

**THE ASSOCIATION OF EXTERNAL AUDITOR'S
ATTRIBUTES WITH MANAGEMENT FRAUD RISK
ASSESSMENT IN FINAINCAL REPORTING:
EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM YEMEN**

SULTAN ALI AHMED FARAG AL-SORIHI

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITI UTARA MALAYSIA
MAY 2014**

THE ASSOCIATION OF EXTERNAL AUDITOR'S ATTRIBUTES WITH
MANAGEMENT FRAUD RISK ASSESSMENT IN FINAINCAL REPORTING:
EMPIRICAL EVIDENCE FROM YEMEN

By

SULTAN ALI AHMED FARAG AL-SORIHI

Thesis Submitted to the
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business,
Universiti Utara Malaysia,
In Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

CERTIFICATION OF THESIS WORKS

I declare that this thesis is my own account of my research and contains work which has not previously been submitted for a degree at any tertiary education institution.

PERMISSION TO USE

In presenting this thesis in fulfillment of the requirements for a Post Graduate degree from the Universiti Utara Malaysia (UUM), I agree that the Library of this university may make it a freely available for inspection. I further agree that permission for copying of this thesis in any manner, in whole or in part, for scholarly purposes may be granted by my supervisor or in his absence, by the Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business where I did my thesis. It is understood that any copying or publication or use of this thesis or parts of it for financial gain shall not be allowed without my written permission. It is also understood that due recognition shall be given to me and to the UUM in any scholarly use which may be made of any material in my thesis.

Request for permission to copy or make other use of materials in this thesis in whole or in part should be addressed to:

Dean of Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of Business
Universiti Utara Malaysia
06010 UUM Sintok
Kedah Darul Aman, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

As stated by the International Standard of Auditing (ISA No. 240), the effectiveness of the external auditors' attributes is the primary process in management fraud risk assessment (*MFRA*). Therefore, the aim of this study is to examine the associations of external auditor effectiveness-related attributes and independent-related factors with *MFRA* in the context of Yemen. To achieve the objective of this study, questionnaires were distributed out to 410 external auditors working in private audit firms and the Yemeni Central Organization for Control and Accounting (*COCA*) for the year 2012. A total of 273 questionnaires were returned back out of which 19 were unusable. As such, the final sample of this study consists of 254 external auditors. Multiple regression analysis was used to test the study's hypotheses. This study finds positive associations of the external auditor's effectiveness score and external auditor's independence score with *MFRA*. Furthermore, questioning discussion ability, professional qualification, fraud detection experience, information technology (IT) skill, training on fraud detection, and social relationships are reported to have positive and significant associations with *MFRA*, while job position is found to have a negative association. The findings of this study indicate that Yemeni government and *COCA* should issue new regulations to increase the external auditors' awareness and effectiveness towards *MFRA*. This study also indicates that the audit profession in Yemen needs more control, regulations, laws and policies to enhance the structure of the external auditors' decision in issues related to *MFRA* in order to protect the interests of demand-supply sides of audit services and the related parties. Moreover, this study has implications for the Yemeni policy makers and government to enrich the external auditors' effectiveness and independence by issuing new regulations, new laws, and applying more control on the quality of auditing profession to protect the economy and the society stability.

Keywords: management fraud risk assessment, external auditor`s attributes, Yemen

ABSTRAK

Sebagaimana yang dinyatakan dalam Standard Pengauditan Antarabangsa (SPA No. 240), keberkesanan ciri-ciri juruaudit luar merupakan proses utama dalam penilaian risiko penipuan pengurusan (*PRPP*). Oleh yang demikian, kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji hubungan antara ciri-ciri berkaitan keberkesanan dan kebebasan juruaudit luar terhadap *PRPP* dalam konteks negara Yaman. Bagi mencapai objektif kajian ini, soalan kajiselidik telah diedarkan kepada 410 juruaudit luar yang bekerja di dalam firma audit swasta dan Organisasi Pusat bagi Kawalan dan Perakaunan Yaman (*OPKP*) pada tahun 2012. Sejumlah 273 soal selidik telah dikembalikan semula yang mana hanya 19 daripadanya tidak dapat digunakan. Ini menjadikan sampel akhir kajian ini terdiri daripada 254 juruaudit luar. Analisis regresi berganda telah digunakan untuk menguji hipotesis kajian. Kajian ini mendapati wujudnya hubungan positif di antara skor keberkesanan juruaudit luar dan skor kebebasan juruaudit luar dengan *PRPP*. Selanjutnya, faktor-faktor kebolehan menyoal semasa berbincang, kelayakan profesional, pengalaman mengesan penipuan, kemahiran teknologi maklumat (TM), latihan berkaitan pengesanan penipuan, dan hubungan sosial dilaporkan mempunyai hubungan positif yang signifikan terhadap *PRPP*, manakala jawatan kerja mempunyai hubungan yang negatif. Dapatan-dapatan kajian ini menunjukkan bahawa kerajaan Yaman dan *OPKP* perlu mengeluarkan peraturan-peraturan baru bagi meningkatkan kesedaran dan keberkesanan juruaudit luar terhadap *PRPP*. Kajian ini juga menunjukkan bahawa profesyen audit di Yaman memerlukan lebih kawalan, peraturan, undang-undang dan dasar bagi meningkatkan struktur keputusan juruaudit luar dalam isu-isu yang berkaitan dengan *PRPP* bagi melindungi kepentingan permintaan-penawaran dalam perkhidmatan audit serta pihak-pihak yang berkaitan. Selain itu, kajian ini mempunyai implikasi terhadap pembuat dasar dan kerajaan Yaman bagi meningkatkan keberkesanan dan kebebasan juruaudit luar dengan mengeluarkan peraturan baru, undang-undang baru, serta mengaplikasikan lebih banyak kawalan ke atas kualiti profesyen pengauditan bagi melindungi kestabilan ekonomi dan masyarakat.

Kata kunci: penilaian risiko penipuan pengurusan, ciri-ciri juruaudit luar, Yaman

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I give glory to **Allah** almighty for making it possible for me to end my Ph.D. study in Accounting, and peace and blessings are upon Prophet Mohammed the humanity teacher whom **Allah** sent as a mercy to the worlds. In addition, I am indebted to several individuals and organizations for their immense contributions for the successful completion of this programme.

