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This study is an initial attempt to investigate the role of Iran’s leaders in the way of formulating nuclear policy. The Iranian role of leaderships reflected the nation’s history as a revolutionary state, as an Islamic regime the policy result was also a product of revolutionary policies particularly on its nuclear. It can be seen that previous leaders have shaped nuclear policy in a different direction, reflect to their background and style of leadership. The thesis then examines about the nuclear policy under its different type of leadership, start from Mahmoud Ahmadinejad (2005) to Hassan Rouhani (2013). The thesis also identifies what is shifted of Iran nuclear policy under new leadership.

Data for this study were collected through content analysis method and mainly will use data research about newspaper report, dialogue, talk and speeches from both Ahmadinejad and Rouhani and also government policy on the nuclear Iran issue to be analyzed. At the end, it was concluded that there are significant shifts towards Iran nuclear policy from confrontational to cooperative policy. These data also support the views that there are also major changes in the relationships of Iran with the other nuclear country, counted from Ahmadinejad era to Rouhani.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>European Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IAEA</td>
<td>International Atomic Energy Agency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JPA</td>
<td>Join Plan Action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPT</td>
<td>Nuclear Proliferation Treaty</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UK</td>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UN</td>
<td>United Nations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNGA</td>
<td>United Nations General Assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UNSC</td>
<td>United Nations Security Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>US</td>
<td>United States</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WMD</td>
<td>Weapons of Mass Destruction</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

Nuclear weapon has been a major concern of international relations since 1945. The bombing of Hiroshima and Nagasaki in Japan by the United States (US), which signaled the end of the Second World War, spurred interest in nuclear weapons in the study of international relations. The vast nuclear arsenal of the US and Soviet Union were fundamental to the bipolar and strategic relationship that existed for more than forty years during the Cold War. While many analysts hoped that the collapse of the Soviet Union would lead to a reduction of its significance in international affairs, or will automatically be the end of the nuclear threat in the future (Holloway n.d: p.5-6). Other nuclear threats have emerged, with the emergence of India, Pakistan, and recently North Korea as nuclear powers. Other regional powers, including Iran, Iraq and Libya, are pursuing or have pursued nuclear capabilities. This invariably led to nuclear proliferation and made the control of nuclear weapons inevitable. The Nuclear Proliferation Treaty (NPT) which is an agreement for the wider prevention of nuclear weapons dissemination is made under the United Nations General Assembly (UNGA) (IAEA, 1970: p.1). A country like North Korea, which declared that it owns nuclear weapons, refused to sign the NPT. Then surprisingly, in March 2013, North Korea declared a “state of war” against South Korea, which propelled the US to take action against North Korea (Iqbal 2013: p.1). On the other hand, Iran willingly agreed to sign the 1968 NPT. This treaty is a three pillar system, focusing on non-proliferation,
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