

The copyright © of this thesis belongs to its rightful author and/or other copyright owner. Copies can be accessed and downloaded for non-commercial or learning purposes without any charge and permission. The thesis cannot be reproduced or quoted as a whole without the permission from its rightful owner. No alteration or changes in format is allowed without permission from its rightful owner.



**AN ORGANIZATIONAL MODEL FOR INTENTIONS TO ADOPT  
BLOCKCHAIN-BASED HOSPITAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS  
IN MALAYSIAN HOSPITALS**



**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA  
2023**



Awang Had Salleh  
Graduate School  
of Arts And Sciences

Universiti Utara Malaysia

**PERAKUAN KERJA TESIS / DISERTASI**  
(Certification of thesis / dissertation)

Kami, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa  
(We, the undersigned, certify that)

**MAHMOOD ABDULLAH MOQBEL BAZEL**

calon untuk Ijazah **PhD**  
(candidate for the degree of)

telah mengemukakan tesis / disertasi yang bertajuk:  
(has presented his/her thesis / dissertation of the following title):

**“AN ORGANIZATIONAL MODEL FOR INTENTIONS TO ADOPT BLOCKCHAIN-BASED HOSPITAL INFORMATION SYSTEMS IN MALAYSIAN HOSPITALS”**

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit tesis / disertasi.  
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of the thesis / dissertation).

Bahawa tesis/disertasi tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan, sebagaimana yang ditunjukkan oleh calon dalam ujian lisan yang diadakan pada : **03 Disember 2023.**

*That the said thesis/dissertation is acceptable in form and content and displays a satisfactory knowledge of the field of study as demonstrated by the candidate through an oral examination held on:*  
**03 December 2023.**

Pengerusi Viva:  
(Chairman for VIVA)

**Assoc. Prof. Ts. Dr. Mohamad Fadli Zolkipli**

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Luar:  
(External Examiner)

**Assoc. Prof. Dr. Syed Norris Hikmi  
Syed Abdullah**

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Pemeriksa Dalam:  
(Internal Examiner)

**Assoc. Prof. Dr. Rahayu Ahmad**

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Nama Penyelia/Penyelia-penyelia:  
(Name of Supervisor/Supervisors)

**Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mazida Ahmad**

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Nama Penyelia/Penyelia-penyelia:  
(Name of Supervisor/Supervisors)

**Dr. Fahtey Mohammed Abdurakeb**

Tandatangan  
(Signature)

Tarikh:  
(Date) **03 December 2023**

## **Permission to Use**

In presenting this thesis in fulfilment of the requirements for a postgraduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the Universiti Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for the copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purpose may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:



Dean of Awang Had Salleh Graduate School of Arts and Sciences  
UUM College of Arts and Sciences  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
06010 UUM Sintok

## Abstrak

Teknologi blok rantai telah menarik minat pelbagai sektor disebabkan oleh lebar teragih, sifat tak terpusat, dan keselamatan kriptografi. Walaupun ia berpotensi untuk merevolusikan industri penjagaan kesihatan dengan menyediakan sistem yang bersepadu dan selamat untuk rekod kesihatan, penerimgunaan teknologi blok rantai masih terhad, terutamanya di negara membangun seperti Malaysia. Sorotan kajian semasa kurang meneroka kajian empirikal untuk mengkaji faktor-faktor yang berkaitan dengan penerimgunaan teknologi blok rantai dalam sektor penjagaan kesihatan. Oleh yang demikian, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengenal pasti faktor-faktor yang mempengaruhi niat untuk menerimgunaan teknologi blok rantai dalam bidang penjagaan kesihatan di Malaysia. Satu model teori dicadangkan dengan mengintegrasikan Model Fit-Viability (FVM), kerangka Technological-Organizational-Environmental (TOE), dan Teori Institusional (INS) bagi mengkaji faktor-faktor ini. Pendekatan kuantitatif telah digunakan, dan data telah dikumpulkan melalui soal selidik dalam talian terhadap 199 pembuat keputusan di hospital-hospital di Malaysia. Data yang terkumpul telah dianalisis menggunakan analisis Partial Least Squares (PLS). Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa kepercayaan, ketelusan maklumat, penyahantaraan, keberkesanan kos, sokongan pengurusan tertinggi, kesediaan organisasi, kesediaan rakan kongsi, sokongan pembekal teknologi, kesesuaian, dan kebolehjayaan mempengaruhi dengan signifikan dan positif terhadap niat untuk menerimgunaan Sistem Maklumat Kesihatan (HIS) berasaskan teknologi blok rantai di hospital-hospital di Malaysia. Namun, tekanan paksaan daripada pihak kerajaan memberi kesan negatif kepada keputusan penerimgunaan. Tambahan pula, kajian ini mendapati jenis pemilikan hospital tidak mengimbangkan hubungan antara faktor-faktor yang dikenal pasti dengan penerimgunaan teknologi blok rantai. Kajian ini memberikan sumbangan mengenai pelbagai faktor yang mempengaruhi penerimgunaan teknologi blok rantai di hospital-hospital awam dan swasta. Model yang dibangunkan menawarkan panduan kepada hospital-hospital, pembekal teknologi blok rantai, pihak kerajaan, dan pembuat dasar untuk merangka strategi yang menggalakkan pelaksanaan dan mendorong penerimgunaan teknologi blok rantai secara meluas dalam organisasi penjagaan kesihatan di Malaysia.

**Kata Kunci:** Blok Rantai, Penerimgunaan, Sistem Maklumat Hospital, Hospital, Malaysia.

## Abstract

Blockchain technology has gained considerable interest in various sectors due to its distributed ledger, decentralized nature, and cryptographic security. Despite its potential to revolutionize the healthcare industry by providing a unified and secure system for health records, blockchain adoption remains limited in developing countries including Malaysia. The current literature lacks comprehensive empirical studies investigating the factors influencing the adoption of blockchain technology in the healthcare sector. Thus, this study aims to identify the factors influencing the intention to adopt blockchain technology in Malaysian healthcare domain. A theoretical model is proposed by integrating the Fit-Viability Model (FVM), Technological-Organizational-Environmental (TOE) framework, and institutional theory (INS) to examine these factors. A quantitative approach was adopted, and data was collected through an online survey of 199 decision-makers in Malaysian hospitals. The collected data were analysed using Partial Least Squares (PLS) analysis. The results indicate that trust, information transparency, disintermediation, cost-effectiveness, top management support, organizational readiness, partner readiness, technology vendor support, fit, and viability significantly and positively influence the intention to adopt blockchain-based Health Information Systems (HIS) in Malaysian hospitals. Conversely, coercive pressure from the government negatively affects the adoption decision. Moreover, the study found that hospital ownership type does not moderate the relationships between the identified factors and blockchain technology adoption. This study provides valuable insights into the various factors that influence blockchain technology adoption in public and private hospitals. The developed model offers guidelines for hospitals, blockchain technology providers, governments, and policymakers to devise strategies that promote implementation and encourage the widespread adoption of blockchain technology in Malaysian healthcare organizations.

**Keywords:** Blockchain, Adoption, Hospital information system, Hospital, Malaysia.

## Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to Allah for His blessings and guidance throughout my academic journey. Without His help, this work would not have been possible.

I dedicate this achievement to the soul of my mother - may she rest in peace - who has passed away, but her prayers still accompany me in every aspect of my life.

I would like to extend my deepest appreciation to my supervisors, Associate Prof Dr. Mazida Ahmad and Dr. Fathey Mohammed, for their invaluable guidance, support, and encouragement throughout my PhD journey. Their expertise, insightful feedback, and constructive criticism have been instrumental in shaping my research and helping me to achieve my goals.

I am also grateful to my family, who have been my constant source of love, support, and motivation throughout my academic journey. I would like to thank my father for his unwavering support and encouragement, and a special thanks to my brother, Dr. Mohammed, for his unlimited support and guidance. Also, I would also like to express my appreciation to my brothers and sisters for their love, encouragement, and support.

I would like to extend my deepest appreciation to my lovely wife, Aisha, and my children, Mirfat, Abdullah, and Ghaith, for their love, understanding, and support throughout the ups and downs of my PhD journey. Their unwavering support and encouragement have been an important source of motivation and inspiration.

Finally, I would like to thank all people who have contributed to my research in one way or another, including colleagues, friends, and research participants. Their contributions have been invaluable and have helped to enrich my research.

## Table of Contents

Permission to Use .....	iii
Abstrak.....	iv
Abstract.....	v
Acknowledgement .....	vi
Table of Contents .....	vii
List of Tables .....	x
List of Figures.....	xii
List of Abbreviations .....	xiii
List of Appendices.....	xiv
<b>1 CHAPTER ONE INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Introduction .....	1
1.2 Background of Study .....	2
1.3 Problem Statement .....	8
1.4 Research Questions .....	16
1.5 Research Objectives .....	17
1.6 Significance of Study .....	17
1.6.1 Theoretical Significant .....	17
1.6.2 Practical Significant .....	18
1.7 Scope of the Study .....	21
1.8 Definitions of Key Terms.....	21
1.9 Structure of the Thesis .....	23
<b>2 CHAPTER TWO LITERATURE REVIEW .....</b>	<b>25</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	25
2.2 Blockchain Technology .....	25
2.2.1 Definition of Blockchain Technology .....	26
2.2.2 Fundamental Properties of Blockchain Technology .....	27
2.2.3 Types of Blockchain Technology .....	29
2.3 Healthcare Systems .....	31
2.3.1 Hospital Information System .....	33
2.3.2 HIS in Malaysia.....	37
2.3.3 The Issues in the Current HIS .....	40
2.4 Blockchain in Healthcare .....	42
2.4.1 Overview .....	42
2.4.2 Blockchain- based Healthcare Information Systems .....	44
2.4.3 The Current Initiatives of BCT in Healthcare .....	50
2.5 Blockchain Adoption in Healthcare .....	53
2.5.1 Previous Research on Blockchain Adoption in Healthcare .....	54
2.6 Gap in Literature .....	62
2.7 Technology Adoption Theories .....	65
2.7.1 Technology-Organization-Environment Framework (TOE) .....	68
2.7.2 The Fit-Viability Model .....	75

2.7.3	Institutional Theory (INS).....	78
2.7.4	Integrating of Selected Theories .....	85
2.8	Determinates of Blockchain Adoption.....	87
2.9	Summary of the Chapter .....	102
<b>3</b>	<b>CHAPTER THREE THEORETICAL MODEL AND HYPOTHESES</b>	
	<b>DEVELOPMENT .....</b>	<b>104</b>
3.1	Introduction.....	104
3.2	Blockchain-based Hospital Information System (BCT-based HIS) Adoption Model .....	104
3.3	Research Hypotheses .....	115
3.3.1	Technology Trust (TT).....	115
3.3.2	Information Transparency (TRAN) .....	116
3.3.3	Perceived Disintermediation (DIS) .....	118
3.3.4	Cost-Effectiveness (COEF).....	118
3.3.5	Technology-Fit (FIT) .....	120
3.3.6	Top Management Support (TMS).....	120
3.3.7	Organizational Readiness (OR).....	121
3.3.8	Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR).....	122
3.3.9	Mimetic pressure - Competitors (COM) .....	124
3.3.10	Coercive pressure- Government (GOV) .....	125
3.3.11	Partner Readiness (PR).....	127
3.3.12	Technology Vendor Support (TVS).....	128
3.3.13	Viability (VIB) .....	129
3.3.14	Moderating Variable: Hospital type (Public or Private) .....	130
3.4	Summary of Hypotheses .....	131
3.5	Summary of the Chapter .....	132
<b>4</b>	<b>CHAPTER FOUR RESEARCH METHODOLOGY.....</b>	<b>133</b>
4.1	Introduction.....	133
4.2	The IS Theory Usage .....	133
4.3	Research Philosophy .....	135
4.4	Research Approach .....	139
4.5	Research Method.....	141
4.6	Research Design.....	144
4.7	Expert Review .....	147
4.8	Target Population and Sampling.....	151
4.8.1	Population of Study .....	151
4.8.2	Unit of Analysis and Unit of Observation.....	152
4.8.3	Sampling.....	153
4.8.4	Sampling Technique.....	154
4.9	Instrument Development and Validation .....	156
4.9.1	Questionnaire Design .....	156
4.9.2	Measurement of variables .....	158
4.9.3	Content Validity .....	165
4.10	Pilot Study.....	166
4.10.1	Descriptive Analysis of Samples in Pilot Survey.....	167
4.10.2	Reliability of Constructs.....	170
4.10.3	Validity of constructs .....	172
4.11	Conduct the Survey .....	182

4.11.1	Data collection process.....	182
4.11.2	Data Analysis Approaches .....	183
4.12	Ethical Consideration .....	192
4.13	Summary of the Chapter .....	192
<b>5</b>	<b>CHAPTER FIVE DATA ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS.....</b>	<b>193</b>
5.1	Introduction .....	193
5.2	Descriptive Analysis of Respondents.....	193
5.2.1	Gender of Respondents .....	194
5.2.2	Age of Respondents .....	194
5.2.3	Position of Respondents .....	195
5.2.4	Years of Experience with the Current Position of Respondents .....	196
5.2.5	Years of Experience in the Healthcare Industry.....	197
5.2.6	Type of Hospital.....	197
5.2.7	Size of Hospital .....	198
5.3	Preliminary Data Evaluation .....	198
5.3.1	Screening for Missing Values and Unengaged Responses .....	199
5.3.2	Suspicious Response Patterns .....	199
5.3.3	Assessment of Outlier .....	199
5.3.4	Common Method Bias (CMB).....	200
5.3.5	Assessment of Data Distribution.....	205
5.4	Assessment of Measurement Model .....	206
5.4.1	Construct Reliability .....	206
5.4.2	Construct Validity .....	212
5.5	Assessment of the Structure Model .....	223
5.5.1	Assessment of Collinearity.....	223
5.5.2	Assessment of Model Path Coefficient: Hypothesis Testing .....	224
5.5.3	The coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ).....	230
5.5.4	Effect Size ( $f^2$ ).....	231
5.5.5	Assessment of Predictive Relevance ( $Q^2$ ).....	233
5.6	Summary of the Chapter .....	234
<b>6</b>	<b>CHAPTER SIX DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION .....</b>	<b>236</b>
6.1	Introduction .....	236
6.2	Discussion .....	236
6.3	Summary of Major Findings .....	254
6.4	Research Contributions .....	257
6.4.1	Theoretical Contributions.....	257
6.4.2	Practical Implications .....	259
6.5	Limitations of Research .....	263
6.6	Recommendations for Future Research .....	264
	<b>REFERENCES.....</b>	<b>266</b>
	<b>Appendix A Expert Review Questionnaire.....</b>	<b>303</b>
	<b>Appendix B Survey Questionnaire .....</b>	<b>304</b>
	<b>Appendix C Researchers' Profile in Content Validity Test.....</b>	<b>311</b>
	<b>Appendix D Permission Letter for Research.....</b>	<b>312</b>
	<b>Appendix E Sampling Frame (List of Hospitals) .....</b>	<b>313</b>

## List of Tables

Table 1.1	Definitions of Key Terms.....	21
Table 2.1	Types of blockchain .....	30
Table 2.2	Key challenges of the current healthcare system and the potential of BCT as a solution .....	47
Table 2.3	Blockchain-based Initiatives in Healthcare Around the World .....	52
Table 2.4	Previous Studies on BCT Adoption in Healthcare.....	56
Table 2.5	Summary of previous studies of BCT adoption used TOE framework.....	71
Table 2.6	Research using institutional theory in IS domains .....	83
Table 2.7	Prior studies using TOE, institutional theory, and FVM.....	85
Table 2.8	Summary of Existing Literature Related to Blockchain Adoption .....	89
Table 3.1	Mapping matrix of the proposed model factors in theories/models concerning BCT adoption .....	108
Table 3.2	Summary of Hypotheses .....	131
Table 4.1	A Classification of Information Systems Theory Types .....	134
Table 4.2	Comparison of the main research paradigms .....	136
Table 4.3	Differences between the deductive and Inductive approaches.....	140
Table 4.4	An Overview of Experts.....	148
Table 4.5	The analysis of the expert review using one-sample t-test.....	150
Table 4.6	Measurement of Variables .....	160
Table 4.7	Descriptive analysis of respondents of the pilot study .....	168
Table 4.8	Internal consistency reliability .....	170
Table 4.9	Outer loadings of the constructs.....	171
Table 4.10	Convergent validity of the constructs.....	173
Table 4.11	Discriminant validity based on cross-loadings matrix .....	175
Table 4.12	Discriminant validity based on Fornell-Larker criterion.....	180
Table 4.13	Discriminant validity based on HTMT .....	180
Table 4.14	Benefits of using SEM .....	184
Table 4.15	Differences between CB-SEM and PLS-SEM.....	186
Table 5.1	Distribution of Respondents by Gender .....	194
Table 5.2	Distribution of Respondents by Age .....	195
Table 5.3	Distribution of Respondents by position .....	195

Table 5.4	Distribution of Respondents by Years of Experience with the Current Position.....	196
Table 5.5	Distribution of Respondents by Years of Experience in Healthcare..	197
Table 5.6	Distribution of Respondents by the type of hospital.....	198
Table 5.7	Distribution of Respondents by the size of hospital.....	198
Table 5.8	Harman’s Single Factor Test.....	201
Table 5.9	Full collinearity of the indicators .....	201
Table 5.10	Correlation among the constructs.....	204
Table 5.11	Normality Distribution Test based on Skewness and excess kurtosis values.....	205
Table 5.12	Internal consistency reliability .....	207
Table 5.13	Outer loadings of the indicators .....	210
Table 5.14	Convergent validity of the constructs.....	214
Table 5.15	Discriminant validity based on cross-loadings matrix .....	217
Table 5.16	Discriminant validity based on Fornell-Larker criterion.....	221
Table 5.17	Discriminant validity based on HTMT .....	222
Table 5.18	Collinearity Values among Exogenous Constructs.....	223
Table 5.19	The analysis of hypotheses paths .....	226
Table 5.20	Multi-Group analysis for the moderating variable.....	228
Table 5.21	Coefficient of determination $R^2$ .....	231
Table 5.22	Effect size ( $f^2$ ).....	232
Table 5.23	Predictive Relevance ( $Q^2$ ).....	234

## List of Figures

Figure 2.1	Hospital Information System .....	34
Figure 2.2	EHR coverage in some developing and developed countries .....	39
Figure 2.3	The TOE Framework .....	69
Figure 2.4	Fit-Viability Model .....	76
Figure 2.5	Theoretical Model of Technology Innovation Adoption from an Institutional Theory Perspective.....	81
Figure 3.1	The Proposed Model for BCT-based HIS Adoption.....	114
Figure 4.1	Operational Framework.....	146
Figure 4.2	Determining Sample Size of Known Population .....	153
Figure 4.3	PLS-SEM Evaluation Procedures .....	189



## List of Abbreviations

AVE	Average Variance Extracted
BCT	Blockchain Technology
R <sup>2</sup>	Coefficients of Determination
CMB	Common Method Bias
CB-SEM	Covariance-Based Structural Equation Modelling
DOI	Diffusion of Innovation
f <sup>2</sup>	Effect Size
EHR	Electronic Health Record
EMR	Electronic Medical Record
FVM	Fit-Viability Model
HIE	Health Information Exchange
HIT	Health Information Technology
HTMT	Heterotrait-Monotrait Ratio of Correlations
HIS	Hospital Information System
ICT	Information and Communication Technology
IS	Information System
IT	Information Technology
IDT	Innovation Diffusion Theory
MOH	Ministry of Health
PLS	Partial Least Square
PLS-SEM	Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling
PHR	Patient Health Record
Q <sup>2</sup>	Predictive Power
RFID	Radio Frequency Identification
SPSS	Statistical Package for the Social Sciences
SEM	Structural Equation Modelling
TAM	Technology Acceptance Model
TOE	Technology-Organization-Environment
TPB	Theory of Planned Behavior
UTAUT	Unified Theory of Acceptance and Use of Technology
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor

## List of Appendices

Appendix A	Expert Review Questionnaire .....	303
Appendix B	Survey Questionnaire .....	304
Appendix C	Researchers' Profile in Content Validity Test.....	311
Appendix D	Permission Letter for Research .....	312
Appendix E	Sampling Frame (List of Hospitals) .....	313



# CHAPTER ONE

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

Blockchain technology (BCT) holds significant potential to revolutionize how data are secured and managed in different sectors, including healthcare (Mamun, 2022). BCT has significantly impacted the healthcare industry, emerging as a major transformative force in this sector (Elangovan et al., 2022). Implementing BCT in healthcare helps resolve many challenges faced in healthcare data management, such as eliminating information silos, increasing efficiency in data transfer between healthcare facilities, data sharing, and data protection (Elvas et al., 2023). Its implementation can eliminate miscommunications among healthcare providers, leading to personalized and rapid diagnosis and care (Tanwar et al., 2020). This thesis examines the implementation of BCT within the healthcare sector, focusing on hospitals. Its primary objective is to identify and analyse the key factors that significantly influence the adoption of BCT among decision-makers in Malaysian hospital settings.