First, my sincere appreciation goes to my supervisor, Dr. Mohamad Hisyam Selamat. Second, I am grateful to all the lecturers who gave me valuable comments on my thesis especially Dr. Mohd. Atef Md. Yusof, Prof. Dr. Zubaidah Zainal Abidin, Dr. Noriah Che Adam and Assoc. Prof. Dr Azhar Abdul Rahman. For all other people, who have contributed directly and indirectly to the completion of my thesis but are not otherwise mentioned here because there are simply too many of them, I am very sorry but I pray to **Allah** almighty to bless them all.

I am indeed very grateful to my first beloved Hind Ali Abdullah Al-Ngeri, my **Allah** have mercy upon her and grant her the highest level in Paradise, for her support and encouragement during the initial stage of my Ph.D.

My gratitude to the management and staff of University of Science and Technology especially Prof. Dr. Abdulaziz Al-kebab who helped me to do my Ph.D. study. Also, my appreciation goes to Yemeni Central organization for Controlling and Accounting especially Dr. Abdul Wahab Al-Shami, and audit firms in Yemen especially Prof. Dr. Abdul Malik Hjar, the partner of Deloitte and Touché firm, Dr.

Amar Abdullah, Senior auditor in KPMG firm, who helped me to overcome many of my difficulties. In addition, my thanks to my friends who helped me especially, Dr. Khaled Al-Jaydi, Dr. Abdullah Al-swidi, Dr. Ghassan Bageledan, Dr. Hamid Julaidan, Dr. Hamdan Al- Gaifa, Mr. Ahmed Aslan.

I would like to express thanks and gratitude to my father and my mother for their continuous prayers and support. Thanks a lot to my sisters and brothers, my second wife Umm Mohammed, and my daughters (Ala'a, Esra'a, and Wala'a) for their prayers.

TABLE OF CONTENT

TITLE PAGE	i
CERTIFICATION OF THESIS WORKS	ii
PERMISSION TO USE	iv
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vii
TABLE OF CONTENT	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST FIGURES	xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATION	xxi
CHAPTER ONE: INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Research Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	11
1.3 Research Questions	17
1.4 Research Objectives	18
1.5 Significance of the Study	19
1.5.1 Academic Significance	19
1.5.2 Practical Significance	21
1.6 Scope of the Study	22
1.7 Organization of the Thesis	23
1.8 Summary	23
CHAPTER TWO: LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	24
2.2 Background of Yemen	24
2.2.1 General Information	24
2.2.2 Management fraud in Yemen	25
2.2.3 Legal structure	26
2.2.4 Auditing professional	28
2.3 Fraud	29

2.4	Types of Fraud	30
2.5	Management Fraud	32
2.6	Management fraud risk assessment (MFRA)	36
2.6.1	External Auditors Responsibility and MFRA	36
2.6.2	Fraud Triangle	41
2.6.3	Fraud Risk Indicators	45
2.6.4	Characteristics of MFRA	50
2.6.5	Consideration of Management Fraud Risk Attributes	52
2.7	Factors Influencing the External Auditor Capability in MFRA	53
2.7.1	Education	53
2.7.1.1	Academic Qualification	54
2.7.1.2	Professional Qualification	55
2.7.1.3	Study Major	57
2.7.2	Training on Fraud Detection	58
2.7.3	Experience	59
2.7.3.1	Auditing experience	60
2.7.3.2	Fraud Detection Experience	61
2.7.4	Job Position	63
2.7.5	Auditor Type	64
2.7.6	Information Technology Skill	65
2.7.7	Questioning Discussion Ability	69
2.7.8	Responsibility Perception	69
2.7.9	External Auditor's Effectiveness Score	72
2.7.10	Audit Fees	73
2.7.11	Hiring and Changing of the Auditor	75
2.7.12	Social Relations	77
2.7.13	Economic Relations	77
2.7.14	External Auditor's Independence Score	79
2.8	Agency Theory, Attribution Theory and Accountability Theory	80
2.8.1	Agency Theory	80

2.8.2 Attribution Theory	82
2.8.3 Accountability Theory	85
2.9 Summary	87

**CHAPTER THREE:
RESEARCH FRAMEWORK AND HYPOTHESES DEVELOPMENT**

3.1 Introduction	88
3.2 Theoretical Framework	88
3.3 Hypotheses Development	95
3.3.1 External Auditor Effectiveness -Related Attributes	96
3.3.1.1 Academic Qualification	96
3.3.1.2 Professional Qualification	97
3.3.1.3 Study Major	98
3.3.1.4 Training on Fraud Detection	98
3.3.1.5 Audit Experience	99
3.3.1.6 Fraud Detection Experience	100
3.3.1.7 Job Position	101
3.3.1.8 Auditor Type	102
3.3.1.9 Information Technology Skill	102
3.3.1.10 Questioning Discussion Ability	103
3.3.1.11 Responsibility Perception	104
3.3.2 External Auditor Effectiveness Score	105
3.3.3 External Auditor's Independence-Related Factors	106
3.3.3.1 Audit Fees	106
3.3.3.2 Hiring and Changing of the Auditor	107
3.3.3.3 Social Relations	108
3.3.3.4 Economic Relation	109
3.3.4 External Auditor's independence Score	110
3.4 Summary	111

CHAPTER FOUR: RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

4.1 Introduction	112
------------------	-----

4.2	Research Equation	112
4.3	Research Design	114
4.4	Research Population and Sample Size	114
4.5	Research Activities	116
4.5.1	Research Instrument Development	116
4.5.2	Structure of the Questionnaire	117
4.5.3	Operationalization and Measurement of the Variables	120
4.5.3.1	Management Fraud Risk Assessment	120
4.5.3.2	External Auditor Effectiveness-Related Attributes	122
4.5.3.2.1	Academic Qualification	122
4.5.3.2.2	Professional Qualification	122
4.5.3.2.3	Study Major	122
4.5.3.2.4	Training on Fraud Detection	123
4.5.3.2.5	Auditing Experience	123
4.5.3.2.6	Fraud Detection Experience	124
4.5.3.2.7	Job Position	124
4.5.3.2.8	Auditor Type	125
4.5.3.2.9	Information Technology Skills	125
4.5.3.2.10	Questioning Discussion Ability	125
4.5.3.2.11	Responsibility Perception	126
4.5.3.3	External Auditor Effectiveness Score	127
4.5.3.4	External Auditor Independence-Related Factors	129
4.5.3.4.1	Audit Fees	129
4.5.3.4.2	Hiring and Changing of the Auditor	129
4.5.3.4.3	Social Relations	130
4.5.3.4.4	Economic Relations	131
4.5.3.5	External Auditor Independent Score	132
4.6	Data Collection	134
4.6.1	Unit of Analysis	135
4.7	Data Analysis Techniques	135
4.7.1	Descriptive Analysis	135
4.7.2	Inferential Analysis	136
4.7.2.1	Factor Analysis	136

