

The relationship between working capital management and profitability of construction firms in Malaysia

RANDA MOHAMMED SHAMS ADDIN AL-MAWSHEKI

**MASTER OF SCIENCE (FINANCE)
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA**

JULY 2014

The relationship between working capital management and profitability of construction firms in Malaysia

RANDA MOHAMMED SHAMS ADDIN AL-MAWSHEKI

**A Research Project Submitted to
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business
College of Business,
Universiti Utara Malaysia
In Fulfillment of the Requirements for the degree, Master of Science (Finance).**

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in partial fulfillment of the requirements for Master of Science (Finance) Degree from Universiti Utara Malaysia, I agree that the university library may make it freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission of copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or in her absence, by the Dean of College of Business. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts thereof for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and Universiti Utara Malaysia for any scholarly use which may be made of any material from my thesis.

Requests for permission to copy or to make other use of materials in this thesis, in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

College Of Business,

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 Sintok

Kedah Darul Aman

DECLARATION

I certify that the substance of this thesis has not already submitted for any degree and is not currently being submitted for any other qualifications.

I certify that any assistance received in preparing this thesis and all sources used have been acknowledged and referenced in this thesis.

Randa Mohammed Shams Addin Al-mawsheki

813057

Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business

Universiti Utara Malaysia

06010 Sintok

Kedah

July 2014

Acknowledgement

First of all, I would like to express my deepest thankfulness to *Allah*, the Most Gracious the most Merciful, for giving me patience, strength to achieve this dissertation.

I would like to express my deepest sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, *Associate Professor Norafifah Ahmad* Without her unconditional support, continuous guidance, supervision, insightful comments, and encouragement, the accomplishment of this research would not have been possible.

My warm deepest gratitude goes to my husband, *Hani Qasem Assad* who stood up with me until I completed my research. I would like to thank him for all the help and encouragement he gave me.

Special thanks go to my *friends* whose supporting and encouragement are really appreciated.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest gratitude and appreciation to my *parents*, my *brothers* and *sisters* who have always granted me unconditional support and love throughout my whole life.

Abstract

Working capital management has an important role to play in the firms' profitability. This study, the relationship between working capital management and profitability of construction firms in Malaysia, investigates the effect of working capital management on profitability of construction firms in Malaysia for a period of time between 2002 and 2012. In order to do that, this study uses a balanced panel data of thirty construction firms that are on the list of Kuala Lumpur Stock Exchange. The results of the study show that cash conversion cycle, which is used as a proxy of working capital management, along with its components, receivable collection period and payable collection period are significantly negative having an effect on the firms' profitability. However, the results show that the inventory collection period has a negative insignificant effect on the profitability. Additionally, there is a significant impact for the financial leverage, sales growth and firm size on the profitability of firms as well. The study comes to conclusion that the construction firms in Malaysia can develop their profitability by decreasing the inventory conversion period, cash conversion cycle, receivable collection period and payable collection period. The study comes to another conclusion and that is construction firms are required to focus and develop their collection and payment policy. The influential policies have to be formulated for the individual components of working capital. Moreover, the construction firms' profitability can be increased by efficient management and financing of working capital (current assets and current liabilities).

Table of Contents

PERMISSION TO USE	i
DECLARATION	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	iii
ABSTRACT	iv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	v
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF TABLES	xi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xii
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.0. Introduction	1
1.1. Background of the Study	3
1.1.1. Concept of Working Capital	3
1.1.2. Working Capital Management (WCM)	4
1.1.3. Overview of Construction Industry in Malaysia	5
1.1.4. Theoretical Basis	6
1.2. Problem Statement	6
1.3. Research Questions	11
1.4. Research Objectives	11
1.5. Significance of the study	12
1.6. Scope and Limitations of the Study	13
1.7. Organization of the Thesis	13