This introductory chapter provides a comprehensive overview of the intended study, beginning with a contextual background, a statement of the research problem, and a set of research questions and objectives. Additionally, the scope and significance of the study are delineated. Subsequently, key terms are defined. Finally, this chapter concludes by outlining the structure of the entire thesis.

## REFERENCES

- Aaviksoo, A. (2019). Building blockchain powered trusted digital health services. Estonia. *Blockchain in Healthcare Today*.
- Abd Razak, N., Ithnin, N., Zamri Osman, M., & Osman, M. Z. (2020). Investigating the Barriers of Health Information System implementation in Malaysian Public Hospitals. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 769(1), 12054. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/769/1/012054>
- Abdullah, Z. S. (2013). Hospital Information Systems Implementation Framework : Critical Success Factors for Malaysian Public Hospitals. In *School of Information Systems* (Issue March, pp. 1–362). Curtin University. [http://espace.library.curtin.edu.au/R?func=dbin-jump-full&local\\_base=gen01-era02&object\\_id=192723](http://espace.library.curtin.edu.au/R?func=dbin-jump-full&local_base=gen01-era02&object_id=192723)
- Abu-elezz, I., Hassan, A., Nazeemudeen, A., Househ, M., & Abd-alrazaq, A. (2020). The benefits and threats of blockchain technology in healthcare : A scoping review. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 142(February). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2020.104246>
- Adeyanju, O. (2012). An assessment of the impact of corporate social responsibility on Nigerian society: The examples of banking and communication industries. *Universal Journal of Marketing and Business Research*, 1(1), 17–43. <http://universalresearchjournals.org/ujmbr/Pdf/2012/May/Olanrewaju.pdf>
- Afandi, H. (2020, July). Trend Micro tackling cybersecurity threats for healthcare industry. *New Straits Times*. <https://www.nst.com.my/lifestyle/bots/2020/07/612786/tech-trend-micro-tackling-cybersecurity-threats-healthcare-industry>
- Agbo, C C, & Mahmoud, Q. H. (2020). Blockchain in healthcare opportunities, challenges, and possible solutions. *International Journal of Healthcare Information Systems and Informatics*, 15(3), 82–97. <https://doi.org/10.4018/IJHISI.2020070105>
- Agbo, Cornelius C, Mahmoud, Q. H., & Eklund, J. M. (2019). Blockchain technology in healthcare: a systematic review. *Healthcare*, 7(2), 56. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare7020056>
- Ahmadi, H., Nilashi, M., & Ibrahim, O. (2015). Organizational decision to adopt hospital information system: An empirical investigation in the case of Malaysian public hospitals. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 84(3), 166–188. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2014.12.004>
- Ahmadi, H., Nilashi, M., Shahmoradi, L., & Ibrahim, O. (2017). Hospital Information System adoption: Expert perspectives on an adoption framework for Malaysian public hospitals. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 67, 161–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.10.023>

- Ahmadi, H., Nilashi, M., Shahmoradi, L., & Ibrahim, O. (2018). Technological Forecasting & Social Change The moderating effect of hospital size on inter and intra-organizational factors of Hospital Information System adoption. *Technological Forecasting & Social Change*, *March 2017*, 1–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2018.05.021>
- Ahmed, R., Yafi, E., Mohd Su'ud, M., Alam, M. M., & Faizan, M. (2020). A framework for real-time healthcare system performance in developing countries. *Journal of Computer Science*, *16(9)*, 1250–1257. <https://doi.org/10.3844/jcssp.2020.1250.1257>
- Ahmed, W. A. H., MacCarthy, B. L., & Treiblmaier, H. (2022). Why, where and how are organizations using blockchain in their supply chains? Motivations, application areas and contingency factors. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, *42(12)*, 1995–2028. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-12-2021-0805>
- Aich, S., Tripathy, S., Joo, M. Il, & Kim, H. C. (2021). Critical dimensions of blockchain technology implementation in the healthcare industry: An integrated systems management approach. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *13(9)*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13095269>
- Ajzen, I. (2012). The theory of planned behavior. *Handbook of Theories of Social Psychology: Volume 1*, *50(2)*, 438–459. <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781446249215.n22>
- Akram, S. V., Malik, P. K., Singh, R., Anita, G., & Tanwar, S. (2020). Adoption of blockchain technology in various realms: Opportunities and challenges. *Security and Privacy*, *3(5)*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1002/spy2.109>
- Al-Ashmori, A., Basri, S. Bin, Dominic, P. D. D., Capretz, L. F., Muneer, A., Balogun, A. O., Gilal, A. R., & Ali, R. F. (2022). Classifications of Sustainable Factors in Blockchain Adoption: A Literature Review and Bibliometric Analysis. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *14(9)*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14095176>
- Al-Emran, M., Mezhuyev, V., & Kamaludin, A. (2019). PLS-SEM in Information Systems Research: A Comprehensive Methodological Reference. In *Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing* (Vol. 845, Issue March 2023). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-99010-1\\_59](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-99010-1_59)
- Al-Jabri, I. M., & Roztocki, N. (2015). Adoption of ERP systems: Does information transparency matter? *Telematics and Informatics*, *32(2)*, 300–310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2014.09.005>
- Al-Sharafi, M. A., AlAjmi, Q., Al-Emran, M., Qasem, Y. A. M., & Aldheleai, Y. M. (2021). Cloud computing adoption in higher education: An integrated theoretical model. In *Studies in Systems, Decision and Control* (Vol. 335, pp. 191–209). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64987-6\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64987-6_12)
- Alabboodi, A. S. (2020). Review on security and privacy issues in health information systems adoption and usage. *Ijar*, *6(11)*, 96–102. <https://scholar.google.com/scholar?oi=bibs&cluster=192991222&btnI=1&hl=en>

- AlAjmi, Q., Al-Sharafi, M. A., & Chellathurai, G. J. (2021). Fit-viability approach for e-learning based cloud computing adoption in higher education institutions: A conceptual model. *Studies in Systems, Decision and Control*, 335, 331–348. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64987-6\\_19](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-64987-6_19)
- AlAjmi, Q., Yassin, A. A., & Alhadhrami, A. S. (2023). The Adoption of Cloud-Based E-Learning in HEIs Using DOI and FVM with the Moderation of Information Culture: A Conceptual Framework. *Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems*, 550 LNNS, 399–414. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16865-9\\_31](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-16865-9_31)
- Alam, S., Shuaib, M., Khan, W. Z., Garg, S., Kaddoum, G., Hossain, M. S., & Zikria, Y. Bin. (2021). Blockchain-based Initiatives: Current state and challenges. *Computer Networks*, 198, 108395. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comnet.2021.108395>
- Alamdar, R., Mathews, A., & Kaur, S. (2021). A proposed Integrated Medicine Management System Tool based on System, Utilization and Evidence Based Theory for Malaysian Health Information System Optimization. *2021 International Congress of Advanced Technology and Engineering, ICOTEN 2021*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICOTEN52080.2021.9493457>
- Alazab, M., Alhyari, S., Awajan, A., & Abdallah, A. B. (2021). Blockchain technology in supply chain management: an empirical study of the factors affecting user adoption/acceptance. *Cluster Computing*, 24(1), 83–101. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10586-020-03200-4>
- Ali, A., & Wang, Z. (2021). Blockchain for Healthcare Sector-Analytical Review. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 1110(1), 012001. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899x/1110/1/012001>
- Ali, O., Jaradat, A., Kulakli, A., & Abuhlimeh, A. (2021). A Comparative Study: Blockchain Technology Utilization Benefits, Challenges and Functionalities. *IEEE Access*, 9, 12730–12749. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3050241>
- Alkhater, N. R. (2017). A model of a Private Sector Organisation's Intention to Adopt Cloud Computing in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science, CloudCom*, 5(2), 22–24.
- Alkhater, N., Wills, G., & Walters, R. (2015). Factors influencing an organisation's intention to adopt cloud computing in Saudi Arabia. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Cloud Computing Technology and Science, CloudCom, 2015-Febru(February)*, 1040–1044.
- Allen, D., Berg, C., Markey-Towler, B., Novak, M., & Potts, J. (2020). Blockchain and the evolution of institutional technologies: Implications for innovation policy. *Research Policy*, 49(1). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respol.2019.103865>
- Allen, I. E., & Seaman, C. A. (2007). Likert scales and data analyses. *Quality Progress*, 40(7), 64–65.
- Allen, R., & Earl, B. (2016). *Essential research methods for social work*. Cengage Learning.

- Almunawar, M. N., & Anshari, M. (2012). Health Information Systems (HIS): Concept and Technology. *ArXiv Preprint ArXiv:1203.3923*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1203.3923>
- Abrahim, D. A., Khan, M., Gupta, S., Modgil, S., & Chiappetta Jabbour, C. J. (2022). Health-care information technologies for dispersed knowledge management. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 26(6), 1589–1614. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JKM-10-2020-0786>
- Altab, H. M., Bosompem, J., Okae-Adjei, S., Avornyo, P., Asante Boadi, E., Atuobuah Boadi, V., & Say, J. (2022). Personal and organisational resources on electronic health usage intentions in an emerging economy. *Telematics and Informatics*, 71, 101832. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2022.101832>
- Alzahrani, A., Alhomoud, A., & Wills, G. (2022). A Framework of the Critical Factors for Healthcare Providers to Share Data Securely Using Blockchain. *IEEE Access*, 10, 41064–41077. <https://doi.org/10.1109/access.2022.3162218>
- Alzahrani, S., Daim, T., & Choo, K. K. R. (2022). Assessment of the Blockchain Technology Adoption for the Management of the Electronic Health Record Systems. In *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*. Portland State University. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2022.3158185>
- Andargoli, A. E., Tirosh, O., & Wickramasinghe, N. (2022). Assessing the Benefits of a Teleassessment Solution Using a FVM Perspective. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2022-Janua*, 4039–4046. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2022.493>
- Ang, Z. Y., Cheah, K. Y., Shakirah, M. S., Fun, W. H., Anis-Syakira, J., Kong, Y.-L., & Sararaks, S. (2021). Malaysia's health systems response to COVID-19. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(21), 11109.
- Angelis, J., & Ribeiro da Silva, E. (2019). Blockchain adoption: A value driver perspective. *Business Horizons*, 62(3), 307–314. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.12.001>
- Angraal, S., Krumholz, H. M., & Schulz, W. L. (2017). Blockchain technology: Applications in health care. *Circulation: Cardiovascular Quality and Outcomes*, 10(9), 1–3. <https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.117.003800>
- Argaw, S. T., Bempong, N. E., Eshaya-Chauvin, B., & Flahault, A. (2019). The state of research on cyberattacks against hospitals and available best practice recommendations: A scoping review. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 19(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-018-0724-5>
- Asagbra, O. E., Burke, D., & Liang, H. (2018). Why hospitals adopt patient engagement functionalities at different speeds? A moderated trend analysis. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 111, 123–130.
- Ashfaq, M. F. (2020). *Adoption of the health information exchange (HIE) system and the role of the healthcare leadership*. Pepperdine University.

- Asiaei, A., & Nor, N. Z. (2019). A multifaceted framework for adoption of cloud computing in Malaysian SMEs. *Journal of Science and Technology Policy Management*, 10(3), 708–750. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSTPM-05-2018-0053>
- Aslam, J., Saleem, A., Khan, N. T., & Kim, Y. B. (2021). Factors influencing blockchain adoption in supply chain management practices: A study based on the oil industry. *Journal of Innovation and Knowledge*, 6(2), 124–134. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jik.2021.01.002>
- Atmoko, P. G. D., Tamara, D., Yuwono, D., & Fauziah, S. (2021). Factors Affecting Blockchain Adoption In Indonesia. *Syntax Idea*, 3(11), 2372–2385. <https://doi.org/10.46799/syntax-idea.v3i11.1568>
- Attaran, M. (2020). Blockchain technology in healthcare: Challenges and opportunities. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*, 1–14.
- Awang, Z., Afthanorhan, A., & Mamat, M. (2015). The Likert scale analysis using parametric based Structural Equation Modeling ( SEM ). *Computational Methods in Social Sciences*, 4(1), 13–21.
- Awol, S. M., Birhanu, A. Y., Mekonnen, Z. A., Gashu, K. D., Shiferaw, A. M., Endehabtu, B. F., Kalayou, M. H., Guadie, H. A., & Tilahun, B. (2020). Health professionals' readiness and its associated factors to implement electronic medical record system in four selected primary hospitals in Ethiopia. *Advances in Medical Education and Practice*, 11, 147–154. <https://doi.org/10.2147/AMEP.S233368>
- Azogu, I., Norta, A., Papper, I., Longo, J., & Draheim, D. (2019). A framework for the adoption of blockchain technology in healthcare information management systems: A case study of Nigeria. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series, Part F1481*, 310–316. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3326365.3326405>
- Badi, S., Ochieng, E., Nasaj, M., & Papadaki, M. (2021). Technological, organisational and environmental determinants of smart contracts adoption: UK construction sector viewpoint. *Construction Management and Economics*, 39(1), 36–54. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01446193.2020.1819549>
- Bai, C., & Sarkis, J. (2020). A supply chain transparency and sustainability technology appraisal model for blockchain technology. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(7), 2142–2162. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1708989>
- Balasubramanian, S., Shukla, V., Sethi, J. S., Islam, N., & Saloum, R. (2021). A readiness assessment framework for Blockchain adoption: A healthcare case study. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 165, 120536. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120536>
- Bali, S., Bali, V., Mohanty, R. P., & Gaur, D. (2023). Analysis of critical success factors for blockchain technology implementation in healthcare sector. *Benchmarking*, 30(4), 1367–1399. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-07-2021-0433>
- Barnes III, B. W., & Xiao, B. (2019a). Organizational Adoption of Blockchain Technology: An Ecosystem Organizational Adoption of Blockchain Technology: An Ecosystem Perspective Perspective Recommended Citation Recommended

- Citation. *Digit 2019*, 9. <https://aisel.aisnet.org/digit2019>
- Barnes III, B. W., & Xiao, B. (2019b). Organizational Adoption of Blockchain Technology: An Ecosystem Perspective. *Proceedings of the 2019 DIGIT Workshop*. <https://aisel.aisnet.org/digit2019>
- Basu, S., Andrews, J., Kishore, S., Panjabi, R., & Stuckler, D. (2012). Comparative performance of private and public healthcare systems in low-and middle-income countries: a systematic review. *PLoS Medicine*, 9(6), e1001244.
- Batubara, R. F., Ubacht, J., & Janssen, M. (2019). Unraveling transparency and accountability in blockchain. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 204–213. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3325112.3325262>
- Beatty, P. (2008). Mail and Internet Surveys: The Tailored Design Method 2007 Update with New Internet, Visual, and Mixed-Mode Guide, 2nd Edition. In *Journal of Official Statistics* (Vol. 24, Issue 1). John Wiley & Sons.
- Bellini, E., Iraqi, Y., & Damiani, E. (2020). Blockchain-Based Distributed Trust and Reputation Management Systems: A Survey. *IEEE Access*, 8, 21127–21151. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2969820>
- Benchoufi, M., & Ravaud, P. (2017). Blockchain technology for improving clinical research quality. *Trials*, 18(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13063-017-2035-z>
- Bhardwaj, K. A., Garg, A., & Gajpal, Y. (2021). Determinants of Blockchain Technology Adoption in Supply Chains by Small and Medium Enterprises (SMEs) in India. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/5537395>
- Bhattacharjee, A. (2012). Social Science Research: principles, methods, and practices. *Book* 3., 1–147. [http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1002&context=oa\\_textbooks](http://scholarcommons.usf.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1002&context=oa_textbooks)
- Bialas, C., Bechtsis, D., Aivazidou, E., Achillas, C., & Aidonis, D. (2023). Digitalization of the Healthcare Supply Chain through the Adoption of Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) Systems in Hospitals: An Empirical Study on Influencing Factors and Cost Performance. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 15(4), 3163. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su15043163>
- BIS Research. (2018). *Blockchain in Healthcare Market| BIS Research*. <https://bisresearch.com/industry-report/global-blockchain-in-healthcare-market-2025.html>
- Boonstra, A., & Broekhuis, M. (2010). Barriers to the acceptance of electronic medical records by physicians from systematic review to taxonomy and interventions. *BMC Health Services Research*, 10(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-10-231>
- Bordens, K. S., & Abbott, B. B. (2014). *Research design and methods: A process approach*. McGraw-Hill.