4.7.2.2	Test of Reliability of the Instrument	136
4.7.2.3	Multiple Regressions	137
4.8	Refinement of the Instrument	138
4.8.1	Content Validation	138
4.8.2	Instrument Reliability	141
4.8	Summary	142
CHAPTER FIVE: ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS		
5.1	Introduction	143
5.2	Response Rate	144
5.3	Non-Response Bias	145
5.4	Profile of the respondents	146
5.4.1	Respondents` Auditor Type	146
5.4.2	Respondents` Job Position	147
5.4.3	Respondents` Academic Qualification	147
5.4.4	Respondents' Study Major	148
5.4.5	Respondents` Professional Qualification	149
5.4.6	Respondents` Auditing Experience	150
5.4.7	Respondents` Training on Fraud Detection	151
5.4.8	Respondents` Fraud Detection Experience	152
5.4.9	Respondents` Information Technology Skill	151
5.5	Goodness of Measures	154
5.5.1	Validity	155
5.5.2	Reliability	155
5.5.3	Construct Validity	156
5.5.3.1	Factor Analysis Test on Effectiveness-Related Attributes	156
5.5.3.1.1	Factor Analysis Test on Questioning Discussion Ability	156
5.5.3.1.2	Factor Analysis Test on Responsibility perception	159
5.5.3.2	Factor Analysis on External Auditor Independent-Related Factors	162
5.5.3.2.1	Factor Analysis on Audit Fees	162
5.5.3.2.2	Factor Analysis on Hiring and Changing Auditor of the	164

5.5.3.2.3	Factor Analysis on Social Relations	166
5.5.3.2.4	Factor Analysis on Economic Relations	168
5.5.3.3	Factor Analysis on Management Fraud Risk Assessment	170
5.6	Descriptive Statistics of Study Variables	177
5.6.1	Descriptive Statistics for Questioning Discussion Ability	178
5.6.2	Descriptive Statistics for Responsibility Perception	178
5.6.3	Descriptive Statistics for Audit Fees	179
5.6.4	Descriptive Statistics for Haring and Changing of the Auditor	180
5.6.5	Descriptive Statistics for Social Relations	180
5.6.6	Descriptive Statistics for Economic Relations	181
5.6.6	Descriptive Statistics for MFRA	181
5.7	Correlation Analysis	183
5.8	Multiple Regression Analysis Test	187
5.8.1	Test for Violations of Assumptions	187
5.8.1.1	Normality Test	188
5.8.1.2	Linearity and Homogeneity Test	189
5.8.1.3	Multicollinearity Test	191
5.8.2	Testing the Model Using Multiple Regression	193
5.8.3	Regression on Effectiveness Score and Independent Score	195
5.8.3.1	Normality Test (EAES and EAIS)	197
5.8.3.2	Linearity and Homogeneity Test (EAES and EAIS)	199
5.8.3.3	Multicollinearity Test (EAES and EAIS)	198
5.8.3.4	Testing Regression of Effectiveness Score and Independent Score	200
5.9	One-way ANOVA with Post-Hoc Tests among Auditor Type and MFRA	202
5.10	Rank of Fraud Risk Indicators	204
5.11	Additional Tests	206
5.11.1	Testing Regression of COCA and Non-COCA	206
5.11.2	Testing Regression of AP/AM and Non-AP/AM	208
5.11.3	Testing Regression on Auditor Type	209
5.12	Summary	210
CHAPTER SIX: DISCUSSION		
6.1	Introduction	211

6.2	Research Hypotheses Test Results	211
6.3	External Auditor Effectiveness-Related Attributes	212
6.3.1	Academic Qualification	213
6.3.2	Professional Qualification	214
6.3.3	Study Major	215
6.3.4	Training on Fraud Detection	216
6.3.5	Audit Experience	218
6.3.6	Fraud Detection Experience	219
6.3.7	Job Position	220
6.3.8	Auditor Type	221
6.3.9	Information Technology Skill	222
6.3.10	Questioning Discussion Ability	223
6.3.11	Responsibility Perception	224
6.4	External Auditor's Effectiveness Score	226
6.5	External Auditor Independent-Related Factors	227
6.5.1	Audit Fees	227
6.5.2	Hiring and Changing of the Auditor	228
6.5.3	Social Relations	229
6.5.4	Economic Relations	230
6.6	External Auditor's Independent Score	231
6.7	Comparison among Auditor Types on Fraud Risk Indicators	233
6.8	Relative Importance of the Fraud Risk Indicators in Yemen	233
6.9	COCA and non-COCA Auditors	234
6.10	AP/AM and non-AP/AM Auditors	236
6.11	COCA, Big 4, International and Local Auditors	238
6.12	Summary	239
CHAPTER SEVEN: CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS		
7.1	Introduction	241
7.2	Summary of the Study	241
7.3	Implications of the Study	244
7.4	Contributions	249
7.4.1	Theoretical Contribution	250

7.4.2 Methodological Contribution	251
7.4.3 Contribution to Academia	252
7.5 Limitations of the Study	253
7.6 Suggestions for Future Research	254
7.7 Conclusion of the Study	255
REFERENCES	258
APPENDIX	290

