

METRICS EDUCATIONAL PACKAGE APPRAISAL/UPDATE

**A thesis submitted to the Graduate School in partial
fulfillment of the requirements for the degree
Master of Science (Information Technology),
Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**By
Ong Yak Kuang**



**Sekolah Siswazah
(Graduate School)
Universiti Utara Malaysia**

**PERAKUAN KERJA KERTAS PROJEK
(Certification of Project Paper)**

Saya, yang bertandatangan, memperakukan bahawa
(I, the undersigned, certify that)

Ong Yak Kuang

calon untuk Ijazah
(candidate for the degree of) Sarjana Sains (Teknologi Maklumat)

telah mengemukakan kertas projek yang bertajuk
(has presented his/her project paper of the following title)

Metrics Educational Package Appraisal/Update

seperti yang tercatat di muka surat tajuk dan kulit kertas projek
(as it appears on the title page and front cover of project paper)

bahawa kertas projek tersebut boleh diterima dari segi bentuk serta kandungan,
dan meliputi bidang ilmu dengan memuaskan.
(that the project paper acceptable in form and content, and that a satisfactory
knowledge of the field is covered by the project paper).

Nama Penyelia
(Name of Supervisor) : En. Azham Bin Hussain

Tandatangan
(Signature)

Tarikh
(Date) : 24 Oktober 2001

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirement for a post graduate degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the University Library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor(s) or, in their absence, by the Dean of the Graduate school. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without any written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part, should be addressed to:

**Dean of Graduate School
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman**

ABSTRACT (BAHASA MALAYSIA)

Pada kebelakangan ini, terdapat banyak pengajaran berindividu dan teknologi komputer, telah digunakan untuk memudahkan pembelajaran pada semua peringkat pendidikan dan latihan. Didapati bahawa aplikasi Pembelajaran Berbantuan Komputer (PBK) telah menjadi salah satu kaedah yang menyokong aktiviti pembelajaran ini.

Memandangkan perkembangan ini, penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk menilai Pakej Pendidikan METKIT (Metrics Educational ToolKit) dalam bidang pengukuran kejuruteraan perisian, dan kemukakan cadangan untuk keperluan-keperluan pengemaskinian, dan seterusnya menyusun langkah untuk menjadikannya sebagai satu bahan pengajaran dalam pendidikan jarak jauh.

Penyelidikan ini telah menunjukkan bahawa kepincangan dalam pengukuran perisian menjadi punca utama kegagalan banyak projek perisian. Penyelidikan ini telah menidentifikasikan bahawa kekurangan ketepatan dalam objektif pengukuran perisian menyebabkan kegagalan dalam kebanyakan projek perisian. Dengan kesedaran inilah, projek ini mencadangkan supaya pengukuran perisian perlu digalakkan dalam kursus melalui penggunaan pengajaran berindividu dan teknologi komputer untuk memudahkan proses pembelajaran dan pengajaran. Pakej Pembelajaran Berbantuan Komputer (PBK) telah dikenalpasti sebagai satu bentuk media yang dapat membantu untuk mencapai proses pembelajaran yang berkesan.

Pembangunan perisian PBK telah dirancang dengan rapi dan teliti untuk memastikan ia akan menjadikan satu alat pengajaran berindividu yang berkesan. Untuk tujuan ini, teori pembelajaran pendidikan telah dirujuk dan ciri-ciri rekabentuk yang baik telah dimasukkan ke dalam pakej perisian PBK itu. Pakej PBK itu kemudiannya dijalankan dengan sampel yang terpilih secara rawak daripada sesuatu populasi yang tertentu. Hasil daripada penyelidikan ini menunjukkan bahawa sesuatu pakej PBK yang efektif boleh meningkatkan proses pengajaran dan pembelajaran yang berkesan.

Sesuatu pakej PBK yang direkabentukkan perlu mengambil kira unsur-unsur teori pembelajaran dan memasukkan ciri-ciri rekabentuk yang baik dalam segi ruang, visual, audio, warna, grafik, dan animasi, untuk menjadikannya sebagai alat pengajaran berindividu yang berjaya.