2.3.2.1. Inventory Management Techniques	35
2.3.3. Management of Receivables account	37
2.3.4. Working Capital Management Policy	38
2.3.5. The Significance of Working Capital Management	41
2.3.6. Measurement of Working Capital Management	42
2.3.6.1. Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC) & Net Trade Cycle (NTC)	42
2.3.6.2. Inventory Conversion Period (ICP)	44
2.3.6.3. Trade Receivable Collection Period (RCP)	44
2.3.6.4. Trade Payable Collection Period (PCP)	45
2.3.6.5. Overview of Cash Conversion Cycle (CCC) & Net Trade Cycle (NTC) as Proxies of Working Capital Based on Previous Studies	45
2.4. Overview of the Relationship between Working Capital management and Profitability Based on Previous Practical Studies	47
2.5. Conclusion	57
CHAPTER THREE RESEARCH DESIGN AND METHODOLOGY	
3.0. Introduction	58
3.1. Research Framework	58
3.2. Hypotheses/Propositions Development	60
3.3. Research Design	65

3.4. Operational Definition	66
3.5. Measurement of Variables /Instrumentation	68
3.5.1. Dependent Variable	68
3.5.2. Independent Variables	68
3.5.3. Control Variables	69
3.6. Data collection	71
3.6.1. Sampling (Model Specification)	71
3.6.2. Data Collection Procedures	75
3.7. Techniques of Data Analysis	76
3.8. Conclusion	78
CHAPTER FOUR RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.0. Introduction	79
4.1. Descriptive Statistics	80
4.2. Correlation Analysis	82
4.3. Discussion and Results of Models	85
4.3.1. Regression analysis of Model 1	85
4.3.2. Regression Analysis of Model 2	88
4.3.3. Regression Analysis of Model 3	90
4.3.4. Regression Analysis of Model 4	92
4.4. Conclusion	96
CHAPTER FIVE CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
5.0. Introduction	97
5.1. Summary of the Results	98

5.2. Conclusion and Recommendations	99
5.3. Recommendation for future research	100
REFERENCES	101
APPENDIX	109

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 1.1. GDP annual growth of Malaysia (2002-2014)	9
Figure 1.2. Components of Working Capital	27
Figure 3.1. Research Framework	60
Figure 3.2. Techniques of data analysis	77

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1. Measurement of Variables and Definitions	70
Table 4.1. Descriptive Statistics	80
Table 4.2. Correlation	83
Table 4.3. VIF test's results	85
Table 4.4. Regression results of the relationship between working capital management and profitability	86
Table 4.5. Regression results of the relationship between inventory conversion period and profitability	88
Table 4.6. Regression results of the relationship between receivable collection period and profitability	90
Table4.7. Regression results of the relationship between payable collection period and profitability	93
Table4.8. Summary of results	96

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

variable	Abbreviation
Working capital management	WCM
Return on asset	ROA
Inventory conversion period	IVP
Receivable collection period	RCP
Payable collection period	PCP
Cash conversion cycle	CCC
Firm size	SIZE
Sale growth	SG
Debt ratio	DR

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.0 Introduction

Working capital management has a crucial and decisive role to play in companies due to its impact on each of the goals of profitability and liquidity as the working capital management takes care of both the current assets, and current liabilities and accounts of great significance in research and financial studies.

One of the main financial duties for any institution, and one of the primary demands to fulfill, is to retain a proper quantity of liquidity to make sure that it fulfills its commitments on time. An institution aims to maintain the proper quantity of current assets and, especially in the receivable accounts and inventory. Additionally, it aims to control over payable accounts and monitor them by making sure that there is a proper variation between them within the limit that ensures the institution is not subjected to the risks of failing in fulfilling its commitments in the short term.

There are two common concepts in working capital. The first one is the gross working capital concept, known as the institution's total investments in current assets, in other words, the assets which are changed into cash during period of a year. These assets are made up of securities, receivable accounts, cash and inventory.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