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
1.1	Description OF Chapters 23
2.1	Types of Fraud 31
2.2	Examples of Fraud Risk Factors From ISA 240 Relating To Financial Statement Misstatements 49
3.1	Descriptive of Research Variables 89
4.1	Population and Sample Size 116
4.2	Summary of Questionnaire Organization 118
4.3	Summary of Items Measured use Five Point Liker Skills 119
4.4	Items Related to the MFRA 121
4.5	Items Related to the Questioning Discussion Ability 126
4.6	Items Related to the Responsibility Perception 127
4.7	Constructing External Auditor Effectiveness Score (EAES) 128
4.8	Items Related to the Audit Fees 129
4.9	Items Related to the Hiring and Changing of the Auditor 130
4.10	Items Related to the Social Relations 131
4.11	Items Related to the Economic Relations 132
4.12	Constructing External Auditor Independent Score 132
4.13	Summaries of Variables Measurement 133
4.14	Cronbach's Alpha Test 141
5.1	Response Rate of Questionnaires 144
5.2	Test of Non-Response Bias – Independent Sample T-Test 145
5.3	Respondents' Auditor Type 146
5.4	Respondents` Job position 147
5.5	Respondents' Academic Qualification 148
5.6	Respondents' Study Major 148
5.7	Respondents` Professionals Qualifications 149
5.8	Percentage of Accounting and Non Accounting Certificate with PQ 150
5.9	Respondents' Auditing Experience 150
5.10	Respondents` Training on Fraud Detection 151
5.11	Respondents` Fraud Detection Experience 152
5.12	Respondents` Information Technology Skill 152

5.13	Summary of the Respondents` Profile Results	153
5.14	KMO, MSA and BTS Value for Questioning Discussion Ability	157
5.15	The Results of Extracted Component for QDA	157
5.16	Loading Factor Using Varimax Rotation for QDA	158
5.17	Summary of Reliability Test for Final two Factors for QDA	159
5.18	KMO, MSA and BTS for Responsibility perception	159
5.19	Results of Extraction of Component for Responsibility perception	160
5.20	Results of Component Matrix for Responsibility perception	161
5.21	Summary of Reliability test for Responsibility perception	161
5.22	KMO, MSA and BTS for Audit Fees	162
5.23	Results of extraction of component for Audit Fees	163
5.24	The Loading on Final Factor Using Component Matrix	163
5.25	Summary of Reliability test for Audit Fees	164
5.26	KMO, MSA and BTS for Hiring and Changing Auditor	164
5.27	Results of extraction of Component for Hiring and Changing Auditor	165
5.28	The Loading on Factor Using Component Matrix	165
5.29	Summary of Reliability test for Hiring and Changing Auditor	166
5.30	KMO, MSA and BTS for Social Relations	166
5.31	Results of extraction of Component for Social Relations	167
5.32	The Loading on Factor Using Component Matrix	167
5.33	Summary of Reliability test for Social Relations	168
5.34	KMO, MSA and BTS for Economic Relations	168
5.35	Results of extraction of Component for Economic Relation	169
5.36	The Loading on Factor Using Component Matrix	169
5.37	Summary of Reliability test for Economic Relation	170
5.38	KMO, MSA and BTS for MFRA	170
5.39	Results of extraction of Component for MFRA	172
5.40	The Loading on Final Factor Using Component Matrix	173
5.41	Summary of Reliability test for MFRA	174
5.42	Summary of the Construct Validity (Factor Analysis and Reliability Results)	175
5.43	The Final Variables after Factor Analysis	177
5.44	Descriptive Statistics for Questioning Discussion Ability Items	178
5.45	Descriptive Statistics for Responsibility Perception Items	179

5.46	Descriptive Statistics for Audit Fees Items	179
5.47	Descriptive Statistics for Haring and Changing Auditor Items	180
5.48	Descriptive Statistics for Social Relations Items	181
5.49	Descriptive Statistics for Economic Relations Items	181
5.50	Descriptive Statistics for MFRA Items	182
5.51	Guilford`s Rules of Thumb	184
5.52	Correlation between the EAES, EAIS and MFRA Variables	184
5.53	Correlation between the study Variables	185
5.54	Summary of the Correlation	186
5.55	The Statistics of Skwness and Kurtosis Ratios for Continuous Variables	188
5.56	Testing for Multicollinearity	192
5.57	Model Summary	194
5.58	The ANOVA (b) Result	194
5.59	The Coefficients (a) Value	195
5.60	The Statistics of Sekwnss and Kurtosis Ratios for Continuous Variables	196
5.61	Testing for Multicollinearity (EAES and EAIS)	199
5.62	Model Summary of EAES and EAIS	201
5.63	The ANOVA (b) Result of Scores EAES and EAIS	201
5.64	The Coefficients (a) Value of Scores EAES and EAIS	202
5.65	One-way ANOVA Groups (MFRA)	202
5.66	Multiple Comparisons (MFRA)	203
5.67	Fraud risk Indictors Average	204
5.68	Multiple liner regression Test of COCA and Non-COCA	207
5.69	Multiple liner regression Test of AP/AM and Non-AP/AM	208
5.70	Multiple liner regression Test on Auditor Type	210
6.1	The Results of the Research Hypotheses	213

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	The Fraud Triangle	45
3.1	Theoretical framework 1	94
3.2	Theoretical framework 2	95
5.1	The Histogram for Normal Distributed	188
5.2	The normal P-P Plot	189
5.3	The Scatter plot	190
5.4	The Histogram for Normal Distribution (EAES & EAIS)	196
5.5	The Normal P-P Plot (EAES & EAIS)	197
5.6	The Scatterpolt (EAES & EAIS)	198

LIST OF ABBREVIATION

AASB	Auditing and Assurance Standards Board
ACFE	Association of Certified Fraud Examiners
AICPA	American Institute of Certified Public Accountants
ACPA	Arabic Certified Public Accounting
AM	Auditor Partner
AP	Auditor Manager
AS	Accounting System
CFEs	Certified Fraud Examiners
COCA	Central Organization for Control and Accounting
CISA	Certified Information Systems Auditor
CMA	Certified managerial accounting
DSS	Decision Support System
DS	Database System
DFID	Department for International Development
EAES	External Auditor Effectiveness Score
EAIS	External Auditor Independent Score
FSAB	Financial Standards Accounting Board
GIS	Global Integrity Scorecard
ISA	International Standard on Auditing
IAASB	International Auditing and Assurance Standards Board
IFAC	International Federation of Accountants
IC	Investment Commission
IT	Information Technology
ICPA	International Certified Public Accounting
LAN	Local Area Network
MFRA	Management Fraud Risk Assessment
MSWG	Minority Shareholder Watchdog Group
MIT	Ministry of Industry and Trade
OECD	Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development
OSS	Office Support System
OTI	Organization Transparency International
PWC	Price Waterhouse Coopers
PCAOB	Public Company Accounting Oversight Board
SAS	Statement Auditing Standard
SEC	Securities and Exchange Commission
WB	World Bank
TO	Taxes Organization
YCB	Yemeni Central Bank
YACPA	Yemeni Association of Certified Public Accountants
YCPA	Yemeni Certified Public Accounting
YNIC	Yemeni National Information Center