- Boulos, K., Maged, N., Wilson, J. T., & Clauson, K. A. (2018). Geospatial blockchain: Promises, challenges, and scenarios in health and healthcare. In *International Journal of Health Geographics* (Vol. 17, Issue 1, pp. 1–10). BioMed Central. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12942-018-0144-x>
- Brandão, C., Rego, G., Duarte, I., & Nunes, R. (2013). Social responsibility: A new paradigm of hospital governance? *Health Care Analysis*, 21(4), 390–402. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10728-012-0206-3>
- Brodersen, C., Tanco, C. C. T., Chang, J., Pawczuk, L., Massey, R., & Holdowsky, J. (2019). Deloitte's 2019 Global Blockchain Survey - Blockchain gets down to business. In *Deloitte Insights* (Vol. 6). [https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/insights/us/articles/2019-global-blockchain-survey/DI\\_2019-global-blockchain-survey.pdf](https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/insights/us/articles/2019-global-blockchain-survey/DI_2019-global-blockchain-survey.pdf)
- Brogan, J., Baskaran, I., & Ramachandran, N. (2018). Authenticating Health Activity Data Using Distributed Ledger Technologies. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 16, 257–266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2018.06.004>
- Brunese, L., Mercaldo, F., Reginelli, A., & Santone, A. (2019). A blockchain based proposal for protecting healthcare systems through formal methods. *Procedia Computer Science*, 159, 1787–1794. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2019.09.350>
- Burton-Jones, A., Akhlaghpour, S., Ayre, S., Barde, P., Staib, A., & Sullivan, C. (2020). Changing the conversation on evaluating digital transformation in healthcare: Insights from an institutional analysis. *Information and Organization*, 30(1), 100255. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.infoandorg.2019.100255>
- Cabin, R. J., & Mitchell, R. J. (2000). To Bonferroni or not to Bonferroni: when and how are the questions. *Bulletin of the Ecological Society of America*, 81(3), 246–248. <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20168454>
- Capece, G., & Lorenzi, F. (2020). Blockchain and healthcare: Opportunities and prospects for the ehr. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(22), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12229693>
- Capece, G., & Passiatore, D. (2021). Blockchain during covid-19: The technology to help society. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(18). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su131810478>
- Casino, F., Dasaklis, T. K., & Patsakis, C. (2019). A systematic literature review of blockchain-based applications: Current status, classification and open issues. *Telematics and Informatics*, 36, 55–81.
- Castro, P. De, Tanner, M., & Johnston, K. (2020). Perceived factors influencing blockchain adoption in the asset and wealth management industry in the Western Cape, South Africa. *International Development Informatics Association Conference*, 48–62.
- Chen, W., & Hirschheim, R. (2004). A paradigmatic and methodological examination of information systems research from 1991 to 2001. *Information Systems Journal*, 14(3), 197–235. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2575.2004.00173.x>

- Cheng, S., Daub, M., Domeyer, A., & Lundqvist, M. (2017). *Using blockchain to improve data management in the public sector*.
- Chiasson, M., Reddy, M., Kaplan, B., & Davidson, E. (2007). Expanding multi-disciplinary approaches to healthcare information technologies: What does information systems offer medical informatics? *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 76, S89–S97.
- Chin, W. W., & Newsted, P. R. (1998). The partial least squares approach to structural equation modeling. Modern methods for business research. *Statistical Strategies for Small Sample Research*, 295(2), 295-336. <http://books.google.com.sg/books?hl=en&lr=&id=EDZ5AgAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA295&dq=chin+1998+PLS&ots=47qB7ro0np&sig=rihQBibvT6S-Lsj1H9txe9dX6Zk#v=onepage&q&f=false>
- Chin, Wynne W. (2010). How to write up and report PLS analyses. In *Handbook of partial least squares* (pp. 655–690). Springer.
- Chittipaka, V., Kumar, S., Sivarajah, U., Bowden, J. L. H., & Baral, M. M. (2022). Blockchain Technology for Supply Chains operating in emerging markets: an empirical examination of technology-organization-environment (TOE) framework. *Annals of Operations Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-022-04801-5>
- Chkir, I., Hassan, B. E. H., Rjiba, H., & Saadi, S. (2021). Does corporate social responsibility influence corporate innovation? International evidence. *Emerging Markets Review*, 46, 100746.
- Choi, D., Chung, C. Y., Seyha, T., & Young, J. (2020). Factors affecting organizations' resistance to the adoption of blockchain technology in supply networks. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(21), 1–37. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12218882>
- Choudrie, J., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2005). Investigating the research approaches for examining technology adoption issues. *Journal of Research Practice*, 1(1), D1–D1.
- Christensen, L. B., Johnson, B., & Turner, L. A. (2011). *Research methods, design, and analysis*. Pearson New York.
- Clark, L. A., & Watson, D. (2016). Constructing validity: Basic issues in objective scale development. *American Psychological Association*.
- Clohessy, T., & Acton, T. (2019). Investigating the influence of organizational factors on blockchain adoption: An innovation theory perspective. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 119(7), 1457–1491. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-08-2018-0365>
- Clohessy, T., Treiblmaier, H., Acton, T., & Rogers, N. (2020). Antecedents of blockchain adoption: An integrative framework. *Strategic Change*, 29(5), 501–515. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsc.2360>
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences* (2nd Editio). Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203771587>

- Çolak, H., & Kağnicioğlu, C. H. (2022). Acceptance of Blockchain Technology in Supply Chains: A Model Proposal. *Operations and Supply Chain Management*, 15(1), 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.31387/oscm0480327>
- Collings, D., & Baxter, N. (2005). Valuing customers. *BT Technology Journal*, 23(3), 24–29. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10550-005-0027-0>
- Cordella, A., & Bonina, C. M. (2010). Public sector innovation and ICT: beyond the private sector rationale. *Haettu*, 13, 2011. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/238783897>
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). Editorial: Mapping the field of mixed methods research. In *Journal of Mixed Methods Research* (Vol. 3, Issue 2, pp. 95–108). SAGE publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1558689808330883>
- Currie, W. L. (2012). Institutional isomorphism and change: the national programme for IT–10 years on. *Journal of Information Technology*, 27(3), 236–248. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2012.18>
- Dahlsrud, A. (2008). How corporate social responsibility is defined: An analysis of 37 definitions. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 15(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.132>
- Davis, F. D. (1989). Perceived usefulness, perceived ease of use, and user acceptance of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 319–340.
- Davis, L. L. (1992). Instrument review: Getting the most from a panel of experts. *Applied Nursing Research*, 5(4), 194–197.
- De Benedictis, A., Lettieri, E., Gastaldi, L., Masella, C., Urgu, A., & Tartaglini, D. (2020). Electronic medical records implementation in hospital: An empirical investigation of individual and organizational determinants. *PLoS ONE*, 15(6), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0234108>
- Deering, P., Tatnall, A., & Burgess, S. (2010). Adoption of ICT in Rural Medical General Practices in Australia. In *International Journal of Actor-Network Theory and Technological Innovation* (Vol. 2, Issue 1, pp. 54–69). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/jantti.2010071603>
- DeFilippi, P., Mannan, M., & Reijers, W. (2020). Blockchain as a confidence machine: The problem of trust & challenges of governance. *Technology in Society*, 62. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101284>
- DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (2000). The iron cage revisited institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *Advances in Strategic Management*, 17, 143–166. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-3322\(00\)17011-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0742-3322(00)17011-1)
- Dimitrov, D. V. (2019). Blockchain applications for healthcare data management. *Healthcare Informatics Research*, 25(1), 51–56. <https://doi.org/10.4258/hir.2019.25.1.51>

- Dubovitskaya, A., Novotny, P., Xu, Z., & Wang, F. (2020). Applications of Blockchain Technology for Data-Sharing in Oncology: Results from a Systematic Literature Review. *Oncology (Switzerland)*, 98(6), 403–411. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000504325>
- Dubovitskaya, A., Xu, Z., Ryu, S., Schumacher, M., & Wang, F. (2017). Secure and Trustable Electronic Medical Records Sharing using Blockchain. *AMIA ... Annual Symposium Proceedings. AMIA Symposium, 2017*, 650–659.
- Durneva, P., Cousins, K., & Chen, M. (2020). The current state of research, challenges, and future research directions of blockchain technology in patient care: Systematic review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(7), e18619. <https://doi.org/10.2196/18619>
- Eaganathan, U., Indrian, V. V., & Nathan, Y. (2019). Ideation framework of block chain adoption in Malaysia higher education. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 1228(1), 0–6. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/1228/1/012072>
- Economic Planning Unit. (2021). *Twelfth Malaysia Plan 2021-2025: a Prosperous, Inclusive, Sustainable Malaysia*. Economic Planning Unit, Prime Minister's Department, Malaysia. [https://rmke12.ekonomi.gov.my/storage/mediastatementandspeech/2021092820\\_official\\_translation\\_speech\\_of\\_yab\\_prime\\_minister\\_tabling\\_of\\_the\\_twelfth\\_malaysia\\_plan\\_2021\\_2025.pdf](https://rmke12.ekonomi.gov.my/storage/mediastatementandspeech/2021092820_official_translation_speech_of_yab_prime_minister_tabling_of_the_twelfth_malaysia_plan_2021_2025.pdf)
- El-Haddadeh, R., Osmani, M., Hindi, N., & Fadlalla, A. (2021). Value creation for realising the sustainable development goals: Fostering organisational adoption of big data analytics. *Journal of Business Research*, 131, 402–410. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.10.066>
- Elangovan, D., Long, C. S., Bakrin, F. S., Tan, C. S., Goh, K. W., Hussain, Z., Al-Worafi, Y. M., Lee, K. S., Kassab, Y. W., & Ming, L. C. (2020). Application of Blockchain Technology in Hospital Information System. *Mathematical Modeling and Soft Computing in Epidemiology*, 231–246. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003038399-12>
- Elangovan, D., Long, C. S., Bakrin, F. S., Tan, C. S., Goh, K. W., Yeoh, S. F., Loy, M. J., Hussain, Z., Lee, K. S., Idris, A. C., & Ming, L. C. (2022). The Use of Blockchain Technology in the Health Care Sector: Systematic Review. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 10(1), e17278. <https://doi.org/10.2196/17278>
- Ellis, H. K., Gibson, P. J., Blackburn, J., Yeager, V. A., Halverson, P. K., & Menachemi, N. (2022). Institutional factors associated with hospital partnerships for population health: A pooled cross-sectional analysis. *Health Care Management Review*, 47(3), 254–262. <https://doi.org/10.1097/HMR.0000000000000325>
- Elvas, L. B., Serrão, C., & Ferreira, J. C. (2023). Sharing Health Information Using a Blockchain. *Healthcare*, 11(2), 170.
- Engelhardt, M. A. (2017). Hitching Healthcare to the Chain: An Introduction to Blockchain Technology in the Healthcare Sector. *Technology Innovation*

- Esmailzadeh, P. (2022a). Benefits and concerns associated with blockchain-based health information exchange (HIE): a qualitative study from physicians' perspectives. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 22(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-01815-8>
- Esmailzadeh, P. (2022b). Benefits and concerns associated with blockchain-based health information exchange (HIE): a qualitative study from physicians' perspectives. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 22(1), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-022-01815-8>
- Esmailzadeh, P., & Mirzaei, T. (2019). The potential of blockchain technology for health information exchange: Experimental study from patients' perspectives. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 21(6). <https://doi.org/10.2196/14184>
- Esposito, C., De Santis, A., Tortora, G., Chang, H., & Choo, K. K. R. (2018). Blockchain: A Panacea for Healthcare Cloud-Based Data Security and Privacy? *IEEE Cloud Computing*, 5(1), 31–37. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MCC.2018.011791712>
- Faber, S., van Geenhuizen, M., & de Reuver, M. (2017). eHealth adoption factors in medical hospitals: A focus on the Netherlands. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 100, 77–89.
- Fang, H. S. A. (2021). Commercially Successful Blockchain Healthcare Projects: A Scoping Review. *Blockchain in Healthcare Today*. <https://doi.org/10.30953/bhty.v4.166>
- Farooq, R. (2016). Role of structural equation modeling in scale development. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 13(1), 75–91. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JAMR-05-2015-0037>
- Farooque, M., Jain, V., Zhang, A., & Li, Z. (2020). Fuzzy DEMATEL analysis of barriers to Blockchain-based life cycle assessment in China. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 147. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106684>
- Farouk, A., Alahmadi, A., Ghose, S., & Mashatan, A. (2020). Blockchain platform for industrial healthcare: Vision and future opportunities. *Computer Communications*, 154(December 2019), 223–235. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comcom.2020.02.058>
- Fatoum, H., Hanna, S., Halamka, J. D., Sicker, D. C., Spangenberg, P., & Hashmi, S. K. (2021). Blockchain integration with digital technology and the future of health care ecosystems: Systematic review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(11), e19846. <https://doi.org/10.2196/19846>
- Fernando, Y., Rozuar, N. H. M., & Mergeresa, F. (2021). The blockchain-enabled technology and carbon performance: Insights from early adopters. *Technology in Society*, 64(January), 101507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2020.101507>
- Fichman, R. G. (2000). The Diffusion and Assimilation of Information Technology Innovations. In: Framing the Domains of IT Management: Projecting the Future Through the Past. *By R*, 105127, 105–128.

- Flury, B., Murtagh, F., & Heck, A. (1988). Multivariate Data Analysis. *Mathematics of Computation*, 50(181), 352. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2007941>
- Foddy, W., & Mantle, J. (1994). Constructing Questions for Interviews and Questionnaires – Theory and practice in social research. In *Physiotherapy* (Vol. 80, Issue 6). Cambridge university press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-9406\(10\)61110-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0031-9406(10)61110-8)
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 18(1), 39–50.
- Frambach, R. T., & Schillewaert, N. (2002). Organizational innovation adoption: A multi-level framework of determinants and opportunities for future research. *Journal of Business Research*, 55(2), 163–176. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963\(00\)00152-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0148-2963(00)00152-1)
- Freedman, S., & Lin, H. (2018). Hospital Ownership Type and Innovation: The Case of Electronic Medical Records Adoption. *Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly*, 47(3), 537–561. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0899764018757025>
- Friedland, R. (2012). The Institutional logics Perspective: A new approach to culture, Structure, and Process. In *Management* (Vol. 15, Issue 5). OUP Oxford. <https://doi.org/10.3917/mana.155.0583>
- Fusco, A., Dicuonzo, G., Dell’atti, V., & Tatullo, M. (2020). Blockchain in healthcare: Insights on COVID-19. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 17(19), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17197167>
- Gable, R. K., & Wolf, M. B. (2012). *Instrument development in the affective domain: Measuring attitudes and values in corporate and school settings* (Vol. 36). Springer Science & Business Media.
- Gallivan, M. J. (2001). Organizational Adoption and Assimilation of Complex Technological Innovations: Development and Application of a New Framework. *Data Base for Advances in Information Systems*, 32(3), 51–85. <https://doi.org/10.1145/506724.506729>
- Ganguly, K. K. (2022). Understanding the challenges of the adoption of blockchain technology in the logistics sector: the TOE framework. *Technology Analysis and Strategic Management*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537325.2022.2036333>
- Gangwar, H., Date, H., & Ramaswamy, R. (2015). Understanding determinants of cloud computing adoption using an integrated TAM-TOE model. *Journal of Enterprise Information Management*, 28(1), 107–130. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JEIM-08-2013-0065>
- Ghaleb, E. A. A., Dominic, P. D. D., Fati, S. M., Muneer, A., & Ali, R. F. (2021). The assessment of big data adoption readiness with a technology–organization–environment framework: A perspective towards healthcare employees. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(15), 8379. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13158379>
- Ghasemi, A., & Zahediasl, S. (2012). Normality tests for statistical analysis: A guide

- for non-statisticians. *International Journal of Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 10(2), 486–489. <https://doi.org/10.5812/ijem.3505>
- Gökalp, E., Gökalp, M. O., & Çoban, S. (2020). Blockchain-Based Supply Chain Management: Understanding the Determinants of Adoption in the Context of Organizations. *Information Systems Management*, 00(00), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2020.1812014>
- Gökalp, E., Gökalp, M. O., & Çoban, S. (2022). Blockchain-Based Supply Chain Management: Understanding the Determinants of Adoption in the Context of Organizations. *Information Systems Management*, 39(2), 100–121. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2020.1812014>
- Gökalp, E., Gökalp, M. O., Çoban, S., & Eren, P. E. (2018). Analysing opportunities and challenges of integrated blockchain technologies in healthcare. *Lecture Notes in Business Information Processing*, 333, 174–183. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00060-8\\_13](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-00060-8_13)
- Goldzweig, C. L., Towfigh, A., Maglione, M., & Shekelle, P. G. (2009). Costs and benefits of health information technology: New trends from the literature. *Health Affairs*, 28(2), w282–w293. <https://doi.org/10.1377/hlthaff.28.2.w282>
- Goodhue, D. L. (1995). Understanding User Evaluations of Information Systems. *Management Science*, 41(12), 1827–1844. <https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.41.12.1827>
- Goodhue, D. L., & Thompson, R. L. (1995). Task-technology fit and individual performance. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 19(2), 213–233. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249689>
- Goodhue, D. L., & Thompson, R. L. (2006). Task-technology fit. *Human-Computer Interaction and Management Information Systems: Foundations*, 184–204.
- Gordon, W. J., & Catalini, C. (2018). Blockchain Technology for Healthcare: Facilitating the Transition to Patient-Driven Interoperability. *Computational and Structural Biotechnology Journal*, 16, 224–230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.csbj.2018.06.003>
- Goy, A., Nishtar, S., Dzau, V., Balatbat, C., & Diabo, R. (2019). Health and healthcare in the fourth industrial revolution. *Wef, April*, 1–45.
- Grant, J. S., & Davis, L. L. (1997). Selection and use of content experts for instrument development. *Research in Nursing & Health*, 20(3), 269–274.
- Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information and Libraries Journal*, 26(2), 91–108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>
- Gregor, S. (2006). The nature of theory in Information Systems. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 30(3), 611–642. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25148742>

- Guest, G., Bunce, A., & Johnson, L. (2006). How Many Interviews Are Enough?: An Experiment with Data Saturation and Variability. *Field Methods*, *18*(1), 59–82. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1525822X05279903>
- Gunasekera, D., & Valenzuela, E. (2020). Adoption of Blockchain Technology in the Australian Grains Trade: An Assessment of Potential Economic Effects. *Economic Papers*, *39*(2), 152–161. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1759-3441.12274>
- Haddiya, I., Janfi, T., & Guedira, M. (2020). Application of the Concepts of Social Responsibility, Sustainability, and Ethics to Healthcare Organizations. *Risk Management and Healthcare Policy*, *13*, 1029.
- Hair, J. F. J., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2011). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Pearson Prentice Hall. [www.pearsoned.co.uk](http://www.pearsoned.co.uk)
- Hair, J. F. J., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Gudergan, S. P. (2017). *Advanced issues in partial least squares structural equation modeling*. SAGE publications.
- Hair, Joe F., Sarstedt, M., Hopkins, L., & Kuppelwieser, V. G. (2014). Partial least squares structural equation modeling (PLS-SEM): An emerging tool in business research. *European Business Review*, *26*(2), 106–121. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EBR-10-2013-0128>
- Hair, Joseph F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). Partial least squares structural equation modeling: Rigorous applications, better results and higher acceptance. *Long Range Planning*, *46*(1–2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lrp.2013.01.001>
- Hajian, A., Prybutok, V. R., & Chang, H. C. (2023). An empirical study for blockchain-based information sharing systems in electronic health records: A mediation perspective. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *138*, 107471. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107471>
- Hamzah, N. M., Yu, M. M., & See, K. F. (2021). Assessing the efficiency of Malaysia health system in COVID-19 prevention and treatment response. *Health Care Management Science*, *24*(2), 273–285. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10729-020-09539-9>
- Han, K. Z., Tangiisuran, B., & Iskandar, Y. H. P. (2023). Factors influencing adoption of antidote tracking system among healthcare providers in Malaysian hospital setting. *International Journal of Healthcare Management*, *16*(1), 137–144. <https://doi.org/10.1080/20479700.2022.2082634>
- Hanna, H., Haroun, M. H., & Gohar, N. (2020). Developing a framework for block chain adoption using TOE model. *Journal of Business & Retail Management Research*, *15*(01). <https://doi.org/10.24052/jbrmr/v15is01/art-02>
- Hassan, H., Nasir, M. H. M., Khairudin, N., & Adon, I. (2017). Factors influencing cloud computing adoption in small and medium enterprises. *Journal of Information and Communication Technology*, *16*(1), 21–41. <https://doi.org/10.32890/jict2017.16.1.8216>
- Hasselgren, A., Kravlevska, K., Gligoroski, D., Pedersen, S. A., & Faxvaag, A. (2020). Blockchain in healthcare and health sciences—A scoping review. *International*