References

- Abdulaheman, A., Afza, T., Qayyum, A., & Bodla, M. A. (2010). Working capital management and corporate performance of manufacturing sector in Pakistan. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 47, 1450-2887.
- Agha, H., Mba, & Mphil. (2014). Impact of working capital management on profitability. *European Scientific Journal January*, 10(1), 1857 – 7881.
- Ahmad, N., Azim, P., & Rehman, J. U. (2013). Does working capital management affect the operational liquidity position of firms? A case of Pakistani manufacturing firms. *Journal of Global Economy*, 1, 2278-1277.
- Al-debi'e, M.M. (2011). Working capital management and profitability: The case of industrial firms in Jordan. *European Journal of Economics, Finance and Administrative Sciences*, 36, 1450-2275.
- Alipour, M. (2011). Working capital management and corporate profitability: Evidence from Iran. *World Applied Sciences Journal*, 7, 1093-1099.
- Al-Mwalla , M. (2012). The impact of working capital management policies on firm's profitability and value: The case of Jordan. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 85, 147-153.
- Caballero, S. B., Teruel, P. J. G., & Solano, P. M. (2013). Working capital management, corporate performance, and financial constraints. *Journal of Business Research*, 8, 1045-1122.

- Charitou, M., Lois, P., & Santoso, H. B. (2012). The relationship between working capital management and firm's profitability: An empirical investigation for an emerging Asian country. *International Business & Economics Research Journal*, 11(8), 839-848.
- Charitou, M. S., Elfani, M., & Lois, P. (2010). The effect of working capital management on firm's profitability: Empirical evidence from an emerging market. *Journal of Business & Economics Research*, 8(12), 63-68.
- Deloof, M. (2003). Does working capital management affect profitability of Belgian firms? *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 30(3), 573-587.
- Dong, H. P., & Su, J. (2010). The relationship between working capital management and profitability: A Vietnam case. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 49, 59-67.
- Economic planning unit, Ministry of International Trade and Industry, and department of Statistics. (2013). Malaysia, second Industrial Master Plan IMP2, chapters 1-13. Retrieved from: http://www.miti.gov.my/cms/documentstorage/com.tms.cms.document.Document_879b2646-c0a81573-1bef1bef-93e8ca47/chapter01_13.pdf
- Enqvist, J., Graham, M., & Nikkinen, J. (2013). The impact of working capital management on firm profitability in different business cycles: Evidence from Finland. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 32, 36-49.
- Field, A. (2005), *Discovering statistics using SPSS for windows*, Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA.

- Filbeck, G., Krueger, T., & Preece, D. (2007). CFO magazine's working capital survey. Do selected firms work for shareholders. *Quarterly Journal of Business and Economics*, 46(2), 3-22.
- Filbeck, G., & Krueger, T. (2005). Industry related differences in working capital management. *Mid. Am. J. Bus.*, 20(2), 11-18.
- Ganesan, V. (2007). An analysis of working capital management efficiency in telecommunication equipment industry. *Rivier academic journal*, 3(2), 1559-9396.
- Gill, A., Biger, N., & Mathur, N. (2010). The relationship between working capital management and profitability: Evidence from the United States. *Business and Economics Journal*, 10, 1-9.
- Gul, S., Khan, M. B., Rehman, S. U., Khan, M. T., Khan, M., & Khan, W. (2013). Working capital management and performance of SME sector. *European Journal of Business and Management*, 5(1), 2222-2839.
- Gujarati, D. (2003). *Basic econometrics* (4th ed). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Hajihassani, V. (2013). The Relationship between working capital management and profitability: A case study of cement industry in Iran. *J. Basic. Appl. Sci. Res.*, 3(3), 57-61.
- Hayajneh, F., & Yassine, O. (2011). The impact of working capital efficiency on profitability— an empirical analysis on Jordanian manufacturing firms. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 66, 67- 76.

- Horne, J. C. V., & Wachowicz, J. M. (2000). *Fundamentals of financial management*. (11th ed), Prentice Hall Inc.
- Hina, A., Mba, & Mphil. (2014) Impact of working capital management on profitability. *European Scientific Journal*, 10(1), 1857 – 7881.
- Iqbal, N., Ahmad, N., & Riaz, Z. (2014). The relationship between working capital management and profitability: Evidence from Pakistan. *International Letters of Social and Humanistic Sciences*, 9, 2300-2697.
- Jose, M. L., Lancaster, C., & Stevens, J. L. (1996). Corporate returns and cash conversion cycles. *Journal of Economics and Finance*, 20(1), 33-46.
- Julius, E., Graham, M., & Nikkinen, J. (2014). The impact of working capital management on firm profitability in different business cycles: evidence from Finland. *Research in International Business and Finance*, 32, 36-49.
- Karaduman, H. A., Akbas, H. E., Caliskan, A. O., & Durer, S. (2011). The relationship between working capital management and profitability: Evidence from an emerging market. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 62, 61-67.
- Kaur, H. V., & Singh, S. (2013). Managing efficiency and profitability through working capital: an empirical analysis of BSE 200 companies. *Asian Journal of Business Management*, 5(2), 197-207.
- Keown, A. J., Martin, J. D., Petty, J. W., & Scott, D. F. Jr. (2005). *Financial management: principles and applications* (10th ed.). United States of America: Pearson Prentice Hall.