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Research Background

Recently, management fraud has become a crucial issue, especially at the time when the consequence of this fraud is reflected in the collapse of many giant companies around the world. These collapses occurred in the U.S. (Enron, WorldCom, and Xerox), France (Vivendi Universal), Italy (Parmalat), Switzerland (Adecco International), UK (Bank of Credit and Commerce International and Barings Bank), and Australia (HIH Company) (KPMG, 2002; Dillon & Hadzic, 2009; Krambia-Kapardis & Zopiatis, 2010).

Management fraud has become the source of major costs for many organisations (Bierstaker, Brody, & Pacini, 2006). It occurs in almost all types of organizations and causes expensive, persistent, and increasing problems for businesses (Zahra, Korri, & Yu, 2005). The average estimated loss per organization from economic crimes globally is \$2,199,930 U.S. over a two-year period (Price Waterhouse Coopers [PWC], 2003). Organizations around the world lose an estimated five percent of their annual revenues to fraud, according to a survey of Certified Fraud Examiners (CFEs) conducted between January 2008 and December 2009. Applied to the estimated 2009 Gross World Product, this figure translates to a potential total fraud loss of more than \$2.9 trillion U.S. (Association of Certified Fraud Examiners [ACFE], 2010). Between 2002 and 2010, losses in terms of the U.S. Gross Domestic Product, have risen from \$600 billion to \$994 billion U.S., and the percentage of annual revenue lost to management fraud has risen from 5 percent to 7 percent (ACFE, Report to the

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

REFERENCES

- Abbasi, M. A. (2009). Yemen losses due to tax evasion. Sanaa University, Yemen.
From. <http://www.moheet.com>.
- Abdel-Khalik, A. R. (1993). Why do private companies demand auditing? A case for organizational loss of control. *Journal of accounting auditing and finance*, 8(1), 31-52.
- Abdolmohammadi, M., & Owghoso, V. D. (2000). Auditors' ethical sensitivity and the assessment of the likelihood of fraud. *Managerial Finance*, 26(11), 21-32.
- Adams, M. B. (1994). Agency theory and the internal audit. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 9(8), 8-12.
- Adimi, M. (2007). The offices of the audit institutions and their impact on the quality of professional performance: a field study. *Journal of the Faculty of Commerce and Economics*, 28, 49-166. University of Sanaa.
- Agrawal, A., & Knoeber, C. R. (1996). Firm performance and mechanisms to control agency problems between managers and shareholders. *Journal of Financial and Quantitative Analysis*, 31(3), 377-397.
- Agresti, A., & Finlay, B. (1997). *Statistical methods for the social sciences*. Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Al-Ahdal, A. (2008). *Factors affecting the quality of professional performance of the republic of Yemen field theory study*. Assiut University, Egypt.
- Al-Amoudi, A. A. (2001). *The role of the external auditor in evaluating the ability of going concern in shareholding companies in Yemen*. Al-ALbayt University, Jordan.
- Al-Amoudi, A. A. (2006). *Impact of electronic commerce on the audit. Field study in Yemen*. PhD Thesis, Damascus University, Syria.

- Al-Dawsari, N. (2012). Tribal Governance and Stability in Yemen. Paper, Carnegie Middle East Center, Beirut, Lebanon.
- Al-Egap, M. M. (2009). *The relationship between contingency factors and accounting information system, and ITS subsequent impact on information technology benefits: a survey on Jordanian Listed Companies*. Ph.D theses, universiti utara Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Albrecht, W. S., Albrecht, C., & Albrecht, C. C. (2008). Current trends in fraud and its detection. *Information security journal: A global perspective*, 17(1), 2-12.
- Albrecht, W. S., & Romney, M. B. (1986). Red-flagging management fraud: A validation. *Advances in Accounting*, 3, 323-333.
- AL-Haji, M. A. (2002). Proposed framework for the development of financial accounting standards in the Republic of Yemen / Case Study. Asut University, Egypt.
- Alleyne, P., & Howard, M. (2005). An exploratory study of auditors' responsibility for fraud detection in Barbados. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 20(3), 284-303.
- Alleyne, P., Persaud, N., Greenidge, D., & Sealy, P. (2010). Perceived effectiveness of fraud detection audit procedures in a stock and warehousing cycle: Additional evidence from Barbados. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 25(6), 553-568.
- Al-Marri, K., Ahmed, A. M. M. B., & Zairi, M. (2007). Excellence in service: an empirical study of the UAE banking sector. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 24(2), 164-176.
- Alroata, C. B. S. (2002). The importance of accounting education continuing legal accountant. *Journal of Public Administration, Institute of Public Administration in Riyadh*, 4, 657-700.

- Al-Smadi, A. A. M. (2011). Perception of strategic human resource management among employees of Jordanian private companies. *Journal of Advanced Social Research 1*, 100-110.
- Al-Talai, W. (2004). *The application of quality control in the offices of Audit in Yemen. A field study*. University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan.
- Althawranews, 2013, Yemen joining to the World Trade Organization, www. Althawranews.net, accessed December 5, 2013.
- Altwaijri, A., & Nafabi, H. (2008). Quality of audit services, analysis of the factors influencing them from the perspective of the auditors. A field study. *Journal of King Abdulaziz University, Faculty of Economics and Management, Saudi Arabia*, 22(1), 219-255.
- Amandusson, A., Hermanson, O., & Blomqvist, A. (1996). Colocalization of Oestrogen Receptor Immunoreactivity and Preproenkephalin mRNA Expression to Neurons in the Superficial Laminae of the Spinal and Medullary Dorsal Horn of Rats. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 8(11), 2440-2445.
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) (1978). Commission on Auditors' Responsibilities Report, Conclusions and Recommendations. New York.
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) (1988), Analytical Procedures: Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 56, April.
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) (1997). Consideration of Fraud in a Financial Statement Audit. Statement on Auditing Standards (SAS) No. 82.

- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) (2002). Consideration of fraud in a financial statement audit. Statement on auditing standards (SAS). No. 99.
- American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. Summary of Sarbanes-Oxley Act 2002 http://www.aicpa.org/info/sarbanes_oxley_summary.htm, accessed January 20, 2005.
- Andersen, (1996). Study and assessment of the accounting profession and auditing. Republic of Yemen.
- Ang, C.-L., Davies, M. A., & Finlay, P. N. (2001). An empirical model of IT usage in the Malaysian public sector. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 10(2), 159-174 .
- Antle, R. (1982). The auditor as an economic agent. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 20(2), 503-527.
- Apostolou, B. A., Hassell, J. M., Webber, S. A., & Sumners, G. E. (2001). The relative importance of management fraud risk factors. *Behavioural Research in Accounting*, 13, 1.
- Apostolou, N., & Crumbley, D. L. (2008). Auditor's Responsibilities with Respect to Fraud: A Possible Shift? *CPA Journal*, 78(2), 32.
- Arens, A., & Lubeck, G. (2002). The entrance of an integrated audit. The translation of Mohamed Mohamed Aldezti, Maris House, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
- Armstrong, J., & Overton, T. (1977). Estimating nonresponse bias in mail surveys. *Journal of marketing research*, 14, 396-402 .
- Arthur Andersen & Co. (1974). Public Accounting in Transition.
- Asbaugh, H., LaFond, R., Mayhew, B. (2003). Do non-audit services compromise independence? Further evidence. *The Accounting Review* 78 (3), 611–639.

- Asfor, M. (2003). The effects of the social and economic factors on the work of the external Auditor under the domination of the establishments. *Scientific Conference, 5. Amman, Jordan.*
- Ashton, R. H. (1990). Pressure and performance in accounting decision settings: Paradoxical effects of incentives, feedback, and justification. *Journal of Accounting Research, 28*, 148-180.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (CFE) (2004). Report to the nation on occupational fraud and abuse. 2004. <http://www.acfe.com/documents/2004-rtn.pdf>.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (CFE) (2006). Report to the nations on occupational fraud and abuse. 2006. <http://butest.acfe.com/rtn/rtn-2006.pdf>.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (CFE) (2008). Report to the nation on occupational fraud and abuse. 2008. <http://www.acfe.com/documents/2008-rtn.pdf>.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (CFE) (2010). Report to the nations on occupational fraud and abuse. 2010. <http://butest.acfe.com/rtn/rtn-2010.pdf>.
- Association of Certified Fraud Examiners (2002). Report to the nation on occupational fraud and abuse, 2002. <http://www.acfe.com/documents/2002-rtn.pdf>.
- Awaqleh, Q. A. (2008). *Going concern evaluation in Jordan*. Ph.D Thesis, University Utara Malaysia, Malaysia.
- Baba, H. N. B. (2004). *Corporate social responsiveness and environmental performance of small enterprises: An empirical study*. University Utara Malaysia Sanitok .

- Baddie, E. (2001). *The practice of social research*. (9th ED). Belmont, CA: Wadsworth Publishing.
- Baglia, D. S. (2000). *The effects of auditor type, auditor experience level, and audit firm structure on audit evidence decisions: An empirical examination under cases of both high and low fraud risk*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, Cleveland State University, USA.
- Baiman, S., Evans, J. H., & Noel, J. (1987). Optimal contracts with a utility-maximizing auditor. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 25(2), 217-244.
- Baker, W. E., & Faulkner, R. R. (2003). Diffusion of fraud: Intermediate economic crime and investor dynamics. *Criminology*, 41(4), 1173-1206.
- Bamber, E., Watson, R., & Hill, M. (1996). The effects of group support system technology on audit group decision making. *Auditing*, 15, 122-134.
- Bamashmoos, A. A.A. (2003). *Auditing International Standards and application possibilities in the Republic of Yemen*. Ph.D Thesis, University Alstansria, Iraq.
- Baron, C., Johnson D., & Smith, S. H. (1977). Uncovering corporate irregularities: Are we closing the expectation gap? *The Journal f Accountancy*.
- Bashtawi, H., & Suleiman, S. (2003). The influence of the economic and social factors on the external auditor's performance under the organizational control. *Scientific Conference*, 5, Amman. Jordan.
- Basodan, A., Mustafa, H., & Almotaz, S. (2004). An empirical study of factors that affect auditor change at public companies in the Kingdom of Saudi Arabia. *Journal of the Public Administration*, 3(1), 44.
- Bayer, J. (2002). Fall from grace: Joe Berardino presided over the biggest accounting scandals ever and the demise of a Begendary firm. Here's what happened. *Business Week*, 3795, 50.

- Beasley, M. S. (1996). An empirical analysis of the relation between the board of director composition and financial statement fraud. *Accounting Review*, 443-465.
- Beasley, M. S., Carcello, J. V., & Hermanson, D. R. (2001). Top 10 audit deficiencies. *Journal of Accountancy-New York*, 191(4), 63-69.
- Beck, G. (1973). The role of the auditor in modern society: An exploratory essay. *Accounting and Business Research*. Spring: 117-22.
- Bedard, J. C., & Graham, L.E. (2002). The effects of decision aid orientation on risk factor identification and audit test planning. *Journal of Practice & Theory* 21, 39-56.
- Bell, T. B., & Carcello, J. V. (2000). A decision aid for assessing the likelihood of fraudulent financial reporting. *Journal of Practice & Theory*, 19(1), 167-182.
- Bernardi, R. A. (1994). Fraud detection: The effect of client integrity and competence and auditor cognitive style. *Journal of Practice Theory*, 13, 68-84.
- Bierstaker, J. L., Brody, R. G., & Pacini, C. (2006). Accountants' perceptions regarding fraud detection and prevention methods. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 21(5), 520-535.
- Bierstaker, J. L., Cohen, J. R., DeZoort, F. T., & Hermanson, D. (2011). Audit committee compensation, fairness, and the resolution of accounting disagreements. *American Accounting Association*.
- Bloomfield, R. J. (1997). Strategic dependence and the assessment of fraud risk: A laboratory study. *Accounting Review*, 517-538.
- Bonner, S. E., Palmrose, Z. V., & Young, S. M. (1998). Fraud type and auditor litigation: An analysis of SEC accounting and auditing enforcement releases. *Accounting Review*, 503-532.