- Journal of Medical Informatics*, 134(November 2019), 104040. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2019.104040>
- Hau, Y. S., Lee, J. M., Park, J., & Chang, M. C. (2019). Attitudes toward blockchain technology in managing medical information: Survey study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 21(12), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.2196/15870>
- Haux, R. (2006). Health information systems—past, present, future. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 75(3–4), 268–281.
- Hawlitshchek, F., Notheisen, B., & Teubner, T. (2020). A 2020 perspective on “The limits of trust-free systems: A literature review on blockchain technology and trust in the sharing economy”. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 40, 50–63. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.elelap.2020.100935>
- Hays, W. L. (1982). Review of Handbook in Research and Evaluation: A Collection of Principles, Methods, and Strategies Useful in the Planning, Design, and Evaluation of Studies in Education and the Behavioral Sciences. 2nd ed. In *Contemporary Psychology: A Journal of Reviews* (Vol. 27, Issue 8). Edits publishers. <https://doi.org/10.1037/021425>
- Healthcare Engineering, J. O. (2023). Research on the Application of Blockchain in Smart Healthcare: Constructing a Hierarchical Framework. *Journal of Healthcare Engineering*, 2023, 9850894. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2023/9850894>
- Henseler, J., Dijkstra, T. K., Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., Diamantopoulos, A., Straub, D. W., Ketchen Jr, D. J., Hair, J. F., Hult, G. T. M., & Calantone, R. J. (2014). Common beliefs and reality about PLS: Comments on Rönkkö and Evermann (2013). *Organizational Research Methods*, 17(2), 182–209.
- Henseler, J., Hubona, G., & Ray, P. A. (2016). Using PLS path modeling in new technology research: updated guidelines. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 116(1), 2–20. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-09-2015-0382>
- Henseler, J., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2015). A new criterion for assessing discriminant validity in variance-based structural equation modeling. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 115–135. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0403-8>
- Hertin, R. D., & Al-Sanjary, O. I. (2018). Performance of hospital information system in Malaysian public hospital: A review. *International Journal of Engineering and Technology(UAE)*, 7(4), 24–28. <https://doi.org/10.14419/ijet.v7i4.11.20682>
- Hertzog, M. A. (2008). Considerations in determining sample size for pilot studies. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 31(2), 180–191. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20247>
- Hill, R. (1998). What sample size is “enough” in internet survey research. *Interpersonal Computing and Technology: An Electronic Journal for the 21st Century*, 6(3–4), 1–12.
- Hira, F. A., Khalid, H., Rasid, S. Z. A., Baskaran, S., & Moshiul, A. M. (2022). Blockchain Technology Implementation for Medical Data Management in

- Malaysia: Potential, Need and Challenges. *TEM Journal*, 11(1), 64–74. <https://doi.org/10.18421/TEM111-08>
- Hira, F. A., Khalid, H., Shashikala, A., & Moshiul, A. M. (2022). Factors of Video Directly Observed Therapy Adoption in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 12(1). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarbss/v12-i1/11977>
- Hira, F. A., Khalid, H., Zaleha, S., Rasid, A., Alam, M., & Hashim, A. (2021). A Conceptual Framework to Investigate Health Professionals' Blockchain Technology Adoption Readiness in Malaysia. *Open International Journal of Informatics (OIJI)*, 9(2), 58. <https://oiji.utm.my/index.php/oiji/article/view/147/108>
- Hirschheim, R., Klein, H. K., & Lyytinen, K. (1995). *Information systems development and data modeling: conceptual and philosophical foundations*. Cambridge University Press.
- Hölbl, M., Kompara, M., Kamišalić, A., & Zlatolas, L. N. (2018). A systematic review of the use of blockchain in healthcare. *Symmetry*, 10(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym10100470>
- Holotiuk, F., & Moormann, J. (2018). Organizational adoption of digital innovation: The case of blockchain technology. *26th European Conference on Information Systems: Beyond Digitization - Facets of Socio-Technical Change, ECIS 2018*. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85061297687&partnerID=40&md5=6b10ef3a43b01d9aa50886748ee1bb99>
- Hosen, S. A. S. M., Singh, S., Sharma, P. K., Ghosh, U., Wang, J., Ra, I. H., & Cho, G. H. (2020). Blockchain-Based Transaction Validation Protocol for a Secure Distributed IoT Network. *IEEE Access*, 8, 117266–117277. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2020.3004486>
- Hossain, M. A., & Ahmad, A. (2018). The determinants of RFID use and its benefits in hospitals: An empirical study examining beyond adoption. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 11195 LNCS, 468–479. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02131-3\\_42](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-02131-3_42)
- Hoxha, V., & Sadiku, S. (2019). Study of factors influencing the decision to adopt the blockchain technology in real estate transactions in Kosovo. *Property Management*, 37(5), 684–700. <https://doi.org/10.1108/PM-01-2019-0002>
- Hsia, T. L., Chiang, A. J., Wu, J. H., Teng, N. N. H., & Rubin, A. D. (2019). What drives E-Health usage? Integrated institutional forces and top management perspectives. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 97, 260–270. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2019.01.010>
- Hsiao, J. L., Chang, H. C., & Chen, R. F. (2011). A study of factors affecting acceptance of hospital information systems: A nursing perspective. *Journal of Nursing Research*, 19(2), 150–160. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JNR.0b013e31821cbb25>

- Hughes, L., Dwivedi, Y. K., Misra, S. K., Rana, N. P., Raghavan, V., & Akella, V. (2019). Blockchain research, practice and policy: Applications, benefits, limitations, emerging research themes and research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 49(2), 114–129. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.02.005>
- Hussey, J., & Hussey, R. (1997). *Business Research: A Practical Guide for Undergraduate and Postgraduate Students*. Macmillan International Higher Education.
- Hussien, H. M., Yasin, S. M., Udzir, S. N. I., Zaidan, A. A., & Zaidan, B. B. (2019). A Systematic Review for Enabling of Develop a Blockchain Technology in Healthcare Application: Taxonomy, Substantially Analysis, Motivations, Challenges, Recommendations and Future Direction. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 43(10). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-019-1445-8>
- Iacobucci, D. (2010). Structural equations modeling: Fit Indices, sample size, and advanced topics. *Journal of Consumer Psychology*, 20(1), 90–98. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcps.2009.09.003>
- Iacovou, C. L., Benbasat, I., & Dexter, A. S. (1995). Electronic data interchange and small organizations: Adoption and impact of technology. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 19(4), 465–485. <https://doi.org/10.2307/249629>
- Idrish, S., Iqbal, M., Rifat, A., & Nisha, N. (2017). Mobile health technology evaluation: Innovativeness and efficacy vs. cost effectiveness. *Health Economics and Healthcare Reform: Breakthroughs in Research and Practice*, 13(2), 20–41. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-5225-3168-5.ch002>
- Ismail, A., Jamil, A. T., A Rahman, A. F., Abu Bakar, J. M., Mohd Saad, N., & Saadi, H. (2010). The implementation of Hospital Information System (HIS) in tertiary hospitals in Malaysia. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine* 2010, 10(2), 16–24.
- Ismail, L., & Materwala, H. (2020). Blockchain paradigm for healthcare: Performance evaluation. *Symmetry*, 12(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SYM12081200>
- Ismail, L., Materwala, H., Karduck, A. P., & Adem, A. (2020). Requirements of health data management systems for biomedical care and research: Scoping review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(7). <https://doi.org/10.2196/17508>
- Ismail, N. I., & Abdullah, H. (2019). Organizational context of hospital information system (His) in Malaysian public hospitals. *Opcion*, 35(2), 1954–1971.
- Ismail, N. I., & Abdullah, N. H. (2017). Malaysia health information exchange: A systematic review. *Business and Economic Horizons*, 13(5), 706–721. <https://doi.org/10.15208/beh.2017.47>
- Ismail, N. I., Abdullah, N. H., & Shamsuddin, A. (2015). Adoption of Hospital Information System (HIS) in Malaysian Public Hospitals. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 172, 336–343. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2015.01.373>

- Jakobsen, M., & Jensen, R. (2015). Common method bias in public management studies. *International Public Management Journal*, 18(1), 3–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10967494.2014.997906>
- Jamieson, S. (2004). Likert scales: How to (ab)use them. *Medical Education*, 38(12), 1217–1218. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2929.2004.02012.x>
- Janssen, M., Weerakkody, V., Ismagilova, E., Sivarajah, U., & Irani, Z. (2020). A framework for analysing blockchain technology adoption: Integrating institutional, market and technical factors. *International Journal of Information Management*, 50, 302–309. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.012>
- Jena, A. B., & Philipson, T. J. (2008). Cost-effectiveness analysis and innovation. *Journal of Health Economics*, 27(5), 1224–1236. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhealeco.2008.05.010>
- Jensen, T. B., Kjærgaard, A., & Svevig, P. (2009). Using institutional theory with sensemaking theory: A case study of information system implementation in healthcare. *Journal of Information Technology*, 24(4), 343–353. <https://doi.org/10.1057/jit.2009.11>
- Jeremiah, P., Narayana Samy, G., Ponkoodalingam, K., Shanmugam, B., & Maarop, N. (2020). Unravelling the Ubiquitous Information Security Compliance Conundrum Among Practitioners In Private Healthcare Organisations Within Malaysia. *Psychologyandeducation.Net* 3600–3585, (9) 57. <http://psychologyandeducation.net/pae/index.php/pae/article/view/1452>
- Jianxun, C., Arkorful, V. E., & Shuliang, Z. (2021). Electronic health records adoption: Do institutional pressures and organizational culture matter? *Technology in Society*, 65, 101531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101531>
- Kabra, G. (2023). Determinants of blockchain adoption and organizational performance in the healthcare sector in India. *American Journal of Business*, 38(3), 152–171. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ajb-12-2022-0206>
- Kageshima, M., Imayoshi, T., Yamada, H., Nakayama, K., Sakama, H., & Kawazu, A. (1997). Nature of tip-sample interaction in dynamic mode atomic force microscopy. *Japanese Journal of Applied Physics, Part 1: Regular Papers and Short Notes and Review Papers*, 36(12 A), 7354–7357. <https://doi.org/10.1143/jjap.36.7354>
- Kaimao, C., & Zhongan, W. (1988). Deep levels related to copper in silicon. *Journal of Electronics (China)*, 5(4), 285–293. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02778709>
- Kalaitzi, D., Jesus, V., & Campelos, I. (2019). *Determinants of blockchain adoption and perceived benefits in food supply chains*. 140–144. <http://www.open-access.bcu.ac.uk/7946/>
- Kamble, S, Gunasekaran, A., & Arha, H. (2019). Understanding the Blockchain technology adoption in supply chains-Indian context. *International Journal of Production Research*, 57(7), 2009–2033. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2018.1518610>

- Kamble, Sachin, Gunasekaran, A., Kumar, V., Belhadi, A., & Foropon, C. (2021). A machine learning based approach for predicting blockchain adoption in supply Chain. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120465>
- Kasunic. (2005). *Small sample techniques- Designing an Effective Survey*. Carnegie-Mellon Univ Pittsburgh PA Software Engineering Inst. <https://apps.dtic.mil/sti/citations/ADA441817>
- Katuwal, G. J., Pandey, S., Hennessey, M., & Lamichhane, B. (2018). *Applications of Blockchain in Healthcare: Current Landscape & Challenges*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.02776>
- Kaur, A., Bansal, S., & Dattana, V. (2022). Blockchain in healthcare: A systematic review and future perspectives. *Deep Learning for Healthcare Decision Making*, 211–243. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003373261-9>
- Keshavjee, K., Bosomworth, J., Copen, J., Lai, J., Kucukyazici, B., Lilani, R., & Holbrook, A. M. (2006). Best practices in EMR implementation: a systematic review. *AMIA ... Annual Symposium Proceedings / AMIA Symposium. AMIA Symposium*, 982.
- Keshta, I., & Odeh, A. (2021). Security and privacy of electronic health records: Concerns and challenges. *Egyptian Informatics Journal*, 22(2), 177–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eij.2020.07.003>
- Keyvanara, M., & Sajadi, H. S. (2015). Social responsibility of the hospitals in Isfahan city, Iran: Results from a cross-sectional survey. *International Journal of Health Policy and Management*, 4(8), 517.
- Khazaei, H. (2020). Integrating cognitive antecedents to utaut model to explain adoption of blockchain technology among malaysian smes. *International Journal on Informatics Visualization*, 4(2), 85–90. <https://doi.org/10.30630/joiv.4.2.362>
- Khezr, S., Moniruzzaman, M., Yassine, A., & Benlamri, R. (2019). Blockchain technology in healthcare: A comprehensive review and directions for future research. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 9(9), 1736. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9091736>
- Killaly, M. (2011). *I Can, But I Won't: An Exploratory Study Of People And New Information Technologies in the Military*.
- Kim, H.-Y. (2013). Statistical notes for clinical researchers: assessing normal distribution (2) using skewness and kurtosis. *Restorative Dentistry & Endodontics*, 38(1), 52–54.
- Kim, S.-S., Jang, W.-J., Phuong, H.-T., Lee, J.-J., & Gim, G.-Y. (2019). Comparison of intention of using blockchain technology in Korea and Vietnam. *Asia Life Sciences*, 1, 69–82.
- Klein, E. (2011). Between the public and private sectors. *The Washington Post*, A.10. <http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb?did=2273607331&Fmt=7&clientId=16111&RQT=309&VName=PQD>

- Klöcker, P., Bernnat, R., & Veit, D. (2014). Implementation through force or measure? How institutional pressures shape national ehealth implementation programs. *ECIS 2014 Proceedings - 22nd European Conference on Information Systems*.
- Kock, N. (2015). Common method bias in PLS-SEM: A full collinearity assessment approach. *International Journal of E-Collaboration (Ijec)*, 11(4), 1–10.
- Koster, F., & Borgman, H. P. (2020). New Kid on the block! Understanding blockchain adoption in the public sector. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2020-Janua*, 1770–1779. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2020.219>
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining Sample Size for Research Activities. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 30(3), 607–610. <https://doi.org/10.1177/001316447003000308>
- Kshetri, N. (2017). Will blockchain emerge as a tool to break the poverty chain in the Global South? *Third World Quarterly*, 38(8), 1710–1732. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01436597.2017.1298438>
- Kühn, O., Jacob, A., & Schüller, M. (2019). Blockchain adoption at German logistics service providers. *Artificial Intelligence and Digital Transformation in Supply Chain Management: Innovative Approaches for Supply Chains. Proceedings of the Hamburg International Conference of Logistics (HICL), Vol. 27*, 387–411.
- Kulkarni, M., & Patil, K. (2020a). Block Chain Technology Adoption for Banking Services- Model based on Technology-Organization-Environment theory. *SSRN Electronic Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3563101>
- Kulkarni, M., & Patil, K. (2020b). Block chain technology adoption using toe framework. *International Journal of Scientific and Technology Research*, 9(2), 1109–1117.
- Kumar, A., Madaan, G., Sharma, P., & Kumar, A. (2021). APPLICATION OF DISRUPTIVE TECHNOLOGIES on ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH: AN OVERVIEW of ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE, BLOCKCHAIN and INTERNET of THINGS. *Asia Pacific Journal of Health Management*, 16(4), 3847. <https://doi.org/10.24083/apjhm.v16i4.1297>
- Kumar, Rakesh, Tahir, M. F., Kumar, S., Zia, A., Memon, H., & Mahmood, W. (2019). Challenges in Adoption of Blockchain in Developing Countries. *2019 4th International Conference on Emerging Trends in Engineering, Sciences and Technology, ICEEST 2019*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICEEST48626.2019.8981674>
- Kumar, Ranjit. (2018). *Research methodology: A step-by-step guide for beginners*. Sage.
- Kumar, T., Ramani, V., Ahmad, I., Braeken, A., Harjula, E., & Ylianttila, M. (2018). Blockchain utilization in healthcare: Key requirements and challenges. *2018 IEEE 20th International Conference on E-Health Networking, Applications and Services, Healthcom 2018*, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1109/HealthCom.2018.8531136>

- Kuo, T. T., Kim, H. E., & Ohno-Machado, L. (2017). Blockchain distributed ledger technologies for biomedical and health care applications. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 24(6), 1211–1220. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jamia/ocx068>
- Lagsten, J., & Nordström, M. (2017). Conflicting Institutional Logics in Healthcare Organisations: Implications for IT Governance. In *Information Technology Governance in Public Organizations* (pp. 269–284). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58978-7\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-58978-7_12)
- Larosiliere, G., & Carter, L. (2013). *An empirical study on the determinants of e-government maturity: a fit-viability perspective*.
- Le Nguyen, T. (2018). Blockchain in healthcare: A new technology benefit for both patients and doctors. *PICMET 2018 - Portland International Conference on Management of Engineering and Technology: Managing Technological Entrepreneurship: The Engine for Economic Growth, Proceedings*. <https://doi.org/10.23919/PICMET.2018.8481969>
- Lee, K., Lim, K., Jung, S. Y., Ji, H., Hong, K., Hwang, H., & Lee, H. Y. H.-Y. (2020). Perspectives of patients, health care professionals, and developers toward blockchain-based health information exchange: qualitative study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(11). <https://doi.org/10.2196/18582>
- Lengoatha, L., & Seymour, L. F. (2020). Determinant factors of intention to adopt blockchain technology across academic libraries. *ACM International Conference Proceeding Series*, 244–250. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3410886.3410905>
- Lepore, L., Metallo, C., Schiavone, F., & Landriani, L. (2018). Cultural orientations and information systems success in public and private hospitals: preliminary evidences from Italy. *BMC Health Services Research*, 18(1), 1–13.
- Lewis, S. (2015). Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches. In *Health Promotion Practice* (Vol. 16, Issue 4). Sage Publications Sage CA: Los Angeles, CA. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1524839915580941>
- Li, L., & Tao, Q. (2016). Institutional pressures, top management and M-commerce adoption in organizations: An empirical study of SMEs in China. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Electronic Business (ICEB)*, 685–694.
- Li, X., Wu, L., Zhao, R., Lu, W., & Xue, F. (2021). Two-layer Adaptive Blockchain-based Supervision model for off-site modular housing production. *Computers in Industry*, 128. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2021.103437>
- Lian, J.-W., Yen, D. C., & Wang, Y.-T. (2014). An exploratory study to understand the critical factors affecting the decision to adopt cloud computing in Taiwan hospital. *International Journal of Information Management*, 34(1), 28–36.
- Liang, H., Saraf, N., Hu, Q., & Xue, Y. (2007). Assimilation of enterprise systems: the effect of institutional pressures and the mediating role of top management. *MIS Quarterly*, 59–87.
- Liang, T., Huang, C., Yeh, Y., & Lin, B. (2007). Adoption of mobile technology in