Kajian ini juga menunjukkan keberkesanan dari segi kos dan masa dalam sistem pendidikan dan latihan dapat dicapai dengan aplikasi pakej PBK yang mempunyai bahan pengajaran yang berkualiti. Dengan teknologi maklumat ini, matlamat jangka panjang para pendidik dan tenaga pengajar dapat dicapai dengan mengagihkan bahan pengajaran berindividu seperti pakej PBK ini, kepada pelajar-pelajar, dan bukannya lagi mengagihkan pelajar-pelajar kepada bahan pengajaran. Tambahan pula, kemajuan teknologi menunjukkan kos perpindahan maklumat-maklumat secara elektronik dijangka jauh lebih rendah berbanding dengan penghantaran maklumat-maklumat secara cetakan kertas. Selain daripada itu, kos perkakasan per unit ingatan secara relatifnya,

telahpun dikurangkan. Kesemua faktor ini akan menggalakkan penggunaan PBK di dalam bidang pendidikan dan latihan.

Dalam konteks ini, pakej pendidikan METKIT telah memainkan peranan yang penting dalam usahanya untuk mempromosikan penggunaan pengukuran kejuruteraan perisian di kalangan komuniti Eropah. Sama ada pakej pendidikan METKIT ini berjaya atau tidak untuk mencapai matlamatnya, ini akan menjadi satu soal tanya. Akan tetapi kajian ini telah menunjukkan bahawa integrasi pakej pendidikan METKIT kepada pakej PBK dapat menjayakannya sebagai alat pengajaran berindividu yang berkesan dalam penggunaan kursus.

ABSTRACT

In recent years there has been increased development on individualized instruction and computer technology to facilitate learning at all levels of education and training. The application of CAL is one of the methods that support this activity.

In view of this development, the research aims to evaluate the METKIT Educational Package on software engineering measurements, and make recommendations for update requirements, and propose suggestions for it to be use in course for distance learning. A CAL educational package has been developed for that purpose.

The research has identified software measurements as a contributive factor towards the success or failure of a software project. The research has shown that the lack of objectivity in software measurements had resulted in project failures and over-runs. It is with this awareness that the software measurements should be promoted in course through the usage of individualized instruction and computer technology to facilitate learning. The CAL package has been recognized as one form of media for effective learning.

The development of CAL courseware has been carefully planned out. Emphasis has been placed on the application of educational learning theory, and the inclusion of good designing features. The CAL package is then evaluated by conducting a test on a sample of the selected population.

The finding from the research has shown that an effective CAL package can promote learning process efficiently. An effective CAL educational package should developed with elements of learning theory in mind, and incorporated with good designing features to make it a successful tool as an individualized instruction package for use in course.

The research has shown that the cost effectiveness of education and training systems can be achieved by application of CAL using high quality instructional material and computers. With this technology, a long-term goal of educators and trainers can be realized by distributing instruction to students rather than by distributing students to instruction. Furthermore, technological advances indicate that electronic transfer of information is expected to be cheaper than paper transmission of information. In addition, the hardware cost per unit memory has been relatively reduced. All of these factors promote a technological change to the use of CAL in educational and training field.

In this respect, the METKIT educational package has played an important role in its effort to promote the use of software engineering measurements within the European Community. Whether the METKIT has successfully fulfill its mission is still questionable. But, one of the effective ways to make METKIT a successful instructional medium is to incorporate it into an effective CAL package for use in course.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This project has been made possible through contribution from many people. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to the following persons:-

- Mr. Azham Bin Hussain, my project supervisor, for his valuable and helpful advice, understanding, and support throughout the project
- Mr. Mohd Syazwan @ Pathmanathan Bin Abdullah, from the School of Information Technology, Northern University of Malaysia, for his kindness to read through my project research and patiently correcting the spelling and grammatical mistakes that I had made.
- Mr. Woon Moong Vooi, from the School of Economic, Northern University of Malaysia, for his guidance, constructive comments and especially for his recommendation to develop a CAL package.
- My fellow coursemates, who have voluntarily tested my CAL program for the formative and summative evaluation.
- Mr. Ong Aik Jit, my youngest brother who has so helpfully printed out my project papers.
- Last but not least, my beloved wife, Tan Jin Li, for her understanding and supports, my children Jeffrey, Jason and Jared, who have been a constant inspiration for me to work harder.