- Lazaridis, I., & Tryfonidis, D. (2006). Relationship between working capital management and profitability of listed companies in the Athens stock exchange. *Journal of Financial Management and Analysis*, 19(1), 26 – 35.
- Mathuva, D. M. (2010). The influence of working capital management components on corporate profitability: A survey on Kenyan listed firms. *Research Journal of Business Management*, 4(1), 1819-1932.
- Mehta, A. (2014). Working capital management and profitability relationship-evidences from emerging markets of UAE. *International Journal of Management Excellence*, 2(3), 2292-1648.
- Mohamad, N., & Saad, N. (2010). Working capital management: the effect of market valuation and profitability in Malaysia. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 5(11), 1833-8119.
- Moyer, R. C., Mcguigan, J.R., & Kretlow, W.J. (2003). *Contemporary financial management*. (9th Ed.). Thomson Press, United States of America.
- Myers, S., Brealey, R., & Marcus, A. (2003). *Fundamentals of corporate finance*. (4thed.). The McGraw–Hill Companies.
- Naser, K., Nuseibeh, R., & Al-hadeya, A. (2013). Factors influencing corporate working capital management: Evidence from an emerging economy. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business Research*, 2(1), 11-30.
- Nazir, M. S., & Afza, T. (2009). Impact of aggressive working capital management policy on firm's profitability, *The IUP Journal of Applied Finance*, 15(8), 19-30.

- Needles, B. E., Powers, M., & Crossons, S. V. (2008). *Principles of accounting* (11th ed.). Mason, OH: South-Western Centgage Learning.
- Nobanee, H., & Alhajjar, M. (2009). A note on working capital management and corporate profitability of Japanese firms. Available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract/41471230>.
- Odit, M. P., & Chitto, H. P. (2008). Does financial leverage influence investment decisions? The case of Mauritian firms. *Journal of Business Case Studies*, 4 (9).
- Padachi, K., (2006). "Trends in WCM and its impact on firms 'performance: An analysis of Mauritian small manufacturing firms", *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 2(2), 45 -58.
- Preve, L. A., & Allende, V. S. (2010). *Working capital management*, Oxford University Press, Inc. New York.
- Raheman, A., & Nasr M. (2007). Working capital management and profitability – Case of Pakistani firms. *International Review of Business Research Papers*, 3(2), 275 – 296.
- Ramachandran, A., & Janakiraman, M. (2009). The relationship between working capital management efficiency and EBIT. *Managing Global Transitions*, 7(1), 61-74.
- Rimo, A., & Panbunyuen, P. (2010). The effect of company characteristics on working capital management: A quantitative study of Swedish listed companies. *Umeå School of Business*.

- Ross, S. A., Westerfield, R. W., & Jaffe, J. (2010). *Corporate finance* (9th ed.). New York, NY:McGRAW-HILL.
- Sagnar, J. S. (2011). *Essentials of Working Capital Management*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, New Jersey.
- Shin, H. H., & Soenen, L. (1998). Efficiency of working capital management and corporate profitability. *Financial Practice and Education*, 8, 37 – 45.
- Subramanyam, K. R., & Wild, J. J. (2009). *Financial statement analysis* (10th ed.). New York, NY:McGRAW-HILL.
- Subramanian, T., & Paramasivan, C. (2009). *Financial Management*. (10th ed.). New Age International.
- Tauringana, v., & Afrifa, G. A. (2013). The relative importance of working capital management and its components to SMEs' profitability. *Journal of Small Business and Enterprise Development*, 20(3), 453-469.
- Teruel, P. J. G., & Solano, P. M. (2007). Effects of working capital management on SME profitability. *International Journal of Managerial Finance*, 3(2), 164-177.
- Trading Economics, Department of statistics Malaysia , Retrieved 2013, from: <http://www.tradingeconomics.com/malaysia/gdp-growth-annual>
- Ukaegbu, B. (2014).The significance of working capital management in determining firm profitability: Evidence from developing economies in Africa. *Research in*

International Business and Finance, 31, 1–16.