- business: a fit-viability model. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*.
- Liang, T. P., Kohli, R., Huang, H. C., & Li, Z. L. (2021). What Drives the Adoption of the Blockchain Technology? A Fit-Viability Perspective. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 38(2), 314–337. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2021.1912915>
- Liang, X., Zhao, J., Shetty, S., Liu, J., & Li, D. (2018). Integrating blockchain for data sharing and collaboration in mobile healthcare applications. *IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, PIMRC, 2017-October*, 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PIMRC.2017.8292361>
- Lietz, P. (2008). Questionnaire design in attitude and opinion research: Current state of an art. *Jacobs Univ for 655, June*, 23.
- Low, C., Chen, Y., & Wu, M. (2011). Understanding the determinants of cloud computing adoption. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*.
- Lu, C., Batista, D., Hamouda, H., & Lemieux, V. (2020). Consumers' intentions to adopt blockchain-based personal health records and data sharing: Focus group study. *JMIR Formative Research*, 4(11). <https://doi.org/10.2196/21995>
- Lu, L., Liang, C., Gu, D., Ma, Y., Xie, Y., & Zhao, S. (2021). What advantages of blockchain affect its adoption in the elderly care industry? A study based on the technology–organisation–environment framework. *Technology in Society*, 67, 101786. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2021.101786>
- Luna, R., Rhine, E., Myhra, M., Sullivan, R., & Kruse, C. S. (2016). Cyber threats to health information systems: A systematic review. *Technology and Health Care*, 24(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.3233/THC-151102>
- Lustenberger, M., Malešević, S., & Spychiger, F. (2021). Ecosystem Readiness: Blockchain Adoption is Driven Externally. *Frontiers in Blockchain*, 4(August), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2021.720454>
- Lynn, M. R. (1986). Determination and quantification of content validity. *Nursing Research*, 35(6), 382–386. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00006199-198611000-00017>
- Mackey, T., Bekki, H., Matsuzaki, T., & Mizushima, H. (2020). Examining the potential of blockchain technology to meet the needs of 21st-century Japanese health care: Viewpoint on use cases and policy. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(1). <https://doi.org/10.2196/13649>
- Malik, S., Chadhar, M., & Chetty, M. (2021). Factors affecting the organizational adoption of blockchain technology: An Australian perspective. *Proceedings of the Annual Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences, 2020-Janua*, 5597–5606. <https://doi.org/10.24251/hicss.2021.680>
- Malik, S., Chadhar, M., Vatanasakdakul, S., & Chetty, M. (2021). Factors affecting the organizational adoption of blockchain technology: Extending the technology–organization–environment (TOE) framework in the Australian context. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(16), 5597–5606. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13169404>

- Mamun, Q. (2022). Blockchain technology in the future of healthcare. *Smart Health*, 23, 100223. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smhl.2021.100223>
- Mangla, S. K., Kazancoglu, Y., Ekinci, E., Liu, M., Özbiltekin, M., & Sezer, M. D. (2021). Using system dynamics to analyze the societal impacts of blockchain technology in milk supply chains refer. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 149. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2021.102289>
- Maroufkhani, P., Tseng, M. L., Iranmanesh, M., Ismail, W. K. W., & Khalid, H. (2020). Big data analytics adoption: Determinants and performances among small to medium-sized enterprises. *International Journal of Information Management*, 54, 102190. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102190>
- Martín, S. S., López-Catalán, B., & Ramón-Jerónimo, M. A. (2012). Factors determining firms' perceived performance of mobile commerce. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 112(6), 946–963. <https://doi.org/10.1108/02635571211238536>
- Martinez-Conesa, I., Soto-Acosta, P., & Palacios-Manzano, M. (2017). Corporate social responsibility and its effect on innovation and firm performance: An empirical research in SMEs. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 142, 2374–2383.
- Martins, R., Oliveira, T., & Thomas, M. A. (2016). An empirical analysis to assess the determinants of SaaS diffusion in firms. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 62, 19–33. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.03.049>
- Matthew DeCarlo. (2018). Scientific Inquiry in Social Work. *Open Social Work Education*, 208–210. [https://www.printme1.com/pdf/13a61c3a/Scientific Inquiry in Social Work \(print for publication\).pdf](https://www.printme1.com/pdf/13a61c3a/Scientific%20Inquiry%20in%20Social%20Work%20(print%20for%20publication).pdf)
- May, T. (2002). An Introduction To The Philosophy Of Social Research. In *An Introduction To The Philosophy Of Social Research*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203500064>
- McGhin, T., Choo, K.-K. R. K. R., Liu, C. Z., & He, D. (2019). Blockchain in healthcare applications: Research challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Network and Computer Applications*, 135, 62–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jnca.2019.02.027>
- Mcknight, D. H., Carter, M., Thatcher, J. B., & Clay, P. F. (2011). Trust in a specific technology: An investigation of its components and measures. *ACM Transactions on Management Information Systems*, 2(2), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1985347.1985353>
- Meng, W., Tischhauser, E. W., Wang, Q., Wang, Y., & Han, J. (2018). When intrusion detection meets blockchain technology: A review. *IEEE Access*, 6, 10179–10188. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2799854>
- Mettler, T. (2015). Anticipating mismatches of HIT investments: Developing a viability-fit model for e-health services. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 85(1), 104–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2015.10.002>
- Mexon, J., & Kumar, A. A. (2020). Business Research Methods. In *Business Research*

*Methods*. Oxford university press. <https://doi.org/10.22573/spg.020.bk/s/026>

- Min, H., Park, J., & Kim, H. J. (2016). Common method bias in hospitality research: A critical review of literature and an empirical study. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, 56, 126–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2016.04.010>
- Miraz, H. M., Tariq, M., & Akhter, R. (2022). Trust, Transaction Transparency, Volatility, Facilitating Condition, Performance Expectancy Towards Cryptocurrency Adoption Through intention to Use. *Journal of Management Information and Decision Sciences*, 25(S5), 1–20.
- Miraz, M. H., Hassan, M. G., Imran, K., & Sharif, M. (2020). Factors affecting implementation of blockchain in retail market in Malaysia. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 9(1), 385–391. <http://excelingtech.co.uk/>
- Mishra, P., Pandey, C. M., Singh, U., Gupta, A., Sahu, C., & Keshri, A. (2019). Descriptive statistics and normality tests for statistical data. *Annals of Cardiac Anaesthesia*, 22(1), 67–72. [https://doi.org/10.4103/aca.ACA\\_157\\_18](https://doi.org/10.4103/aca.ACA_157_18)
- MOH, M. of H. M. (2020). *Strategic framework of the medical programme, Ministry of Health Malaysia (2021-2025)* (Vol. 20). Hospital Management Unit, Medical Development Division, Ministry of Health Malaysia. [https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Pelan\\_Strategik\\_KKM.pdf](https://www.moh.gov.my/moh/resources/Pelan_Strategik_KKM.pdf)
- MOH Malaysia. (2022). Health Facts 2022 : Reference Data for Year 2021. In *Penerbitan Utama KKM* (Vol. 22, Issue October). <https://www.moh.gov.my/index.php/pages/view/58?mid=19>
- Mohamed, W. K. (2017). *The Adoption of Healthcare Information Systems Within Public Hospitals in Kurdistan Region of Iraq*. Universiti Utara Malaysia.
- Mohammed, F., Alzahrani, A. I., Alfarraj, O., & Ibrahim, O. (2017). Cloud Computing Fitness for E-Government Implementation: Importance-Performance Analysis. *IEEE Access*, 6, 1236–1248. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2017.2778093>
- Mohammed, F., & Ibrahim, O. Bin. (2015). Drivers of cloud computing adoption for E-government services implementation. *Web-Based Services: Concepts, Methodologies, Tools, and Applications*, 6(1), 849–863. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-9466-8.ch038>
- Mohammed, F., Ibrahim, O., Nilashi, M., & Alzurqa, E. (2017). Cloud computing adoption model for e-government implementation. *Information Development*, 33(3), 303–323. <https://doi.org/10.1177/02666666916656033>
- Mohanta, B. K., Jena, D., Panda, S. S., & Sobhanayak, S. (2019). Blockchain technology: A survey on applications and security privacy Challenges. *Internet of Things (Netherlands)*, 8, 100107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iot.2019.100107>
- MOSTI. (2021). *National Blockchain Roadmap 2021-2025*. Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation (MOSTI). <https://www.mosti.gov.my/wp-content/uploads/2022/08/National-Blockchain-Roadmap-2021-2025.pdf>

- Mousa, M. (2020). Determinants of Cloud Based E-Government in Libya. *Journal of Critical Reviews*, 7(August), 13. <http://www.jcreview.com/fulltext/197-1596886870.pdf>
- Mudaris, I. S. M. (2021). Electronic Health Records: Planning the Foundation for Digital Healthcare in Malaysia. *KRI Discussion Paper, August*, 1–18.
- Muhammad, I., Seitz, J., & Wickramasinghe, N. (2013). Understanding the Cross-Cultural ERP Implementation Impact: A FVM Perspective. *Bled EConference*, 18.
- Muhammad, I., & Wickramasinghe, N. (2020). An Evaluation of the Point-of-Care (PoC) System Implementation and Adoption in a Multi-Campus Private Hospital in Melbourne. *Delivering Superior Health and Wellness Management with IoT and Analytics*, 535–554. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17347-0\\_26](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-17347-0_26)
- Mujalli, A., & Almgrashi, A. (2020). A Conceptual Framework for Generalised Audit Software Adoption in Saudi Arabia by Government Internal Auditing Departments using an Integrated Institutional Theory-TOE Model. *2020 IEEE Asia-Pacific Conference on Computer Science and Data Engineering, CSDE 2020*, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1109/CSDE50874.2020.9411556>
- Mukherjee, S., Baral, M. M., Lavanya, B. L., Nagariya, R., Singh Patel, B., & Chittipaka, V. (2023). Intentions to adopt the blockchain: investigation of the retail supply chain. *Management Decision*, 61(5), 1320–1351. <https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-03-2022-0369>
- Murphy, S., Reilly, P., & Murphy, T. (2021). Assessing the potential use of blockchain technology to improve the sharing of public health data in a western Canadian province. *Health and Technology*, 11(3), 547–556. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12553-021-00539-5>
- Musaa, R., & Fathib, M. S. (2019). The potential of blockchain technology for occupational safety and health management system. *Journal of Occupational Safety and Health*, 16(1), 27–29. <http://www.niosh.com.my/images/URL/jurnal/Journal June 2019.pdf#page=15>
- Nadeem, W., Juntunen, M., Shirazi, F., & Hajli, N. (2020). Consumers' value co-creation in sharing economy: The role of social support, consumers' ethical perceptions and relationship quality. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 151, 119786. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2019.119786>
- Nagy, M., Abbad, H. M., Darwish, A., & Hassanien, A. E. (2021). The 4th industrial revolution in coronavirus pandemic ERA. In *Studies in Systems, Decision and Control* (Vol. 322). Springer International Publishing. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63307-3\\_14](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-63307-3_14)
- Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A Peer-to-Peer Electronic Cash System. *Decentralized Business Review*, 21260. <https://git.dhimmel.com/bitcoin-whitepaper/>
- Nazim, N. F., RAZIS, N. M., & HATTA, M. F. M. (2021). Behavioural intention to adopt blockchain technology among bankers in islamic financial system: perspectives in Malaysia. *Revista Română de Informatică Şi Automatică*, 31(1),

11–28. <https://doi.org/10.33436/v31i1y202101>

- Ndayizigamiye, P., & Dube, S. (2019). Potential Adoption of Blockchain Technology to Enhance Transparency and Accountability in the Public Healthcare System in South Africa. *Proceedings - 2019 International Multidisciplinary Information Technology and Engineering Conference, IMITEC 2019*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IMITEC45504.2019.9015920>
- Ng, P. Y., & Sia, J. K. M. (2023). Managers' perspectives on restaurant food waste separation intention: The roles of institutional pressures and internal forces. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *108*, 103362. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhm.2022.103362>
- Ngongo, B. P., Ochola, P., Ndegwa, J., & Katuse, P. (2019). The technological, organizational and environmental determinants of adoption of mobile health applications (m-health) by hospitals in Kenya. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(12), e0225167. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225167>
- Nguyen, D. C., Pathirana, P. N., Ding, M., & Seneviratne, A. (2019). Blockchain for secure EHRs sharing of mobile cloud based e-health systems. *IEEE Access*, *7*, 66792–66806.
- Niekerk, L., Manderson, L., & Balabanova, D. (2021). The application of social innovation in healthcare: a scoping review. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty*, *10*(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40249-021-00794-8>
- Nilashi, M., Ahmadi, H., Ahani, A., Ravangard, R., & Ibrahim, O. bin. (2016). Determining the importance of Hospital Information System adoption factors using Fuzzy Analytic Network Process (ANP). *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, *111*, 244–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.07.008>
- Norman, G. (2010). Likert scales, levels of measurement and the “laws” of statistics. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*, *15*, 625–632.
- Nulty, D. D. (2008). The adequacy of response rates to online and paper surveys: What can be done? *Assessment and Evaluation in Higher Education*, *33*(3), 301–314. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02602930701293231>
- O'Dair, M., & Beaven, Z. (2017). The networked record industry: How blockchain technology could transform the record industry. *Strategic Change*, *26*(5), 471–480. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jsc.2147>
- Oates, B. J. (2006). Researching Information Systems and Computing. In *Inorganic Chemistry* (Vol. 37). Sage. <http://www.pubmedcentral.nih.gov/articlerender.fcgi?artid=2836698&tool=pmcentrez&rendertype=abstract>
- Oliveira, T., & Martins, M. F. (2010a). Information technology adoption models at Firm Level: Review of literature. *4th European Conference on Information Management and Evaluation, ECIME 2010*, *14*(1), 312–322.
- Oliveira, T., & Martins, M. F. (2010b). Understanding e-business adoption across industries in European countries. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*.

- Oliveira, T., Thomas, M., & Espadanal, M. (2014). Assessing the determinants of cloud computing adoption: An analysis of the manufacturing and services sectors. *Information & Management*, 51(5), 497–510.
- Orji, I. J., Kusi-Sarpong, S., Huang, S., & Vazquez-Brust, D. (2020). Evaluating the factors that influence blockchain adoption in the freight logistics industry. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.102025>
- Orlikowski, W. J., & Baroudi, J. J. (1991). Studying information technology in organizations: Research approaches and assumptions. *Information Systems Research*, 2(1), 1–28. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.2.1.1>
- Palas, M. J. U., & Bunduchi, R. (2021). Exploring interpretations of blockchain's value in healthcare: a multi-stakeholder approach. *Information Technology and People*, 34(2), 453–495. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-01-2019-0008>
- Parekh, J., Jaffer, A., Bhanushali, U., & Shukla, S. (2021). Disintermediation in medical tourism through blockchain technology: an analysis using value-focused thinking approach. *Information Technology and Tourism*, 23(1), 69–96. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40558-020-00180-4>
- Park, K. O. (2020). A study on sustainable usage intention of blockchain in the big data era: Logistics and supply chain management companies. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(24), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122410670>
- Patil, A., Shardeo, V., Dwivedi, A., & Madaan, J. (2021). An integrated approach to model the blockchain implementation barriers in humanitarian supply chain. *Journal of Global Operations and Strategic Sourcing*, 14(1), 81–103. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JGOSS-07-2020-0042>
- Pavlík, P. (2005). *Information systems in health care*. Kontakt. <https://doi.org/10.32725/kont.2005.006>
- Pavlou, P. A., Huigang, L., & Yajiong, X. (2007). Understanding and mitigating uncertainty in online exchange relationships: A principal-agent perspective. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 31(1), 105–135. <https://doi.org/10.2307/25148783>
- PH. and Chang, Y. C. (2009). Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. *Research Design Qualitative Quantitative and Mixed Methods Approaches*. In *Research Design* (Vol. 4, Issue June). Sage publications.
- Pólvora, A., Nascimento, S., Lourenço, J. S., & Scapolo, F. (2020). Blockchain for industrial transformations: A forward-looking approach with multi-stakeholder engagement for policy advice. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 157, 120091. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120091>
- Poon, E. G., Jha, A. K., Christino, M., Honour, M. M., Fernandopulle, R., Middleton, B., Newhouse, J., Leape, L., Bates, D. W., Blumenthal, D., & Kaushal, R. (2006). Assessing the level of healthcare information technology adoption in the United States: A snapshot. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, 6(1), 1–9.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6947-6-1>

- Premkumar, G., & Ramamurthy, K. (1995). The Role of Interorganizational and Organizational Factors on the Decision Mode for Adoption of Interorganizational Systems. *Decision Sciences*, 26(3), 303–336. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-5915.1995.tb01431.x>
- Qasem, Y. A. M., Abdullah, R., Atan, R., & Jusoh, Y. Y. (2018). Mapping and Analyzing Process of Cloud-based Education as a Service (CEaaS) Model for Cloud Computing Adoption in Higher Education Institutions. *Proceedings - 2018 4th International Conference on Information Retrieval and Knowledge Management: Diving into Data Sciences, CAMP 2018, March*, 203–210. <https://doi.org/10.1109/INFRKM.2018.8464763>
- Qasem, Y. A. M., Asadi, S., Abdullah, R., Yah, Y., Atan, R., Al-Sharafi, M. A., & Yassin, A. A. (2020). A multi-analytical approach to predict the determinants of cloud computing adoption in higher education institutions. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 10(14), 4905. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10144905>
- Queiroz, M. M., & Wamba, F. S. (2019). Blockchain adoption challenges in supply chain: An empirical investigation of the main drivers in India and the USA. *International Journal of Information Management*, 46, 70–82. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2018.11.021>
- Queiroz, M. M., Wamba, F. S., De Bourmont, M., & Telles, R. (2021). Blockchain adoption in operations and supply chain management: empirical evidence from an emerging economy. *International Journal of Production Research*, 59(20), 6087–6103. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1803511>
- Quiniou, M. (2019). *Blockchain: the advent of disintermediation*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Rai, R. S., & Selnes, F. (2019). Conceptualizing task-technology fit and the effect on adoption – A case study of a digital textbook service. *Information and Management*, 56(8), 103161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2019.04.004>
- Ramdani, B., Chevers, D., & Williams, D. A. (2013). SMEs' adoption of enterprise applications. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 20(4), 735–753. <https://doi.org/10.1108/jsbed-12-2011-0035>
- Ramdani, B., Duan, B., & Berrou, I. (2020). Exploring the determinants of mobile health adoption by hospitals in China: Empirical study. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 8(7), e14795. <https://doi.org/10.2196/14795>
- Ramirez, C. (2002). Strategies for subject matter expert review in questionnaire design. *Questionnaire Design, Evaluation and Testing Conference*, 1, 1–8. [http://jpsm.umd.edu/qdet/final\\_pdf\\_papers/ramirez.pdf](http://jpsm.umd.edu/qdet/final_pdf_papers/ramirez.pdf)
- Rana, N. P., Dwivedi, Y. K., & Hughes, D. L. (2021). Analysis of challenges for blockchain adoption within the Indian public sector: an interpretive structural modelling approach. *Information Technology and People*, 35(2), 548–576. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-07-2020-0460>
- Ravishankar, B., Kulkarni, P., & Vishnudas, M. V. (2020). Blockchain-based database

- to ensure data integrity in cloud computing environments. *2020 International Conference on Mainstreaming Block Chain Implementation, ICOMBI 2020*. <https://doi.org/10.23919/ICOMBI48604.2020.9203500>
- Razali, N. A. M., Muhamad, W. N. W., Ishak, K. K., Saad, N. J. A. M., Wook, M., & Ramli, S. (2021). Secure Blockchain-Based Data-Sharing Model and Adoption among Intelligence Communities. *IAENG International Journal of Computer Science*, *48*(1).
- Rehman, M., Esichaikul, V., & Kamal, M. (2012). Factors influencing e-government adoption in Pakistan. *Transforming Government: People, Process and Policy*, *6*(3), 258–282. <https://doi.org/10.1108/17506161211251263>
- Rogelberg, S. G. (2017). Common Method Variance. *The SAGE Encyclopedia of Industrial and Organizational Psychology, 2nd Edition*, *1*(11). <https://doi.org/10.4135/9781483386874.n68>
- Rogers, E. M. (1995). Diffusion of innovations. *New York*, *12*.
- Roman, D., & Stefano, G. (2016). Towards a reference architecture for trusted data marketplaces: The credit scoring perspective. *Proceedings - 2016 2nd International Conference on Open and Big Data, OBD 2016*, 95–101. <https://doi.org/10.1109/OBD.2016.21>
- Rose, S., Spinks, N., & Canhoto, A. (2014). Management Research. In *Management Research*. Sage. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315819198>
- Ross, D. A., & Baker, E. L. (2023). A Brief History of Public Health Informatics - Lessons for Leaders and a Look into the Future. *Journal of Public Health Management and Practice*, *29*(1), 101–104. <https://doi.org/10.1097/PHH.0000000000001672>
- Rubio, D. M. G., Berg-Weger, M., Tebb, S. S., Lee, E. S., & Rauch, S. (2003). Objectifying content validity: Conducting a content validity study in social work research. *Social Work Research*, *27*(2), 94–104. <https://doi.org/10.1093/swr/27.2.94>
- Rudolph, J. (2015). *Leedy, PD, & Ormrod, JE (2015). Practical research. Planning and design . Boston, MA: Pearson.*
- Russo-Spena, T., Mele, C., Cavacece, Y., Ebraico, S., Dantas, C., Roseiro, P., & van Staalduinen, W. (2022). Enabling Value Co-Creation in Healthcare through Blockchain Technology. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *20*(1), 67.
- Saeed, H., Malik, H., Bashir, U., Ahmad, A., Riaz, S., Ilyas, M., Bukhari, W. A., & Khan, M. I. A. (2022). Blockchain technology in healthcare: A systematic review. In *PLoS ONE* (Vol. 17, Issue 4 April). <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0266462>
- Salleh, M. I. M., Abdullah, R., & Zakaria, N. (2021). Evaluating the effects of electronic health records system adoption on the performance of Malaysian health care providers. *BMC Medical Informatics and Decision Making*, *21*(1), 1–13.