Thanks to you all.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PERMISSION TO USE	I
ABSTRACT (BAHASA MALAYSIA)	II
ABSTRACT (ENGLISH)	V
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	VII
TABLE OF CONTENTS	VIII
LIST OF TABLES	XV
LIST OF FIGURES	XVI
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem	2
1.3 Statement of the Objectives	3
1.4 Significance of the Study	4
1.5 Scope of the Study	4
1.6 Limitations of the Study	4
1.7 Project Layout	6
1.8 Project Organization	7
1.8.1 Software Measurement	7
1.8.2 METKIT- The European Dimension Towards Software Crisis	7
1.8.3 Tool Supports in METKIT	7
1.8.4 Integration of METKIT Educational Package into CAL Package	8
1.8.5 Distance Learning	8
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	9
2.1 Software Measurement	9

2.1.1 Introduction	9
2.1.2 The Problem of Software Evaluation	10
2.1.2.1 Why bother to measure?	10
2.1.2.2 Software Crisis: What went wrong?	12
2.1.3 Toward a Scientific Basis for Software Evaluation	14
2.1.3.1 Invariant Principle	14
2.1.3.2 Successive Refinement	15
2.1.3.3 Model Validations	15
2.1.3.4 Introspection	15
2.1.4 Metrics and Quality Management	15
2.1.4.1 Why is measurement important?	15
2.2 METKIT – The European Dimension Towards Software Crisis	16
2.2.1 Introduction	16
2.2.2 Rationale and Goals	17
2.2.3 Target Audiences	18
2.2.4 Academic and Industrial Educational Modules	18
2.2.5 Overview of METKIT Academic Modules	19
2.2.5.1 Module AM 0: Introduction to Software Engineering Measurement	21
2.2.5.2 Module AM 2: What is Measurement?	23
2.2.5.3 Module AM 3: Principles of Software Engineering Measurement	24
2.2.5.4 Module AM 4: Software Engineering Measurement in Industry	25
2.2.5.5 Module AM 2.4: Experimental Design for Software Engineering	26
2.2.5.6 Module AM 3.2: Software Engineering Models and Measures	27

2.2.5.7 Module AM 3.4: The Project on Diverse Software – A Software Measurement Case Study	28
2.2.5.8 Module AM 4.3: Tool Sampler	29
2.3 Tool Supports in METKIT	30
2.3.1 Introduction	30
2.3.2 METKIT Computer Assisted Instruction (CAI)	30
2.3.2.1 Free Browsing Mode	33
2.3.2.2 Guided Learning Mode (Tutorial)	35
2.3.2.3 Suggestions For Using The METKIT CAI System	35
2.3.3 Glossary of Terms	37
2.3.3.1 Suggestions For Using METKIT Glossary of Terms	38
2.3.4 Software Engineering Measurement Tools	39
2.3.4.1 Tool Sampler	39
2.3.4.2 What is "Before You Leap"?	40
2.3.4.3 Suggestions For Using The "Before You Leap"	41
2.3.4.4 What is "Logiscope"?	41
2.3.4.5 Suggestios For Using The "Logiscope"?	42
2.3.4.6 What is "Qualms"?	42
2.3.4.7 Suggestions For Using The "Qualms"	43
2.3.5 Bibliography Database	43
2.3.5.1 Suggestions For Using The Bibliography Database	43
2.3.6 Reference Books	44
2.3.6.1 Suggestions For Using Norman Fenton's Book	44
2.3.7 Database of Measurement Tools	44
2.3.7.1 Suggestions For Using Database of Measurement Tools	44

2.4 Computer-Assisted Learning (CAL) Package	45
2.4.1 The Need for CAL	45
2.4.2 What is Computer-Assisted Learning (CAL)?	45
2.4.3 Why use CAL?	47
2.4.4 Paradigms of CAL Learning	50
2.4.4.1 The Instructional Paradigm	50
2.4.4.2 The Revelatory Paradigm	50
2.4.4.3 The Conjectural Paradigm	51
2.4.4.4 The Emancipatory Paradigm	51
2.4.5 Computer-Assisted Learning Technique	51
2.4.5.1 Drill and Practise	51
2.4.5.2 Tutorial	52
2.4.5.3 Problem Solving	52
2.4.5.4 Simulation	52
CHAPTER THREE: METHODOLOGY	54
3.1 Introduction	54
3.2 Evaluation of METKIT Educational Package	54
3.2.1 Evaluation Against Learning Theory	54
3.2.1.1 Gain Attention/Provide Motivation	56
3.2.1.2 Present the Objective	57
3.2.1.3 Recall Prerequisites	58
3.2.1.4 Present Stimulus	58
3.2.1.5 Guide Learning	58
3.2.1.6 Elicit Performance	58
3.2.1.7 Provide Feedback	59