Uremadu, S. O., & Egbide, B. (2012). Working capital management, liquidity and corporate profitability among quoted firms in Nigeria evidence from the productive sector. *International Journal of Academic Research in Accounting, Finance and Management Sciences*, 2(1), 2225-8329.

Zariyawati, M. A., Annuar, M. N., Taufiq, H., & Abdul Rahim, A. S. (2009). WCM and corporate performance: Case of Malaysia. *Journal of Modern Accounting and Auditing*, 5(11), 47-54.

Appendix

Modell

Dependent Variable: ROA
 Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section weights)
 Date: 05/17/14 Time: 20:07
 Sample: 2002 2012
 Periods included: 11
 Cross-sections included: 30
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 330
 Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-9.788700	2.768839	-3.535309	0.0005
CCC	-0.000229	9.56E-05	-2.393285	0.0173
SIZE	1.123474	0.219534	5.117549	0.0000
SG	0.000349	0.001426	0.244737	0.8068
DR	-0.075804	0.015508	-4.888143	0.0000

Weighted Statistics

R-squared	0.112436	Mean dependent var	5.393939
Adjusted R-squared	0.101512	S.D. dependent var	7.493253
S.E. of regression	6.331295	Sum squared resid	13027.72
F-statistic	10.29271	Durbin-Watson stat	0.990340
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Unweighted Statistics

R-squared	0.037970	Mean dependent var	3.177909
Sum squared resid	13322.71	Durbin-Watson stat	1.577171

Model2

Dependent Variable: ROA
 Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section weights)
 Date: 05/17/14 Time: 20:08
 Sample: 2002 2012
 Periods included: 11
 Cross-sections included: 30
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 330
 Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-9.864460	2.774678	-3.555173	0.0004
ICP	-0.000201	0.000101	-1.994232	0.0470
SIZE	1.123866	0.220080	5.106620	0.0000
SG	0.000439	0.001437	0.305470	0.7602
DR	-0.074418	0.015526	-4.792989	0.0000

Weighted Statistics

R-squared	0.106952	Mean dependent var	5.381817
Adjusted R-squared	0.095961	S.D. dependent var	7.478765
S.E. of regression	6.336950	Sum squared resid	13051.01
F-statistic	9.730579	Durbin-Watson stat	0.977561
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Unweighted Statistics

R-squared	0.035865	Mean dependent var	3.177909
Sum squared resid	13351.86	Durbin-Watson stat	1.568245

Model3

Dependent Variable: ROA
 Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section weights)
 Date: 05/17/14 Time: 20:11
 Sample: 2002 2012
 Periods included: 11
 Cross-sections included: 30
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 330
 Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-8.747238	2.673133	-3.272280	0.0012
RCP	-0.002235	0.000495	-4.512351	0.0000
SIZE	1.083644	0.211262	5.129382	0.0000
SG	3.31E-06	0.001461	0.002267	0.9982
DR	-0.080603	0.015165	-5.315220	0.0000

Weighted Statistics

R-squared	0.168043	Mean dependent var	5.491068
Adjusted R-squared	0.157803	S.D. dependent var	7.727776
S.E. of regression	6.281716	Sum squared resid	12824.49
F-statistic	16.41125	Durbin-Watson stat	1.051335
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Unweighted Statistics

R-squared	0.058418	Mean dependent var	3.177909
Sum squared resid	13039.53	Durbin-Watson stat	1.628908

Model 4

Dependent Variable: ROA
 Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section weights)
 Date: 05/17/14 Time: 20:12
 Sample: 2002 2012
 Periods included: 11
 Cross-sections included: 30
 Total panel (balanced) observations: 330
 Linear estimation after one-step weighting matrix