<https://doi.org/10.1186/s12911-021-01447-4>

- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Pick, M., Liengard, B. D., Radomir, L., & Ringle, C. M. (2022). Progress in partial least squares structural equation modeling use in marketing research in the last decade. *Psychology and Marketing*, 39(5), 1035–1064. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.21640>
- Sarstedt, M., Ringle, C. M., & Hair, J. F. (2021). Partial least squares structural equation modeling. In *Handbook of market research* (pp. 587–632). Springer.
- Sastararuji, D., Hoonsopon, D., Pitchayadol, P., & Chiwamit, P. (2022). Cloud accounting adoption in Thai SMEs amid the COVID-19 pandemic: an explanatory case study. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 11(1), 1–25. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-022-00234-3>
- Saunders, M. A., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2012). *Research Methods for Business Students Sixth Edition*. Pearson education.
- Sayyahgilani, M., Mayeh, M., & Ramayah, T. (2014). Key factors influencing the adoption of telemedicine in Malaysian public hospitals: A cross-sectional survey. *The 2nd International Conference on E-Health and Telemedicine*, 68–75.
- Schmidt, W. C. (1997). World-Wide Web survey research: Benefits, potential problems, and solutions. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, and Computers*, 29(2), 274–279. <https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03204826>
- Schonlau, M., Ronald Jr, D., & Elliott, M. N. (2020). *Conducting Research Surveys via E-mail and the Web*. Rand Corporation. <https://doi.org/10.7249/mr1480>
- Schreiber, J. B., Nora, A., Stage, F. K., Barlow, E. A., & King, J. (2006). Reporting structural equation modeling and confirmatory factor analysis results: A review. *The Journal of Educational Research*, 99(6), 323–338.
- Sciarelli, M., Prisco, A., Gheith, M. H., & Muto, V. (2022). Factors affecting the adoption of blockchain technology in innovative Italian companies: an extended TAM approach. *Journal of Strategy and Management*, 15(3), 495–507. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JSMA-02-2021-0054>
- Scotland, J. (2012). Exploring the philosophical underpinnings of research: Relating ontology and epistemology to the methodology and methods of the scientific, interpretive, and critical research paradigms. *English Language Teaching*, 5(9), 9–16. <https://doi.org/10.5539/elt.v5n9p9>
- Seh, A. H., Zarour, M., Alenezi, M., Sarkar, A. K., Agrawal, A., Kumar, R., Khan, R. A., & Ahmad Khan, R. (2020). Healthcare data breaches: Insights and implications. *Healthcare*, 8(2), 133. <https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare8020133>
- Sekaran, U., & Bougie, R. (1993). Research methods for business: A skill building approach. In *Long Range Planning* (Vol. 26, Issue 2). John Wiley & Sons. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301\(93\)90168-f](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-6301(93)90168-f)
- Şener, U., Gökalp, E., & Eren, P. E. (2016). Cloud-based enterprise information systems: determinants of adoption in the context of organizations. *International*

*Conference on Information and Software Technologies*, 53–66.

- Seshadrinathan, S., & Chandra, S. (2021). Exploring Factors Influencing Adoption of Blockchain in Accounting Applications using Technology–Organization–Environment Framework. *Journal of International Technology and Information Management*, 30(1), 30–68.
- Shahzad, K., Jianqiu, Z., Zia, M. A., Shaheen, A., & Sardar, T. (2021). Essential factors for adopting hospital information system: a case study from Pakistan. *International Journal of Computers and Applications*, 43(1), 26–37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1206212X.2018.1504460>
- Shalini, K. S., & Nithya, M. (2022). A survey on adoption of blockchain in healthcare. *International Journal of Business Intelligence and Data Mining*, 22(1–2), 131–143. <https://doi.org/10.1504/ijbidm.2023.127317>
- Sharma, M., & Joshi, S. (2021). Barriers to blockchain adoption in health-care industry: an Indian perspective. *Journal of Global Operations and Strategic Sourcing*, 14(1), 134–169. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JGOSS-06-2020-0026>
- Sherer, S. A. (2010). Information systems and healthcare: An institutional theory perspective on physician adoption of electronic health records. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 26(1), 127–140. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1cais.02607>
- Sherer, S. A., Meyerhoefer, C. D., & Peng, L. (2016). Applying institutional theory to the adoption of electronic health records in the U.S. *Information and Management*, 53(5), 570–580. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2016.01.002>
- Shuaib, M., Alam, S., & Daud, S. M. (2021). Improving the Authenticity of Real Estate Land Transaction Data Using Blockchain-Based Security Scheme. In *Communications in Computer and Information Science* (Vol. 1347). [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-6835-4\\_1](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-33-6835-4_1)
- Shukla, R. G., Agarwal, A., & Shekhar, V. (2021). Leveraging Blockchain Technology for Indian Healthcare system: An assessment using value-focused thinking approach. *Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 32(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hitech.2021.100415>
- Singh, D., Monga, S., Tanwar, S., Hong, W.-C., Sharma, R., & He, Y.-L. (2023). Adoption of Blockchain Technology in Healthcare: Challenges, Solutions, and Comparisons. *Applied Sciences*, 13(4), 2380.
- Singh, Y. K. (2006). *Fundamental of Research Methodology and Statistics*. Mahatma Gandhi Chitrakoot Rural University. New Age International.
- Siniora, D. (2017). Corporate Social Responsibility in the Health Care Sector. *Graduate Student Research Symposium*. <https://dsc.duq.edu/gsr>
- Smetanin, S., Ometov, A., Komarov, M., Masek, P., & Koucheryavy, Y. (2020). Blockchain evaluation approaches: State-of-the-art and future perspective. *Sensors*, 20(12), 3358.

- Smith, M. D., & Hoyle, R. H. (1996). Structural Equation Modeling: Concepts, Issues, and Applications. In *The Statistician* (Vol. 45, Issue 2). Sage. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2988418>
- Stafford, T. F., & Treiblmaier, H. (2020). Characteristics of a Blockchain Ecosystem for Secure and Sharable Electronic Medical Records. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, 67(4), 1340–1362. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TEM.2020.2973095>
- Straub, D., Boudreau, M.-C., & Gefen, D. (2004). Validation guidelines for IS positivist research. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 13(1), 24.
- Straub, E. T. (2009). Understanding technology adoption: Theory and future directions for informal learning. *Review of Educational Research*, 79(2), 625–649.
- Subramaniam, P. (2021). *Blockchain: Building blocks of better healthcare*. The Edge Malaysia. <https://www.theedgemarkets.com/article/blockchain-building-blocks-better-healthcare>
- Sulaiman, H., & Wickramasinghe, N. (2014). Assimilating healthcare information systems in a Malaysian hospital. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 34(1), 1291–1318. <https://doi.org/10.17705/1cais.03477>
- Supranee, S., & Rotchanakitumnuai, S. (2017). The acceptance of the application of blockchain technology in the supply chain process of the Thai automotive industry. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Electronic Business (ICEB), 2017-Decem*, 252–257.
- Suwanposri, C., Bhatiasevi, V., & Thanakijombat, T. (2021). Drivers of Blockchain Adoption in Financial and Supply Chain Enterprises. *Global Business Review*, 09721509211046170. <https://doi.org/10.1177/09721509211046170>
- Swanson, R. A., & Holton, E. F. (2005). Research in Organizations: Foundations and methods in inquiry. In *Berrett-Koehler Publishers* (Vol. 53, Issue 9). Berrett-Koehler Publishers.
- Tabri, N., & Elliott, C. M. (2012). Principles and Practice of Structural Equation Modeling. In *Canadian Graduate Journal of Sociology and Criminology* (Vol. 1, Issue 1). Guilford publications. <https://doi.org/10.15353/cgjsc.v1i1.3787>
- Tan, T. M., Salo, J., Ahokangas, P., Seppänen, V., & Sandner, P. (2021). Revealing the Disintermediation Concept of Blockchain Technology. In *Impact of globalization and advanced technologies on online business models* (pp. 88–102). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-7998-7603-8.ch006>
- Tandon, A., Dhir, A., Islam, N., & Mäntymäki, M. (2020). Blockchain in healthcare: A systematic literature review, synthesizing framework and future research agenda. *Computers in Industry*, 122. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2020.103290>
- Tanwar, S., Parekh, K., & Evans, R. (2020). Blockchain-based electronic healthcare record system for healthcare 4.0 applications. *Journal of Information Security and Applications*, 50, 102407. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jisa.2019.102407>

- Taylor, K., Siegel, S., & Hall, B. (2020). Digital Transformation - Shaping the Future of Healthcare. *Deloitte, September*, 1–61.
- Teijlingen, E., & Hundley, V. (2002). The importance of pilot studies. *Nursing Standard (Royal College of Nursing (Great Britain) : 1987)*, 16(40), 33–36. <https://doi.org/10.7748/ns2002.06.16.40.33.c3214>
- Tessmer, M. (2013). Planning and Conducting Formative Evaluations. In *Planning and Conducting Formative Evaluations*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203061978>
- Thomson, S. B. (2011). Sample size and grounded theory. *Journal of Administration & Governance*, 5(1), 45–52.
- Thong, J. Y. L., & Yap, C. S. (1996). Information technology adoption by small business: An empirical study. In *Diffusion and Adoption of Information Technology* (pp. 160–175). Springer. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-34982-4\\_12](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-34982-4_12)
- Tongco, M. D. C. (2007). *Purposive sampling as a tool for informant selection*.
- Tornatzky, L. G., Fleischer, M., & Chakrabarti, A. K. (1990). Processes of technological innovation. In *Small Business Economics* (Vol. 48, Issue 3). Lexington books.
- Toufaily, E., Zalan, T., & Dhaou, S. B. (2021). A framework of blockchain technology adoption: An investigation of challenges and expected value. *Information and Management*, 58(3). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2021.103444>
- Tripathi, S., & Nasina, J. (2017). Adoption of cloud computing in business: A multi-case approach to evaluate the fit-viability model (FVM). *International Journal of Business and Information*, 12(1), 39–64.
- Tseng, F. M., Liang, C. W., & Nguyen, N. B. (2023). Blockchain technology adoption and business performance in large enterprises: A comparison of the United States and China. *Technology in Society*, 73, 102230. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2023.102230>
- Turhan, C., & Akman, I. (2022). Exploring sectoral diversity in the timing of organizational blockchain adoption. *Information Technology and People*, 35(7), 1912–1930. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ITP-05-2020-0330>
- Turker, D. (2009). How corporate social responsibility influences organizational commitment. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 89(2), 189–204. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-008-9993-8>
- Uddin, J. M., & Huang, D. (2019). Assimilation of IcT-led Innovation in the Public Sector of Bangladesh: an Empirical Investigation on Single-stage Assimilation Process. *International Journal of Managing Public Sector Information and Communication Technologies*, 10(4), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.5121/ijmpict.2019.10401>
- Ullah, N, Al-Rahmi, W. M., Alzahrani, A. I., Alfarraj, O., & Alblehai, F. M. (2021).

- Blockchain technology adoption in smart learning environments. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(4), 1–18. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13041801>
- Ullah, Nazir, Alnumay, W. S., Al-Rahmi, W. M., Alzahrani, A. I., & Al-Samarraie, H. (2020). Modeling cost saving and innovativeness for blockchain technology adoption by energy management. *Energies*, 13(18). <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13184783>
- Umble, E. J., Haft, R. R., & Umble, M. M. (2003). Enterprise resource planning: Implementation procedures and critical success factors. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 146(2), 241–257. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217\(02\)00547-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0377-2217(02)00547-7)
- Vaishnavi, V., & Suresh, M. (2022). Assessment of healthcare organizational readiness for change: A fuzzy logic approach. *Journal of King Saud University - Engineering Sciences*, 34(3), 189–197. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksues.2020.09.008>
- Veerpalu, A. (2019). Shareholder ledger using distributed ledger technology: The estonian perspective. *Masaryk University Journal of Law and Technology*, 13(2), 277–309. <https://doi.org/10.5817/MUJLT2019-2-6>
- Velmovitsky, P. E., Bublitz, F. M., Fadrique, L. X., & Morita, P. P. (2021). Blockchain applications in health care and public health: Increased transparency. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 9(6). <https://doi.org/10.2196/20713>
- Venkatesh, V., Brown, S. A., & Bala, H. (2013). Bridging the qualitative-quantitative divide: Guidelines for conducting mixed methods research in information systems. *MIS Quarterly*, 21–54.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G. B., & Davis, F. D. (2003). User acceptance of information technology: Toward a unified view. *MIS Quarterly*, 425–478.
- Villarreal, E. R. D., García-Alonso, J., Moguel, E., & Alegría, J. A. H. (2023). Blockchain for healthcare management systems: a survey on interoperability and security. *IEEE Access*, 11, 5629–5652.
- Vitriastuti, N. A., & Adhiutama, A. (2019). *Factors for Influencing Intention To Adopt Blockchain Technology : in Perspective of Financial Technology Companies in Indonesia*. 2019(August), 7–9.
- Vivaldini, M., & de Sousa, P. R. (2021). Blockchain connectivity inhibitors: weaknesses affecting supply chain interaction and resilience. *Benchmarking*, 28(10), 3102–3136. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BIJ-10-2020-0510>
- Wamba, F. S., Queiroz, M. M., & Trinchera, L. (2020). Dynamics between blockchain adoption determinants and supply chain performance: An empirical investigation. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 229(September), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107791>
- Wang, B. B., Wan, T. T. H., Burke, D. E., Bazzoli, G. J., & Lin, B. Y. J. (2005). Factors influencing health information system adoption in American hospitals. *Health Care Management Review*, 30(1), 44–51. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00004010->

- Wang, H., Chen, K., & Xu, D. (2016). A maturity model for blockchain adoption. *Financial Innovation*, 2(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40854-016-0031-z>
- Wang, N., Zhou, X., Lu, X., Guan, Z., Wu, L., Du, X., & Guizani, M. (2019). When energy trading meets blockchain in electrical power system: The state of the art. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 9(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app9081561>
- Wang, S., Ouyang, L., Yuan, Y., Ni, X., Han, X., & Wang, F.-Y. (2019). Blockchain-enabled smart contracts: architecture, applications, and future trends. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics: Systems*, 49(11), 2266–2277.
- Wang, S., Wang, J., Wang, X., Qiu, T., Yuan, Y., Ouyang, L., Guo, Y., & Wang, F. Y. (2018). Blockchain-Powered Parallel Healthcare Systems Based on the ACP Approach. *IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems*, 5(4), 942–950. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCSS.2018.2865526>
- Wang, X., Liu, L., Liu, J., & Huang, X. (2022). Understanding the Determinants of Blockchain Technology Adoption in the Construction Industry. *Buildings*, 12(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/buildings12101709>
- Wang, Z., & Wu, Q. (2019). Incentive for Historical Block Data Sharing in Blockchain. *2019 IEEE 10th Annual Information Technology, Electronics and Mobile Communication Conference, IEMCON 2019*, 913–919. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMCON.2019.8936209>
- Wanitcharakkukul, L., & Rotchanakitumnuai, S. (2017). Blockchain technology acceptance in electronic medical record system. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Electronic Business (ICEB), 2017-Decem*, 53–58.
- Werner, F., Basalla, M., Schneider, J., Hays, D., & Vom Brocke, J. (2021). Blockchain Adoption from an Interorganizational Systems Perspective—A Mixed-Methods Approach. *Information Systems Management*, 38(2), 135–150. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2020.1767830>
- Weston, R., & Gore, P. A. (2006). A Brief Guide to Structural Equation Modeling. In *The Counseling Psychologist* (Vol. 34, Issue 5). psychology press. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0011000006286345>
- Westphal, E., & Seitz, H. (2021). Digital and Decentralized Management of Patient Data in Healthcare Using Blockchain Implementations. *Frontiers in Blockchain*, 4, 36. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fbloc.2021.732112>
- Whitehead, A. L., Julious, S. A., Cooper, C. L., & Campbell, M. J. (2016). Estimating the sample size for a pilot randomised trial to minimise the overall trial sample size for the external pilot and main trial for a continuous outcome variable. *Statistical Methods in Medical Research*, 25(3), 1057–1073.
- Witteloostuijn, A., Eden, L., & Chang, S.-J. (2020). Common Method Variance in International Business Research: Further Reflections. *Research Methods in International Business*, 409–413. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22113-3\\_22](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-22113-3_22)

- Wolfe, R. A. (1994). Organizational innovation: Review, critique and suggested research directions. *Journal of Management Studies*, 31(3), 405–431.
- Wong, L. W., Leong, L. Y., Hew, J. J., Tan, G. W. H., & Ooi, K. B. (2020). Time to seize the digital evolution: Adoption of blockchain in operations and supply chain management among Malaysian SMEs. *International Journal of Information Management*, 52(June), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.08.005>
- Wong, L. W., Tan, G. W. H., Lee, V. H., Ooi, K. B., & Sohal, A. (2020). Unearthing the determinants of Blockchain adoption in supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 58(7), 2100–2123. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2020.1730463>
- Wymer, S., & Regan, E. (2005). Factors Influencing e-commerce Adoption and Use by Small and Medium Businesses. *Electronic Markets*, 15(4), 438–453. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10196780500303151>
- Yadav, V. S., Singh, A. R., Raut, R. D., & Govindarajan, U. H. (2020). Blockchain technology adoption barriers in the Indian agricultural supply chain: an integrated approach. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 161. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104877>
- Yastreb, N. (2019). Technologies of the fourth industrial revolution: Ethical issues and social transformations. *Proceedings of the 33rd International Business Information Management Association Conference, IBIMA 2019: Education Excellence and Innovation Management through Vision 2020*, 4008–4013. <https://www.scopus.com/inward/record.uri?eid=2-s2.0-85074103129&partnerID=40&md5=12a10aae4f4647699b73160d11707e26>
- Yoo, S.-K., & Kim, B.-Y. (2019). The effective factors of cloud computing adoption success in organization. *The Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business*, 6(1), 217–229. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafeb.2019.01.001>
- Yu, S. (2021). Application of Blockchain-Based Sports Health Data Collection System in the Development of Sports Industry. *Mobile Information Systems*, 2021. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2021/4663147>
- Yusof, H., Farhana Mior Badrul Munir, M., Zolkaply, Z., Li Jing, C., Yu Hao, C., Swee Ying, D., Seang Zheng, L., Yuh Seng, L., & Kok Leong, T. (2018). Behavioral Intention to Adopt Blockchain Technology: Viewpoint of the Banking Institutions in Malaysia. *International Journal of Advanced Scientific Research and Management*, 3(10), 1–6. [www.ijasrm.com](http://www.ijasrm.com)
- Zailani, S., Iranmanesh, M., Nikbin, D., & Beng, J. K. C. (2015). Determinants of RFID Adoption in Malaysia's Healthcare Industry: Occupational Level as a Moderator. *Journal of Medical Systems*, 39(8), 1. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10916-015-0263-x>
- Zhang, M., & Ji, Y. (2018). Blockchain for healthcare records: A data perspective. *PeerJ*, 6(May), 2–6. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.4444>
- Zhang, N., Lu, S. F., Xu, B., Wu, B., Rodriguez-Monguio, R., & Gurwitz, J. (2016). Health Information Technologies: Which Nursing Homes Adopted Them? *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 316(12), 1303–1310. <https://doi.org/10.1001/2016.1122>

*Journal of the American Medical Directors Association*, 17(5), 441–447.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamda.2016.02.028>

Zhang, Y., Li, X., & Hamari, J. (2020). How does mobility affect social media advertising effectiveness? A study in WeChat. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 120(11), 2081–2101. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-05-2020-0268>

Zheng, Z., Xie, S., Dai, H.-N., Chen, X., & Wang, H. (2018). Blockchain challenges and opportunities: A survey. *International Journal of Web and Grid Services*, 14(4), 352–375.