3.2.1.8 Assess Performance	60
3.2.1.9 Promote Retention and Transfer	60
3.3 Integration of METKIT Educational Package into CAL Package	61
3.3.1 Introduction	61
3.3.2 Developing a METKIT CAL Package	62
3.3.2.1 The Goals	62
3.3.2.2 The Development of the METKIT CAL Package	63
3.3.2.3 Designing Technique	65
3.3.2.3.1 Characteristics of Good Tutorial CAL	65
3.3.2.3.2 Design Features of the METKIT CAL	67
CHAPTER FOUR: RESULT	77
4.1 Trials	77
4.1.1 Formative Evaluation	77
4.1.2 Conducting a Formative Evaluation	77
4.1.3 Analysis of the Finding (Formative Evaluation)	78
4.1.4 Modifications	80
4.1.5 Publication	80
4.2 Summative Evaluation and Follow-up	80
4.2.1 Analysis of Finding (Summative Evaluation)	81
4.3 Conclusion	87
CHAPTER FIVE: DISTANCE LEARNING	88
5.1 Introduction	88
5.2 The Definition of Distance Learning	88
5.3 The Method of Distance Learning	89
5.4 The Role of Learning Package	89

5.5 CAL Package and Distance Learning	90
5.6 How To Use the METKIT CAL Effectively in Distance Learning	92
5.6.1 Identify Your Learners	92
5.6.1.1 Attitude	93
5.6.1.2 Resource and Facility	93
5.6.1.3 Knowledge, Experience and Skill	93
5.6.2 Provide Learner Supports	93
5.6.2.1 Tutoring	94
5.6.2.2 Counseling	95
5.6.2.3 Local Infrastructure and Facility	95
5.6.2.4 Supports From Other People	95
5.6.3 Evaluating the METKIT CAL Package for Distance Learning	95
5.6.3.1 Why Evaluation?	96
5.6.3.2 Evaluation Method	96
5.6.3.3 Result	97
5.7 The Pros and Cons of Distance Learning	97
5.7.1 Introduction	97
5.7.2 Advantages of Distance Learning	98
5.7.2.1 Benefits to the Learner	98
5.7.2.2 Benefits to Employers	98
5.7.3 Disadvantages of Distance Learning	99
CHAPTER SIX: PROJECT EVALUATION AND CONCLUSION	100
6.1 Limitations of METKIT CAL	100
6.2 Recommendations for Improvement	101
6.3 Assessment of Achievement	102

6.3.1 First Objective	102
6.3.2 Second Objective	102
6.3.3 Third Objective	102
6.3.4 Fourth Objective	103
6.3.5 Fifth Objective	103
6.4 Conclusion	104
BIBLIGRAPHY	105
REFERENCES	108
APPENDICES	109
A: QUESTIONARE FOR SUMMATIVE EVALUATION	111
B: USER GUIDE	115
C: PROGRAM LISTING FOR METKIT CAL	119

CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

The main idea of this project “Metrics Educational Package Appraisal/Update” was formulated on 18th March, 2001, when I went to see the lecturer, Encik Azham Bin Hussain for the project proposal. My project proposal is to evaluate a METKIT educational package, make recommendations for update and forward suggestions for use in course for distance learning. A Computer Assisted Learning (CAL) package was then developed for that purpose. I was very keen to undertake this project because I had been involved in educational field for almost 16 years since 1984. In fact my previous experience and training in education was an invaluable asset to me when I was doing this research project.

METKIT stands for **Metrics Educational Toolkit**. The primary goal of the METKIT educational package is to promote the use of software measurement within the European software engineering industry.