- Boritz, J. E., Kotchetova, N., & Robinson, L.A. (2008). Planning fraud detection procedures: Fraud specialists Vs auditors. *Working Paper*, University of Waterloo.
- Boynton, W., Johnson, R., & Kell, W. (2005). Assurance and the integrity of financial reporting: New York: John Wiley & Son, Inc.
- Brazel, J. F., Carpenter, T. D., & Jenkins, J. G. (2010). Auditors' use of brainstorming in the Consideration of Fraud: Reports from the Field. *The Accounting Review*, 85, 1273.
- Burks, B. (2006). *The impact of ethics education and religiosity on the cognitive moral development of senior accounting and business students in higher education*. PhD Dissertation, Nova South eastern University.
- Carcello, J. V., & Nagy, A. L. (2004). Client size, auditor specialization and fraudulent financial reporting. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 19(5), 651-668.
- Carcello, J. V., & Palmrose, Z. V. (1994). Auditor litigation and modified reporting on bankrupt clients. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 32, 1-30.
- Carpenter, T., Durtschi, C.Y. & Gaynor, L.M. (2006). The effects of different training methodologies in assessing both fraud risk and the relevance of fraud risk factors. Retrieved from <http://ssrn.com>.
- Carver, B., Faetc, D., Nash, S. P., & Cosson, P. (2006). Medical imaging, techniques, reflection and evaluation. *Recherche*, 67, 02.
- Cavana, R. Y., Delahaye, B. L., & Sekaran, U. (2001). *Applied business research: Qualitative and quantitative methods*: Wiley and Sons.
- Central organization for control and accounting (COCA) (2007). Report of government for the first quarter. Yemen.

- Central organization for control and accounting (COCA) (2009). Report of government for the first quarter. Yemen.
- Central organization for control and accounting (COCA) (2010). Report of government for the first quarter. Yemen.
- Chemuturi, V. (2008). Are you prepared to assess fraud risk factors? *Pennsylvania CPA Journal*.
- Chen, Y. (2005), Prepare for e-generation: The fundamental computer skills of accounting in Taiwan. *Journal of American Academy of Business*, Cambridge, 7(1), 131-135.
- Chih, A. M. (2008). Impediments to the adoption and application of international standards for financial reporting in developing countries: the case of Yemen. *Journal of Chartered Accountant*, Yemeni Association of Certified Public Accountants, 4, 12 -15.
- Choi, J. H., Kim, J. B., & Zang, Y. (2010). Do abnormally high audit fees impair audit quality? *Journal of Practice & Theory*, 29, 115.
- Choo, F., & Trotman, K. T. (1991). The relationship between knowledge structure and judgments for experienced and inexperienced auditors. *Accounting Review*, 464-485.
- Clarkson, P. M., & Simunic, D. A. (1994). The association between audit quality, retained ownership, and firm-specific risk in US vs. Canadian IPO markets. *Journal of accounting and economics*, 17(1-2), 207-228.
- Coakes, S. J., & Steed, L. (2009). *SPSS: Analysis without anguish using SPSS Version 14.0 for Windows*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
- Coakes, S., Steed, L., & Ong, C. (2009). *SPPS version 16.0 for windows: Analysis without Anguish: Australia: John Wiley & Sons*.

- Colbert, J. L. (2000). International and US standards: error and fraud. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 15(3), 97-107.
- Cote, M. (2002). The collapse of Arthur Andersen. *CA Magazine, Toronto*.
- Cragg, P. B., King, M., & Hussin, H. (2002). IT alignment and firm performance in strategic information systems, 11(2), 109-132.
- Cressey, D. R. (1971). *Delinquent and criminal structures. Contemporary social problems*, (3rd Ed). Harcourt Bruce, Jovanovich, New York.
- Dahdouh, A. H. (2006). The auditor's responsibility to detect misleading in the financial statements of industrial companies and the factors influencing its discovery. *Damascus University Journal of Science economic, legal*, 22(1), 173-212.
- De Lange, P., Jackling, B., & Gut, A. M. (2006). Accounting graduates' perceptions of skills emphasis in undergraduate courses: an investigation from two Victorian universities. *Accounting & Finance*, 46(3), 365-386.
- De Angelo, L. E. (1981). Auditor size and audit quality. *Journal of accounting and economics*, 3(3), 183-199.
- Deis Jr, D. R., & Giroux, G. A. (1992). Determinants of audit quality in the public sector. *Accounting Review*, 462-479.
- Department for International Development, (2013). DFID's Anti-Corruption Strategy for Yemen. Retrieved from <http://www.dfid.gov.uk/yemen>
- DeZoort, F.T., & Harrison, P. (2007). The effects of fraud type and accountability pressure on auditor fraud detection responsibility and brainstorming performance. From <https://aaahq.org/audit/midyear/08midyear/papers/49>
- Dillman, Don A. (1978). *Mail and telephone surveys: The total design method*. New York Wiley-Interscience.