Zhuang, Y., Chen, Y. W., Shae, Z. Y., & Shyu, C. R. (2020). Generalizable layered blockchain architecture for health care applications: Development, case studies, and evaluation. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 22(7). <https://doi.org/10.2196/19029>

Zolfaghari, A. H., Daly, H., Nasiri, M., & Sharifian, R. (2020). *Blockchain applications in Healthcare: A model for research*. <http://arxiv.org/abs/2008.05683>

Zorn, T. E., Flanagan, A. J., & Shoham, M. D. (2011). Institutional and noninstitutional influences on information and communication technology adoption and use among nonprofit organizations. *Human Communication Research*, 37(1), 1–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2958.2010.01387.x>



## Appendix A

### Expert Review Questionnaire

<b>1. In your opinion, How important are the following factors to adopting blockchain technology in healthcare organizations ( Hospital context)?</b>					
Factors	Very important	Important	May be important	Not important	Not relevant
Technology Trust					
Information Transparency					
Perceived Disintermediation					
Cost-Effectiveness					
Technology-Fit					
Organizational Readiness					
Top managers support					
Corporate Social Responsibility					
Mimetic pressure - competitor					
Coercive pressure - Government					
Technology Vendor support					
Trading Partner Readiness					
Viability					

**2. In your opinion, are there any other important factors that need to be considered when a health organization (hospital) intends to adopt blockchain technology?**

Yes                       No

If your answer is yes, please specify these factors:

## **Appendix B**

### **Survey Questionnaire**

#### **Survey on Factors Influencing the Intention to Adopt Blockchain Technology-based Healthcare Information System in Malaysian Hospitals**

**Dear Respected Prof/ Assoc Prof/ Dr/ Mr/ Mrs/ Madam/ Miss/ Ms,**

**Greetings,**

You are kindly requested to participate in a nationwide survey being conducted by Mahmood Abdullah Bazel, a Ph.D candidate under the supervision of Associate Professor Dr. Mazida Ahmad and Dr. Fathey Mohammed from the School of Computing, Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM). The survey is part of research studying the factors influencing the intention to adopt Blockchain technology-based healthcare information systems in Malaysian hospitals.

#### **Overview of Blockchain Technology**

Blockchain is an incredibly promising technique that allows peer-to-peer (P2P) communication in a secure, private, and distributed situation without the need for an authorized intermediary. As a distributed database with the characteristics of decentralization, security, and transparency, blockchain has enabled enormous solutions for traditional healthcare context issues and is perfectly positioned to push the healthcare industry forward. As a decentralized database, blockchain provides a reliable solution to the problems of poor sharing, low effectiveness, and weak security in medical data management. Data can be recorded on the real-time shared blockchain platform, and timestamps are added to ensure the immutability of the data. The tamper resistance of the blockchain ensures the security of medical data. On the licensed blockchain, blockchain members can obtain data information through access operations.

The implementation of blockchain in the healthcare business can develop a unified system for secure and continuously updated health records that can be accessed and retrieved promptly by authorized users. The blockchain protects the privacy of medical data owners while also facilitating the quick and secure exchange of information between patients, doctors, and healthcare providers. Additionally, avoiding miscommunications amongst healthcare providers caring for the same patient can avoid countless errors and result in more rapid diagnosis and care that is personalized to each patient.

By the integration and implementation of a blockchain network as a complementary technology to the existing information systems, a reliable and effective information

management could be provided by a healthcare organization or the national healthcare system.

The results of this study will be beneficial to produce an appropriate model that can facilitate and expedite the successful adoption of Blockchain by Malaysian hospitals. The successful adoption of Blockchain will greatly benefit your hospital and public health in Malaysia. Therefore, I kindly ask for your assistance in this regard, and thank you and your hospital's respondent very much for spending time to complete the questionnaire.

**Confidentiality:** Detailed results of the survey will be confidential and findings will never be attributed to any individual.

If you have any questions concerning the survey questionnaire, please do not hesitate to contact us.

**Yours Sincerely,**

**Mahmood Abdullah Bazel**

Ph.D candidate,  
School of Computing,  
UUM College of Art and Sciences,  
Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM)  
Email: [mahmood\\_abdullah@ahsgs.uum.edu.my](mailto:mahmood_abdullah@ahsgs.uum.edu.my)

**Also on behalf,**

**Asso. Prof. Dr. Mazida Ahmad**  
School of Computing,  
UUM College of Art and Sciences,  
Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM)  
Email: [mazida@uum.edu.my](mailto:mazida@uum.edu.my)

**Dr. Fathey Mohammed**  
School of Computing,  
UUM College of Art and Sciences,  
Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM)  
Email: [fathey.mohammed@uum.edu.my](mailto:fathey.mohammed@uum.edu.my)

## SECTION ONE: SOCIO-DEMOGRAPHIC PROFILE

This section contains demographic questions for categorical purposes only. For each of the questions given below, *Please select the most appropriate option that best describes you.*

---

### Gender:

- Male       Female

### Age:

- 30 or less than 30 years old     31 – 35       36 - 40  
 41 – 45                               46 – 50       over 50

### What is your position in the hospital?

- Chief information officer                       Chief executives' officer  
 IT director     Chief medical information officer  
 Chief technology officer                       Senior clinicians  
 Hospital managers                               Head of Departement  
 Others. Please specify .....

### Years of experience with the current position:

- Less than 1 year       1 – 3 years  
 4 – 6 years               7 – 10 years               Above 10 years

### Years of experience in the healthcare industry:

- 5 or less than 5 years               6 – 10 years               11 – 15 years  
 16 – 20 years                               more than 20 years

### Type of your hospital:

- Public hospital (Owned by government)                       private hospital

### The number of beds in your hospital:

- Below than 200 beds               200 – 400 beds               More than 400 beds

**SECTION TWO:**

The following statements relate to your perception and judgement towards the factors that may impact the adoption decision of Blockchain-based Healthcare Information System (*blockchain-based HIS*).

*Please, indicate to what extent do you agree with the following statements?*

- (1) **STRONGLY DISAGREE** (2) **DISAGREE** (3) **NUTERAL**  
 (4) **AGREE** (5) **STORNGLY AGREE**

<b>Technology Trust</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>TT1</b>	Blockchain provides a secured environment for healthcare data					
<b>TT2</b>	Blockchain increases trust among related parties.					
<b>TT3</b>	Blockchain reduces the occurrence of disputes among related parties.					
<b>TT4</b>	Blockchain-based HIS will be reliable					
<b>TT5</b>	Blockchain is trustworthy.					
<b>Information Transparency</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>TRA1</b>	Blockchain enables us to have transparent access to information across the network					
<b>TRA2</b>	Blockchain enables us to have a transparent view of any activity in the data					
<b>TRA3</b>	Blockchain enables us to have a transparent flow of the entire data					
<b>TRA4</b>	Blockchain enables the transparency of data across various system participants					
<b>Perceived Disintermediation</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>DIS1</b>	Blockchain enables us to store data without the involvement of any intermediary					
<b>DIS2</b>	Blockchain enables us to access data without the involvement of any intermediary					
<b>DIS3</b>	Blockchain enables us to share data without the involvement of any intermediary.					
<b>DIS4</b>	Blockchain enables us to audit data without the involvement of any intermediary					
<b>Cost-Effectiveness</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>COEF1</b>	Blockchain can reduce our overhead expenses					
<b>COEF2</b>	Blockchain reduces data error rates					
<b>COEF3</b>	Blockchain can help to reduce the medical expenses					
<b>COEF4</b>	Our hospital can avoid unnecessary costs and time by adopting blockchain.					

<b>COEF5</b>	Blockchain saves costs related to time and effort.					
<b>COEF6</b>	Blockchain provides a good value for their costs					
<b>Technology-Fit</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>FIT1</b>	Blockchain-based HIS satisfy our hospital's needs related to healthcare data.					
<b>FIT2</b>	Hospital information system requirements closely align with blockchain services.					
<b>FIT3</b>	Blockchain is a good way to share and exchange information between healthcare organizations.					
<b>FIT4</b>	Blockchain fits well with our processes and work style.					
<b>FIT5</b>	It seems that Blockchain fits with our system requirements.					
<b>Organisational Readiness</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>OR1</b>	Our hospital has the needed resources to support blockchain adoption.					
<b>OR2</b>	Existing technologies in our hospital support Blockchain adoption.					
<b>OR3</b>	Information Technology staff within our hospital have the adequate skills and experience to support blockchain adoption.					
<b>OR4</b>	Our hospital has the financial resources to adopt blockchain.					
<b>Top Management Support</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>TMS1</b>	The top management is aware of the benefits that blockchain can provide to our hospital.					
<b>TMS2</b>	The top management can provide enough resources for blockchain implementation in our hospital					
<b>TMS3</b>	The top management encourages employees to increase their awareness of the advantages that blockchain can bring.					
<b>TMS4</b>	The top management is willing to take the possible risks involved in the adoption of blockchain technology					
<b>TMS5</b>	The top management enthusiastically supports the blockchain adoption					
<b>TMS6</b>	The top management looks at blockchain technology as strategically important					
<b>Corporate Social Responsibility</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>CSR1</b>	Blockchain can help our hospital to meet the required quality of care					
<b>CSR2</b>	Blockchain contributes to promoting the well-being of society and creating a better life					
<b>CSR3</b>	Blockchain will help our hospital target a sustainable growth					
<b>CSR4</b>	Blockchain can help our management to respond to all healthcare stakeholders' needs and wants					
<b>CSR5</b>	Using Blockchain would aid our hospital to increase customer (patients) satisfaction which is highly important for us					
<b>CSR6</b>	Our hospital can be creating shared value for all involved parties through blockchain technology					

<b>Competitive Pressure</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>COM1</b>	Our hospital believes that other hospitals in neighbour countries have recently begun to adopt Blockchain					
<b>COM2</b>	Other hospitals in neighbour countries that adopt blockchain are benefiting greatly					
<b>COM3</b>	We will have a sustainable competitive advantage if we deploy blockchain technology					
<b>COM4</b>	Our hospital thinks blockchain technology adoption influences competition in the industry.					
<b>COM5</b>	Competitive pressures force our hospital to look into Blockchain technology					
<b>Government</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>GOV1</b>	The government actively introduce the incentives for blockchain adoption					
<b>GOV2</b>	The government provides sufficient information infrastructure (laws, guidelines, or policies) for blockchain adoption					
<b>GOV3</b>	Government policies are in favour of the blockchain technology adoption by the healthcare industry					
<b>GOV4</b>	Regulations are sufficient to protect the use of blockchain technology					
<b>GOV5</b>	Our hospital is under pressure from the government to adopt blockchain technology					
<b>Technology Vendor Support</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>TVS1</b>	Incentives provided by the vendors to us for the adoption of blockchain are important					
<b>TVS2</b>	Adequacy of technical support provided by the vendors is important					
<b>TVS3</b>	The appropriate training for the use of blockchain technology provided by the vendors is important.					
<b>TVS4</b>	Having sufficient support from the blockchain provider would encourage us to use blockchain technology.					
<b>Partner Readiness</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>PR1</b>	Hospital adopts blockchain when its partners are also willing to adopt blockchain					
<b>PR2</b>	Hospital adopts blockchain when its partners are technologically ready to adopt blockchain					
<b>PR3</b>	Hospital adopts blockchain when its partners are financially ready to adopt blockchain					
<b>PR4</b>	Hospital adopts blockchain when its partners are ready to share their data over the blockchain network.					
<b>Viability</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>2</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>VIB1</b>	Our hospital's capabilities and current resources support blockchain					
<b>VIB2</b>	The hospital can efficiently satisfy HIS needs by adopting blockchain.					
<b>VIB3</b>	Blockchain is viable with our hospital's corporate culture and value system.					
<b>VIB4</b>	Blockchain-based HIS is viable to implement in our hospital.					

Intention to adopt Blockchain		1	2	3	4	5
INT1	Our hospital intends to adopt blockchain-based HIS					
INT2	Our hospital will take steps to adopt blockchain-based HIS in the near future.					
INT3	Our hospital plans to evaluate and adopt blockchain-based HIS.					
INT4	It is recommended to adopt blockchain-based HIS in the hospital					

**Thank you very much for your participation in this survey. Your time and opinions are deeply appreciated.**



## Appendix C

### Researchers' Profile in Content Validity Test

University	Areas Of Expertise	Years of experience
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia, Malaysia	Information Science and Technology	13 years
The British University in Dubai, UAE	Human-Computer Interaction, Technology Acceptance Educational, Technology, Management	10 years
University of Gloucestershire, UK	Information Systems, Technology Adoption	8 years
Uinversiti Utara Malaysia, Malaysia	Technology Management	13 years
Bandirma Onyedi Eylul University, Türkiye	Information Systems, Cybersecurity, Human-Computer Interaction	14 years



UUM  
Universiti Utara Malaysia

## Appendix D

### Permission Letter for Research



PUSAT PENGAJIAN PENGKOMPUTERAN  
SCHOOL OF COMPUTING  
Universiti Utara Malaysia  
06010 UUM SINTOK  
KEDAH DARUL AMAN  
MALAYSIA



Tel: 604 - 928 5056/5058/5060  
Faks (Fax): 604 - 928 5067  
Laman Web (Web): [www.soc.uum.edu.my](http://www.soc.uum.edu.my)

Our Ref. : UUM/CAS/SOC/PEL(905096)  
Date : 12 October 2022

#### TO WHOM IT MAY CONCERN

Dear Sir/ Madam,

As partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Ph.D thesis, our student from School of Computing is conducting a study to investigate the factors that may impact the adoption of blockchain technology in healthcare, particularly in hospitals. Therefore, he is in the process of collecting the data from top/mid-level managers at Malaysian hospitals through an online survey.

The information of the research is as follows:

- **Name of researcher:** Mahmood Abdullah Moqbel Bazel
- **Matric No.:** 905096
- **Supervisors:** Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mazida Ahmad and Dr. Fathey Mohammed Aboualrakeb Mohammed
- **Program:** Ph.D – Information Technology
- **Thesis title:** "Intention to Adopt Blockchain Technology-Based Hospital Information System in Malaysian Hospitals"
- **Target Population:** Public and Private hospitals in Malaysia (The list of public and private hospitals as a sampling frame is attached with this letter)

For this purpose, I would require a supporting and permission from your organization to conduct the study. It will help my student in collecting data from the hospitals.

Thank you so much for your time and positive support.

"WAWASAN KEMAKMURAN BERSAMA 2030"  
"SERVICE THE NATION"  
"KEDAH SEJAHTERA – NIKMAT UNTUK SEMUA"  
"KNOWLEDGE, VIRTUE, SERVICE"

Upholding the principles of trust and integrity,

PROF. TS. DR. AZHAM HUSSAIN  
Dean  
School of Computing  
UUM College of Arts and Sciences

Universiti Pengurusan Terkemuka  
The Eminent Management University



## Appendix E

### Sampling Frame (List of Hospitals)

- LIST OF PUBLIC HOSPITALS

No	Name of Hospital	City	State
1.	Hospital Enche' Besar Hajjah Kalsom, Kluang	Kluang	Johor
2.	Hospital Kota Tinggi	Kota Tinggi	Johor
3.	Hospital Mersing	Mersing	Johor
4.	Hospital Pakar Sultanah Fatimah	Muar	Johor
5.	Hospital Permai	Johor Bahru	Johor
6.	Hospital Pontian	Pontian	Johor
7.	Hospital Segamat	Segamat	Johor
8.	Hospital Sultan Ismail	Johor Bahru	Johor
9.	Hospital Sultanah Aminah	Johor Bahru	Johor
10.	Hospital Sultanah Nora Ismail	Batu Pahat	Johor
11.	Hospital Tangkak	Tangkak	Johor
12.	Hospital Temenggong Seri Maharaja Tun Ibrahim	Kulaijaya	Johor
13.	Hospital Baling	Baling	Kedah
14.	Hospital Jitra	Jitra	Kedah
15.	Hospital Kuala Nerang	Kuala Nerang	Kedah
16.	Hospital Kulim	Kulim	Kedah
17.	Hospital Langkawi	Langkawi	Kedah
18.	Hospital Sik	Sik	Kedah
19.	Hospital Sultan Abdul Halim	Sungai Petani	Kedah
20.	Hospital Sultanah Bahiyah	Alor Setar	Kedah
21.	Hospital Yan	Yan	Kedah
22.	Hospital Gua Musang	Gua Musang	Kelantan
23.	Hospital Jeli	Jeli	Kelantan
24.	Hospital Machang	Machang	Kelantan
25.	Hospital Pasir Mas	Pasir Mas	Kelantan
26.	Hospital Raja Perempuan Zainab II	Kota Bharu	Kelantan
27.	Hospital Sultan Ismail Petra	Kuala Krai	Kelantan
28.	Hospital Tanah Merah	Tanah Merah	Kelantan
29.	Hospital Tengku Anis	Pasir Puteh	Kelantan
30.	Hospital Tumpat	Tumpat	Kelantan
31.	Hospital Alor Gajah	Alor Gajah	Melaka
32.	Hospital Jasin	Jasin	Melaka
33.	Hospital Melaka	Melaka	Melaka
34.	Hospital Jelevu	Jelevu	Negeri Sembilan
35.	Hospital Jempol	Bandar Seri Jempol	Negeri Sembilan
36.	Hospital Port Dickson	Port Dickson	Negeri Sembilan
37.	Hospital Tampin	Tampin	Negeri Sembilan