METKIT is a modular package design to cover the theoretical foundation and application of software metrics. The METKIT Educational Package shows how measurement can be used in software engineering to understand, control and improve the process of software development. The METKIT philosophy is not to tell people what to measure, rather it is to show how to use measurement to solve and avoid problems in software engineering.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- (1) **BEECH, G.** (1983) "Computer Based Learning: Practical Microcomputer Methods" Cheshire, Sigmal Technical Press.
- (2) **BUSH, M.** (1992) "Summaries of the Academic Modules - METKIT". London, METKIT Consortium. p 3-12
- (3) **BUSH, M.** (1992) "METKIT Module AM4: Software Engineering Models and Measures". SBP/Phase 3/T11.2/TO13/Issue 2, METKIT Consortium.
- (4) **BUSH, M & RUSSELL, M.** (1992) "METKIT Module AM3: Principles of Software Engineering Measurement". SBP/Phase 3/T11.2/TO 32/Issue 2, METKIT Consortium.
- (5) **BUSH, M.** (1994) , Centre for Systems & Software Eng, South Bank University. London. [Http://www.ulst.ac.uk/cticomp/rgmisc.html#misc34](http://www.ulst.ac.uk/cticomp/rgmisc.html#misc34)
- (6) **CARVER, D. & DICKINSON, L.** (ed.) (1981) "Self Directed Learning". Scottish Centre for Education Overseas. Edinburg, Moray House College of Education.
- (7) **CENTRE FOR SYSTEMS AND SOFTWARE ENGINEERING.** (Unknown) "Metkit Academic Package" , South Bank University, London.
[Http://www.sbu.ac.uk/~csse/metkit.html](http://www.sbu.ac.uk/~csse/metkit.html)
[Http://www.sbu.ac.uk/~csse/qualms_info.html](http://www.sbu.ac.uk/~csse/qualms_info.html)
[Http://www.sbu.ac.uk/~csse/products.html](http://www.sbu.ac.uk/~csse/products.html)
- (8) **CHAMBER, J. A & SPRECHER, J. W.** (1983) "Computer Assisted Instruction - Its Use in the Classroom". New Jersey, Prentice Hall. p 89-107
- (9) **COTTON, B & OLIVER, R.** (1993) "Understanding Hypermedia, from Multimedia to Virtual Reality". London, Phaidon Press.
- (10) **DEAN, C & WHITLOCK, Q.** (1983) "A Handbook of Computer Based Training". London, Kogan Page. p 152-157
- (11) **DECECCO, J. P.** (1974) "The Psychology of Learning and Instruction". New Jersey, Prentice Hall.
- (12) **EHRMANN, S.** (1993) "US Higher Education in 1998: How it might use technologies for undergraduate education". The CTISS File No 16/Dec 1993. p 8-17
- (13) **EGAN, D. E. et al** (1989) "Formative Design - Evaluation of SuperBook". ACM Press Vol. 7/No 1/Jan 1989

- (14) **FENTON, N. E.** (1991) "**Software Metrics: A Rigorous Approach**". London, Chapman & Hall.
- (15) **GREENGERG, D. et al** (1982) "**Computer Image**". London, Addison-Wesley. p 76-90
- (16) **HAROLD, F. O. & PARIS, J.** (1981) "**Computer Based Instruction. A state-of-the-art**". Assessment Academic Press. p 1-21
- (17) **HODGSON, V. E. et al** (ed) (1987) "**Beyond Distance Teaching - Towards Open Learning**". Milton Keynes, Open University Press.
- (18) **JAUHKAH, M. N. & BUSH, M.** (1992) "**METKIT Glossary of Terms**". SBP/Phase 3/WP12.5/TO1/Issue 1, METKIT Consortium.
- (19) **KELLY, M.** (ed) (1993) "**Management and Measurement of Software Quality**". Aldershot, Avebury.
- (20) **LOCKARD, J. et al** (1987) "**Microcomputer for Education**". Boston, Little Brown. p 152-154, p 169-195
- (21) **MARCHIONINI, G. & CRANE, G.** "Evaluating Hypermedia and Learning: Methods and Results from the Perseus Project". ACM Transactions on Information System Vol. 12/No 1/Jan 1994. p 5-34
- (22) **SHEPPERD, M.** (1995) "**Foundations of Software Measurement**". London, Prentice Hall
- (23) **PERCIVAL, F & ELLINGTON, H.** (ed) (1981) "**Distance Learning and Evaluation**". London, Kogan Page.
- (24) **PERLIS, A. J. et al** (ed.) (1981) "**Software Metrics**". Massachusetts, MIT Press.
- (25) **PRESSMAN, R. S.** (1994) "**Software Engineering: A Practitioner's Approach**". London, McGraw-Hill. p 41-63, p 582-586
- (26) **PUTNAM, L. H. & MYERS, W.** (1992) "**Measures for Excellence, Reliable Software On Time, Within Budget**". New Jersey, Prentice Hall.
- (27) **ROWNTREE, D.** (1992) "**Exploring Open and Distance Learning**". London, Kogan Page.
- (28) **RUSSELL, M.** (1992) "**METKIT Module AM2: What is Measurement ?**" SBP/Phase 3/T11.2/TO9/Issue 2. METKIT Consortium.
- (29) **RUSSELL, M.** (1992) "**METKIT Module AM2.4: Experiments in Software Engineering**". SBP/Phase 3/T11.2?TO43/Issue 1. METKIT Consortium