Variable	Coefficient	Std. Error	t-Statistic	Prob.
C	-9.774219	2.742585	-3.563871	0.0004
PCP	-0.001335	0.000603	-2.213438	0.0276
SIZE	1.119022	0.217328	5.149010	0.0000
SG	0.000344	0.001446	0.237789	0.8122
DR	-0.071081	0.015511	-4.582677	0.0000

Weighted Statistics

R-squared	0.114245	Mean dependent var	5.384630
Adjusted R-squared	0.103344	S.D. dependent var	7.528265
S.E. of regression	6.335856	Sum squared resid	13046.50
F-statistic	10.47970	Durbin-Watson stat	0.968939
Prob(F-statistic)	0.000000		

Unweighted Statistics

R-squared	0.038453	Mean dependent var	3.177909
Sum squared resid	13316.02	Durbin-Watson stat	1.564582

Descriptive

Descriptive Statistics

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
ROA	330	-43.220	39.340	3.17791	6.487898
CCC	330	-540.000	49391.000	998.72424	2937.882287
ICP	330	16.000	47248.000	940.71818	2839.040038
RCP	330	14.000	8736.000	245.82727	526.391533
PCP	330	2.000	6593.000	187.82121	420.131389
SIZE	330	9.410	16.040	12.92739	1.092465
SG	330	-93.990	2254.710	29.41964	183.229249
DR	330	.000	85.130	21.25639	18.206279
Valid N (listwise)	330				

Correlations

		Correlations							
		ROA	CCC	ICP	RCP	PCP	SIZE	SG	DR
ROA	Pearson Correlation	1	-.044	-.030	-.137*	-.066	.042	.012	-.158**
	Sig. (2-tailed)		.421	.584	.013	.235	.445	.833	.004
	N	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330
CCC	Pearson Correlation	-.044	1	.995**	.866**	.819**	.016	-.046	-.078
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.421		.000	.000	.000	.778	.406	.160
	N	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330
ICP	Pearson Correlation	-.030	.995**	1	.834**	.841**	.029	-.046	-.059
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.584	.000		.000	.000	.600	.402	.287
	N	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330
RCP	Pearson Correlation	-.137*	.866**	.834**	1	.833**	-.035	-.060	-.073
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.013	.000	.000		.000	.531	.275	.188
	N	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330
PCP	Pearson Correlation	-.066	.819**	.841**	.833**	1	.043	-.067	.055
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.235	.000	.000	.000		.432	.223	.322
	N	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330
SIZE	Pearson Correlation	.042	.016	.029	-.035	.043	1	.007	.440**
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.445	.778	.600	.531	.432		.896	.000
	N	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330
SG	Pearson Correlation	.012	-.046	-.046	-.060	-.067	.007	1	-.071
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.833	.406	.402	.275	.223	.896		.198
	N	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330
DR	Pearson Correlation	-.158**	-.078	-.059	-.073	.055	.440**	-.071	1
	Sig. (2-tailed)	.004	.160	.287	.188	.322	.000	.198	
	N	330	330	330	330	330	330	330	330

*. Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed).

** . Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Samples:

The names of the construction firms that were included in this study are as listed below:

1. A & M REALTY BERHAD
2. ASIAN PAC HOLDINGS
3. BERTAM ALLIANCE
4. COUNTRY VIEW BHD
5. DUTALAND BHD
6. ENCORP BERHAD
7. GLOBAL ORIENTAL BHD
8. FARLIM GROUP
9. HUA YANG BHD
10. I-BERHAD
11. IJM LAND BHD
12. KELADI MAJU BERHAD
13. KEN HOLDINGS BERHAD
14. LBI CAPITAL BHD
15. LBS BINA GROUP BHD
16. MAGNA PRIMA BERHAD
17. MALAYSIA PACIFIC
18. MEDA INCORPORATED
19. MKH BHD
20. MULPHA LAND BHD

21. ORIENTAL INTEREST
22. PJ DEVELOPMENT HLDGS
23. PARAMOUNT CORP BHD
24. PASDEC HOLDINGS
25. PETALING TIN BERHAD
26. SBC CORPORATION BHD
27. SELANGOR DREDGING
28. SP SETIA BHD
29. Y&G CORPORATION
30. YNH PROPERTY BHD