No	Name of Hospital	City	State
38.	Hospital Tuanku Ampuan Najihah	Kuala Pilah	Negeri Sembilan
39.	Hospital Tuanku Ja'afar Seremban	Seremban	Negeri Sembilan
40.	Hospital Bentong	Bentong	Pahang
41.	Hospital Jengka	Maran	Pahang
42.	Hospital Jerantut	Jerantut	Pahang
43.	Hospital Kuala Lipis	Kuala Lipis	Pahang
44.	Hospital Muadzam Shah	Rompin	Pahang
45.	Hospital Pekan	Pekan	Pahang
46.	Hospital Raub	Raub	Pahang
47.	Hospital Rompin	Rompin	Pahang
48.	Hospital Sultan Hj Ahmad Shah	Temerloh	Pahang
49.	Hospital Sultanah Hajjah Kalsom	Cameron Highlands	Pahang
50.	Hospital Tengku Ampuan Afzan	Kuantan	Pahang
51.	Hospital Bahagia Ulu Kinta	Ulu Kinta	Perak
52.	Hospital Batu Gajah	Batu Gajah	Perak
53.	Hospital Changkat Melintang	Bota	Perak
54.	Hospital Gerik	Grik	Perak
55.	Hospital Kampar	Kampar	Perak
56.	Hospital Kuala Kangsar	Kuala Kangsar	Perak
57.	Hospital Parit Buntar	Parit Buntar	Perak
58.	Hospital Raja Permaisuri Bainun	Ipoh	Perak
59.	Hospital Selama	Selama	Perak
60.	Hospital Seri Manjung	Seri Manjung	Perak
61.	Hospital Slim River	Slim River	Perak
62.	Hospital Sungai Siput	Sungai Siput	Perak
63.	Hospital Taiping	Taiping	Perak
64.	Hospital Tapah	Tapah	Perak
65.	Hospital Teluk Intan	Teluk Intan	Perak
66.	Hospital Tuanku Fauziah	Kangar	Perlis
67.	Hospital Balik Pulau	Balik Pulau	Pulau Pinang
68.	Hospital Bukit Mertajam	Bukit Mertajam	Pulau Pinang
69.	Hospital Kepala Batas	Kepala Batas	Pulau Pinang
70.	Hospital Pulau Pinang	Pulau Pinang	Pulau Pinang
71.	Hospital Seberang Jaya	Perai	Pulau Pinang
72.	Hospital Sungai Bakap	Sungai Jawi	Pulau Pinang
73.	Hospital Beaufort	Beaufort	Sabah
74.	Hospital Beluran	Beluran	Sabah
75.	Hospital Duchess Of Kent	Sandakan	Sabah
76.	Hospital Keningau	Keningau	Sabah
77.	Hospital Kinabatangan	Kinabatangan	Sabah
78.	Hospital Kota Belud	Kota Belud	Sabah
79.	Hospital Kota Marudu	Kota Marudu	Sabah

<b>No</b>	<b>Name of Hospital</b>	<b>City</b>	<b>State</b>
80.	Hospital Kuala Penyu	Kuala Penyu	Sabah
81.	Hospital Kudat	Kudat	Sabah
82.	Hospital Kunak	Kunak	Sabah
83.	Hospital Lahad Datu	Lahad Datu	Sabah
84.	Hospital Mesra Bukit Padang	Kota Kinabalu	Sabah
85.	Hospital Papar	Papar	Sabah
86.	Hospital Pitas	Pitas	Sabah
87.	Hospital Queen Elizabeth	Kota Kinabalu	Sabah
88.	Hospital Queen Elizabeth II	Kota Kinabalu	Sabah
89.	Hospital Ranau	Ranau	Sabah
90.	Hospital Semporna	Semporna	Sabah
91.	Hospital Sipitang	Sipitang	Sabah
92.	Hospital Tambunan	Tambunan	Sabah
93.	Hospital Tawau	Tawau	Sabah
94.	Hospital Tenom	Tenom	Sabah
95.	Hospital Tuaran	Tuaran	Sabah
96.	Hospital Wanita dan Kanak-Kanak Sabah	Kota Kinabalu	Sabah
97.	Hospital Bau	Bau	Sarawak
98.	Hospital Betong	Betong	Sarawak
99.	Hospital Bintulu	Bintulu	Sarawak
100.	Hospital Dalat	Dalat	Sarawak
101.	Hospital Daro	Daro	Sarawak
102.	Hospital Kanowit	Kanowit	Sarawak
103.	Hospital Kapit	Kapit	Sarawak
104.	Hospital Lawas	Lawas	Sarawak
105.	Hospital Limbang	Limbang	Sarawak
106.	Hospital Lundu	Lundu	Sarawak
107.	Hospital Marudi	Marudi	Sarawak
108.	Hospital Miri	Miri	Sarawak
109.	Hospital Mukah	Mukah	Sarawak
110.	Hospital Rajah Charles Brooke Memorial	Kuching	Sarawak
111.	Hospital Saratok	Saratok	Sarawak
112.	Hospital Sarikei	Sarikei	Sarawak
113.	Hospital Sentosa	Kuching	Sarawak
114.	Hospital Serian	Serian	Sarawak
115.	Hospital Sibu	Sibu	Sarawak
116.	Hospital Simunjan	Simunjan	Sarawak
117.	Hospital Sri Aman	Sri Aman	Sarawak
118.	Hospital Umum Kuching	Kuching	Sarawak
119.	Pusat Jantung Sarawak	Samarahan	Sarawak
120.	Hospital Ampang	Ampang	Selangor

No	Name of Hospital	City	State
121.	Hospital Banting	Banting	Selangor
122.	Hospital Kajang	Kajang	Selangor
123.	Hospital Kuala Kubu Bharu	Kuala Kubu Bharu	Selangor
124.	Hospital Orang Asli	Gombak	Selangor
125.	Hospital Selayang	Batu Caves	Selangor
126.	Hospital Serdang	Kajang	Selangor
127.	Hospital Shah Alam	Shah Alam	Selangor
128.	Hospital Sungai Buloh	Sungai Buluh	Selangor
129.	Hospital Tanjung Karang	Tanjung Karang	Selangor
130.	Hospital Tengku Ampuan Jemaah	Sabak Bernam	Selangor
131.	Hospital Tengku Ampuan Rahimah	Klang	Selangor
132.	Pusat Kawalan Kusta Negara	Sungai Buluh	Selangor
133.	Hospital Besut	Besut	Terengganu
134.	Hospital Dungun	Dungun	Terengganu
135.	Hospital Hulu Terengganu	Kuala Berang	Terengganu
136.	Hospital Kemaman	Kemaman	Terengganu
137.	Hospital Setiu	Setiu	Terengganu
138.	Hospital Sultanah Nur Zahirah	Kuala Terengganu	Terengganu
139.	Hospital Kuala Lumpur	Kuala Lumpur	WP Kuala Lumpur
140.	Hospital Rehabilitasi Cheras	Kuala Lumpur	WP Kuala Lumpur
141.	Hospital Tunku Azizah (Wanita Dan Kanak-Kanak)	Kuala Lumpur	WP Kuala Lumpur
142.	Institut Perubatan Respiratori	Kuala Lumpur	WP Kuala Lumpur
143.	Hospital Labuan	Labuan	WP Labuan
144.	Hospital Putrajaya	Putrajaya	WP Putrajaya
145.	Institut Kanser Negara	Putrajaya	WP Putrajaya

Source:

Ministry of Health Malaysia (MOH): <https://www.moh.gov.my/> Accessed on 03-08-2022

#### - LIST OF PRIVATE HOSPITALS

NO	NAME OF HOSPITAL	CITY	STATE
1.	Century Medical Centre	Johor Bahru	Johor
2.	Century Specialist Maternity	Johor Bahru	Johor
3.	Columbia Asia Hospital, Nusajaya	Nusajaya	Johor
4.	Hospital Penawar	Pasir Gudang	Johor
5.	Kempas Medical Centre	Johor Bahru	Johor
6.	C.S. Koh and Maternity Home	Skudai	Johor
7.	Klinik Rakyat & Hospital Bersalin	Batu Pahat	Johor

<b>NO</b>	<b>NAME OF HOSPITAL</b>	<b>CITY</b>	<b>STATE</b>
8.	KPJ Johor Specialist Hospital	Johor Bahru	Johor
9.	Medical Specialist Centre	Johor Bahru	Johor
10.	Pantai Hospital Batu Pahat	Batu Pahat	Johor
11.	Pelangi Medical Centre	Johor Bahru	Johor
12.	Kluang Utama Specialist Hospital	Kluang	Johor
13.	Pusat Pakar Perbidanan & Sakitpuan Raja	Muar	Johor
14.	KPJ Puteri Specialist Hospital	Johor Bahru	Johor
15.	Putra Specialist Hospital Batu Pahat	Batu Pahat	Johor
16.	Regency Specialist Hospital	Masai	Johor
17.	T.K. Tan O&G Specialist Clinic	Johor Bahru	Johor
18.	Tey Maternity Specialist & Gynae Centre	Muar	Johor
19.	KPJ Batu Pahat Specialist Hospital	Batu Pahat	Johor
20.	Gleneagles Medini	Iskandar Puteri	Johor
21.	KPJ Bandar Dato' Onn Specialist Hospital	Johor Bahru	Johor
22.	KPJ Bandar Maharani Specialist Hospital	Muar	Johor
23.	KPJ Pasir Gudang Specialist Hospital	Pasir Gudang	Johor
24.	Kedah Medical Centre	Alor Setar	Kedah
25.	Metro Specialist Hospital	Sungai Petani	Kedah
26.	Pantai Hospital Sungai Petani	Sungai Petani	Kedah
27.	Putra Medical Centre	Alor Setar	Kedah
28.	INS Specialist Centre Sdn Bhd	Alor Setar	Kedah
29.	Mahsuri Medical Centre	Jitra	Kedah
30.	Pusat Perubatan An-Nisa'	Kota Bharu	Kelantan
31.	Kota Bharu Medical Centre	Kota Bharu	Kelantan
32.	KPJ Perdana Specialist Hospital	Kota Bharu	Kelantan
33.	AL-iSLAM Specialist Hospital	Kampung Baru	WP Kuala Lumpur
34.	Cardiac Vascular Sentral Kuala Lumpur	KL Sentral	WP Kuala Lumpur
35.	Cengild G.I. Medical Centre	Bangsar	WP Kuala Lumpur
36.	COOP Medical Centre	Jalan Medan Tuanku	WP Kuala Lumpur
37.	Columbia Asia Hospital - Setapak	Jalan Danau Saujana	WP Kuala Lumpur
38.	Damai Service Hospital (HQ)	Jalan Ipoh	WP Kuala Lumpur
39.	Damai Service Hospital (Melawati)	Taman Melawati	WP Kuala Lumpur
40.	Gleneagles Kuala Lumpur	Jalan Ampang	WP Kuala Lumpur
41.	Global Doctors Specialist Centre (Hospital)	Mont Kiara	WP Kuala Lumpur
42.	Hospital PUSRAWI	Jalan Tun Razak	WP Kuala Lumpur

<b>NO</b>	<b>NAME OF HOSPITAL</b>	<b>CITY</b>	<b>STATE</b>
43.	HSC Medical Centre	Jalan Ampang	WP Kuala Lumpur
44.	iHEAL Medical Centre	Lingkarang Syed Putra	WP Kuala Lumpur
45.	Imran ENT Specialist Hospital	Brickfields	WP Kuala Lumpur
46.	Institut Jantung Negara (IJN) - National Heart Institute	Jalan Tun Razak	WP Kuala Lumpur
47.	KPJ Tawakkal Specialist Hospital	Jalan Pahang Barat	WP Kuala Lumpur
48.	KPJ Sentosa KL Specialist Hospital	Jalan Cemur	WP Kuala Lumpur
49.	Lourdes Medical Centre	Jalan Ipoh	WP Kuala Lumpur
50.	Pantai Hospital Ampang	Ampang	WP Kuala Lumpur
51.	Pantai Hospital Cheras	Cheras	WP Kuala Lumpur
52.	Pantai Hospital Kuala Lumpur	Bangsar	WP Kuala Lumpur
53.	Prince Court Medical Centre	Jalan Kia Peng	WP Kuala Lumpur
54.	Quill Orthopaedic Specialist Centre	TTDI	WP Kuala Lumpur
55.	Roopi Medical Centre	Jalan Dato Haji Eusoff	WP Kuala Lumpur
56.	Sentul Medical Centre	Jalan Sentul	WP Kuala Lumpur
57.	Park City Medical Centre	Desa ParkCity	WP Kuala Lumpur
58.	Taman Desa Medical Centre	Taman Desa	WP Kuala Lumpur
59.	Tung Shin Hospital	Jalan Pudu	WP Kuala Lumpur
60.	Twin Towers Medical Centre	KLCC	WP Kuala Lumpur
61.	UKM Specialist Centre (UKMSC)	Cheras	WP Kuala Lumpur
62.	UM Specialist Centre (UMSC)	Lembah Pantai	WP Kuala Lumpur
63.	Mahkota Medical Centre	Jalan Merdeka	Melaka
64.	Pantai Hospital Ayer Keroh	Lebuh Ayer Keroh	Melaka
65.	Putra Specialist Hospital (Melaka)	Jalan Bendahara	Melaka
66.	Columbia Asia Hospital - Seremban	Seremban	Negeri Sembilan
67.	KPJ Seremban Specialist Hospital	Seremban	Negeri Sembilan

<b>NO</b>	<b>NAME OF HOSPITAL</b>	<b>CITY</b>	<b>STATE</b>
68.	Mawar Renal Medical Centre	Seremban	Negeri Sembilan
69.	Nilai Medical Centre	Nilai	Negeri Sembilan
70.	N.S. Chinese Maternity Hospital	Seremban	Negeri Sembilan
71.	Senawang Specialist Hospital	Senawang	Negeri Sembilan
72.	Darul Makmur Medical Centre	Kuantan	Pahang
73.	Kuantan Medical Centre	Kuantan	Pahang
74.	Kuantan Specialist Hospital	Kuantan	Pahang
75.	Apollo Medical Centre	Taiping	Perak
76.	Columbia Asia Hospital -Taiping	Taiping	Perak
77.	Hospital Fatimah	Ipoh	Perak
78.	Kinta Medical Centre	Ipoh	Perak
79.	KPJ Ipoh Specialist Hospital	Ipoh	Perak
80.	Pantai Hospital Ipoh	Ipoh	Perak
81.	Perak Community Specialist Hospital	Ipoh	Perak
82.	Pusat Pakar Rajindar Singh	Teluk Intan	Perak
83.	Taiping Medical Centre	Taiping	Perak
84.	UniKL Rcmp Medical Centre	Ipoh	Perak
85.	Bagan Specialist Centre	Butterworth	Pulau Pinang
86.	Gleneagles Penang	Jalan Pangkor	Pulau Pinang
87.	Hope Children Hospital (Jalan Gottlieb)	Jalan Gottlieb	Pulau Pinang
88.	Hope Children Hospital (Sungai Ara)	Bayan Lepas	Pulau Pinang
89.	Hospital Lam Wah Ee	Jalan Tan Sri Teh Ewe	Pulau Pinang
90.	Island Hospital	Macalister Road	Pulau Pinang
91.	KPJ Penang Specialist Hospital	Bukit Mertajam	Pulau Pinang
92.	Loh Guan Lye Specialists Centre	Macalister Road	Pulau Pinang
93.	Mount Miriam Cancer Hospital	Tanjong Bungah	Pulau Pinang
94.	Pantai Hospital Penang	Bayan Lepas	Pulau Pinang
95.	Peace Medical Centre	Georgetown	Pulau Pinang
96.	Penang Adventist Hospital	Jalan Burma	Pulau Pinang
97.	Tanjung Medical Centre	Burmah Road	Pulau Pinang
98.	Georgetown Specialist Hospital	George Town	Pulau Pinang
99.	Optimax Eye Specialist Hospital (Penang)	George Town	Pulau Pinang
100.	KPJ Damai Specialist Hospital	Kota Kinabalu	Sabah
101.	Rafflesia Medical Centre	Kota Kinabalu	Sabah

<b>NO</b>	<b>NAME OF HOSPITAL</b>	<b>CITY</b>	<b>STATE</b>
102.	Jesselton Medical Centre	Kota Kinabalu	Sabah
103.	Gleneagles Kota Kinabalu	Kota Kinabalu	Sabah
104.	KPJ Sabah Specialist Hospital	Kota Kinabalu	Sabah
105.	Bintulu Medical Centre	Bintulu	Sarawak
106.	Columbia Asia Hospital - Bintulu	Bintulu	Sarawak
107.	Columbia Asia Hospital - Miri	Miri	Sarawak
108.	Kuching Specialist Hospital	Kuching	Sarawak
109.	Miri City Medical Centre	Miri	Sarawak
110.	Normah Medical Specialist Centre	Kuching	Sarawak
111.	Rejang Medical Centre	Sibu	Sarawak
112.	KPJ Sibu Specialist Medical Centre	Sibu	Sarawak
113.	Timberland Medical Centre	Kuching	Sarawak
114.	Borneo Medical Centre	Kuching	Sarawak
115.	Alpha Fertility Centre	Petaling Jaya	Selangor
116.	Alpha Specialist Centre	Petaling Jaya	Selangor
117.	An-Nur Specialist Hospital	Bangi	Selangor
118.	Arunamari Specialist Medical Centre	Klang	Selangor
119.	Assunta Hospital	Petaling Jaya	Selangor
120.	Az-Zahrah Islamic Medical Centre	Bangi	Selangor
121.	Beacon Hospital	Petaling Jaya	Selangor
122.	Centre For Sight (PJ)	Petaling Jaya	Selangor
123.	KPJ Centre For Sight (Rawang)	Rawang	Selangor
124.	Columbia Asia Extended Care Hospital	Shah Alam	Selangor
125.	Columbia Asia Hospital - Bukit Rimau	Shah Alam	Selangor
126.	Columbia Asia Hospital -Cheras	Cheras	Selangor
127.	Columbia Asia Hospital -Puchong	Puchong	Selangor
128.	AVISENA Specialist Hospital	Shah Alam	Selangor
129.	Putra Specialist Hospital Kajang	Kajang	Selangor
130.	JMC Specialist Medical Centre	Klang	Selangor
131.	Kelana Jaya Medical Centre	Petaling Jaya	Selangor
132.	KPJ Ampang Puteri Specialist Hospital	Ampang	Selangor
133.	KPJ Damansara Specialist Hospital	Petaling Jaya	Selangor
134.	KPJ Kajang Specialist Hospital	Kajang	Selangor
135.	KPJ Klang Specialist Hospital	Klang	Selangor
136.	KPJ Selangor Specialist Hospital	Shah Alam	Selangor
137.	Metro Medical Centre	Klang	Selangor
138.	Pantai Hospital Klang	Klang	Selangor
139.	Sentosa Specialist Hospital	Klang	Selangor
140.	Putra Medical Centre	Sungai Buloh	Selangor
141.	QHC Medical Center	Subang Jaya	Selangor
142.	SALAM Shah Alam Specialist Hospital	Shah Alam	Selangor
143.	Sime Darby Medical Centre Subang Jaya	Subang Jaya	Selangor

<b>NO</b>	<b>NAME OF HOSPITAL</b>	<b>CITY</b>	<b>STATE</b>
144.	Ara Damansara Medical Centre	Shah Alam	Selangor
145.	Sri Kota Specialist Medical Centre (SKSMC)	Klang	Selangor
146.	Sunway Medical Centre	Petaling Jaya	Selangor
147.	Thomson Hospital Kota Damansara	Petaling Jaya	Selangor
148.	KPMC Puchong Specialist Center	Puchong	Selangor
149.	SALAM Specialist Hospital Kuala Terengganu	Kuala Terengganu	Terengganu
150.	Kuala Terengganu Specialist Hospital	Kuala Terengganu	Terengganu

Source:

The Association of Private Hospitals Malaysia (APHM): <https://hospitals-malaysia.org/member-hospitals/> Accessed on 03-08-2022

