp.23-40.
- Rushami Zien **Yusoff**. (1992). **"Marketing Orientation in Service Organisation"**. Ph.D. Thesis submitted to the University of Bradford.
- Schlosser, **Michel**. (1992). **"Corporate Finance - A Model-Building Approach"**. 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition. Prentice **Hall**: London.
- Siegel, Joel **G.**, Jae **K. Shim**, and Stephen W. **Hartman**. (1992). **"The McGraw-Hill Pocket Guide to Business Finance: 201 Decision-Making Tools for Managers"**. **McGraw-Hill**: New York.
- Stulz**, Rene M. and Herb Johnson. (1985). "An Analysis of Secured Debt". **Journal of Financial Economics**. Vol. 14. Pp.501-521.
- Titman, S. and R Wessels. (1988). "The Determinants of Capital Structure Choice". **Journal of Finance**. Vol. 43 (March). Pp. 1-19.

Van Home, James C. and John M. Wachowicz, Jr. (1995). **“Fundamentals of Financial Management”**. 9<sup>th</sup>. Edition. Prentice Hall: New Jersey.

**Zikmund, William G. (1994). “Business Research Methods”**. 4<sup>th</sup> Edition. Dryden Press: Philadelphia.