- (30) **RODENSTEIN, J.** (1986) "**Microcomputer in Vocational Education: Program and Practices**". New Jersey, Prentice Hall.
- (31) **SMEATON, A. F.** (1993) "Retrieving Information From Hypertext: Issues and Problems". European Journal of Information System Vol. 4/No 4/Dec 1993. p 239-247
- (32) **STEINBERG, E. R.** (1991) "**Teaching Computers to Teach**". 2nd ed. New Jersey, Lawrence Erlbaum Associate.
- (33) **STONES, E.** (1983) "**Psychology of Education: A Pedagogical Approach**". New York, Methuen & Co.
- (34) **STRATEGIC SYSTEM TECHNOLOGY** (1993) "**Before You Leap**". INFO93, DOC/March 1993.
- (35) **VEDELAAR, H.** (1992) "**User Manual for METKIT Educational Package on Software Engineering Measurement**". Dida * E1/Phase 3/T12.2/TO1/Issue 2. METKIT Consortium.
- (36) **VIENNEAU, R** (Unknown) "**Software Crisis or Hardware Success**". Kaman Science Corp. [Http://professional.cs.uwa.edu.au/sepm/](http://professional.cs.uwa.edu.au/sepm/)
- (37) **WALRAD, C & MOSS, E.** (1993) "Measurement: The Key to Application Development Quality". IBM System Journal Vol. 32, No 3/1993 Oxford University Press. p 445-458.
- (38) **WATSON, D.** (1987) "**Developing CAL: Computer in the Curriculum**". London, Harper & Row.
- (39) **WELLINGTON, J. J.** (1985) "**Children, Computers and the Curriculum**". London, Harper & Row. p23-52

REFERENCES

- ¹ **DEMARCO, T.** (1982) "Controlling Software Project". New York, Prentice Hall.
- ² **FINKELSTEIN, L.** (1982) "What is not measurable, make measurable". Measurement and Control 15. p 25-32
- ³ **BROWNE, J. C. & SHAW, M.** (1981) "Towards A Scientific Basis for Software Evaluation, Software Metrics". Massachusetts, MIT Press. p 19-38
- ⁴ **WALLMULLER, E.** (1993) "Quality Management and Metrics". Aldershot, Avebury. p 65-73
- ⁵ **DEMARCO, T. & LISTER, T.** (1987) "Peopleware". Dorset House
- ⁶ **GIBBS & NEUMAN, P.G.** (1997) Communications of the ACM, Vol.36, No.10, Oct 1993 — updated in 1997
- ⁷ **HODGON, B.** (1992) "Key Terms and Issues in Open and Distance Learning". London, Kogan Page. p18
- ⁸ **STANFORD, J. D & COOK, H. P** (1986) "Computer Managed Learning - Its application to increase student achievement using formative self-assessment". Computer Base Training System, Queensland, CBTS.
- ⁹ **ALESSI, S. M. & TROLLIP, S. R.** (1985) "Computer Instruction Methods and Development". New Jersey, Prentice Hall. p 59-70
- ¹⁰ **LEWIS, R. & SPENCER, D.** (1986) "What is Open Learning ?". London, Council for Educational Technology.
- ¹¹ **ROWNTREE, D.** (1992) "Exploring Open and Distance Learning". London, Kogan Page. p 234-235
- ¹² **SEWART, D.** (1987) "Limitations of the Learning Package". Harlow, Logman.
- ¹³ **TAIT, A** (1981) "The Politics of Open Learning in Adult Education". Vol. 64, No 4/1987. p 308-313
- ¹⁴ **THORPE, M.** (1988) "Evaluating Open and Distance Learning". Harlow, Longman.