- Dillon, D., & Hadzic, M. (2009). *A framework for detecting financial statement fraud through multiple data sources.*
- Dixon, R., Woodhead, A., & Sohliman, M. (2006). An investigation of the expectation gap in Egypt. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 21(3), 293-302.
- Doines, O., & Lapadat, G. (2012). Detering Financial Reporting Fraud. *Economics, Management and Financial Markets*, 7(1), 132-137.
- Donald Jr, K., & Banks, G. Y. (2003). Expanded guidance for auditor fraud detection responsibilities. *CPA Journal*, 73(6), 26.
- Durtschi, C., & Fullerton, R. R. (2005). Teaching fraud detection skills: A problem-based learning approach. *Journal of Forensic Accounting*, 6, 187-212.
- Efendi, J., Srivastava, A., & Swanson, E. P. (2007). Why do corporate managers misstate financial statements? The role of option compensation and other factors. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 85(3), 667-708.
- Ekanayake, S. (2004). Agency theory, national culture and management control systems. *Journal of American academy of business*, 4(1/2), 49-54.
- Elliott, R. K., & Willingham, J. J. (1980). *Management fraud: Detection and deterrence*: Examiners, A. o. C. F. (2010). 2010 Report to the Nations on Occupational Fraud and Abuse. From <http://butest.acfe.com>.
- Emery, J., Crump, C., & Bors, P. (2003). Reliability and validity of two instruments designed to assess the walking and bicycling suitability of sidewalks and roads. *American Journal of Health Promotion*, 18(1), 38-46.
- Epstein, M., & Geiger, M. (1994). Investor views of audit assurance: Recent evidence of the expectation gap. *Journal of Accountancy*. 177(1), 60-66.
- Fadzly, M. N., & Ahmad, Z. (2004). Audit expectation gap: The case of Malaysia. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 19(7), 897-915.

- Farook, S., & Lanis, R. (2007). Banking on Islam? Determinants of corporate social responsibility disclosure. *Islamic Economics and Finance*, 217.
- Feroz, E., Park, K. J., & Pastena, V. (2008). The financial and market effects of the SEC's accounting and auditing enforcement releases. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 29, 107-142.
- Firth, M. (1980). Perception of auditor independence and official ethical guidelines. *The Accounting Review*, 451-466.
- Francis, J., & Yu, M. (2009). Big 4 office size and audit quality. *The Accounting Review*, 84(5), 1521-54.
- Francis, J. R., & Simon, D. T. (1987). A test of audit pricing in the small-client segment of the US audit market. *Accounting Review*, 145-157.
- Frankel, R. M., Johnson, M. F., & Nelson, K. K. (2002). The relation between auditors' fees for nonaudit services and earnings management. *Accounting Review*, 71-105.
- Friedman, J. N., Goldman, R. D., Srivastava, R., & Parkin, P. C. (2004). Development of a clinical dehydration scale for use in children between 1 and 36 months of age. *The Journal of pediatrics*, 145(2), 201-207.
- Gay, L. R., & Diehl, P. L. (1996). *Research methods for business and management*, New Jersey: Prentice Hall international, Inc.
- Geiger, M. A., & Rama, D. V. (2003). Audit fees, non-audit fees, and auditor reporting on stressed companies. *Auditing*, 22(2), 53-70.
- Gibbons, C., Dempster, M., & Moutray, M. (2009). Index of sources of stress in nursing students: a confirmatory factor analysis. *Journal of advanced nursing*, 65(5), 1095-1102.
- Gist, W. (1994). Empirical evidence on the effect of audit structure on audit pricing.

Auditing, 13, 25-25.

Global Integrity Scorecard, (2010). Global Integrity Report, West Bank. Retrieved from <http://www.globalintegrity.org/report/West-Bank/2010>

Gloeck, J. D. (1993). *The expectation gap with regard to the Auditing profession in the republic of South Africa*. Ph.D. Dissertation, the University of Pretoria.

Glover, S. M.; Prawitt, D. F.; Schultz, J., & Zimbelman, M. F. (2003). A test of changes in Auditors' fraud-related planning judgments since the issuance of SAS No. 82. *Journal of Practice and Theory*, 22(2), 237-251.

Goh, B. W. (2009). Audit committees, boards of directors, and remediation of material weaknesses in internal control. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 26(2), 549-579.

Graham, L., & Bedard, J. (2003). Fraud risk and audit planning. *International Journal of Auditing*, 7(1), 55-70.

Gravetter, F. J., & Wallnau, L. B. (2009). *Statistics for the behavioral sciences* (8 Ed.). Belmont, USA: Wadsworth: Cengage learning.

Gray, A., & Jenkins, B. (1993). Codes of accountability in the new public sector. *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 6(3).

Gray, R. (2006). Social, environmental and sustainability reporting and organisational value creation: Whose value? Whose creation? *Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal*, 19(6), 793-819.

Gray, R. H., Owen, D. L. & Adams, C. (1996). *Accounting and accountability: changes and challenges in corporate social and environmental reporting*, Hemel Hempstead, Prentice Hall.

Green, B. & T. Calderon (1996). Information privacy and the internal auditor's assessment of fraud risk factors. *Internal Auditing*, 4, 4-15.

- Guba, E. G., & Lincoln, Y. S. (1994). *Competing paradigms in qualitative research. Handbook of qualitative research*, 2, 163-194.
- Hackenbrack, K. (1992). Implications of seemingly irrelevant evidence in audit judgment. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 30(1), 126-136.
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis (Vol. 7)*: Prentice Hall Upper Saddle River, NJ.
- Hair, J. E., Anderson, R. E., Tatham, R. L. & Black, W. C. Babin, B, J. (2006). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. USA: Pearson Prentice Hall International Inc.
- Hair, J. F., Bush, R. P., & Ortinau, D. J. (2000). *Marketing research: A practical approach for the new millennium*. Irwin Professional Publishing.
- Hameeri, J. A. (2006). *Assess the quality of professional performance in the audit offices of the Republic of Yemen (the study of theory - a field)*. Sadat Academy for Management Sciences University, Egypt.
- Hammersley, J. S. (2011). A review and model of auditor judgments in fraud-related planning tasks. *Auditing, A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 30(4), 101-128.
- Hanlon, M., Rajgopal, S., & Shevlin, T. (2003). Are executive stock options associated with future earnings? *Journal of accounting & economics*, 36(1),3-43
- Hartley, R. V., & Ross, T. L. (1972). MAS and audit independence: An image problem. *Journal of Accountancy*, 134(5), 42-51.
- Hasnan, S., Abdul Rahman, R., & Mahenthiran, S. (2013). Management Motive, Weak Governance, Earnings Management, and Fraudulent Financial Reporting: Malaysian Evidence. *Journal of International Accounting Research*, 12(1), 1-27
- Hassink, H., Meuwissen, R., & Bollen, L. (2010). Fraud detection, redress, and reporting by auditors. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 25(9), 861-881
- Healy, M. J. R. (1984). The use of R² as a measure of goodness of fit. *Journal of the*

royal statistical society, Series A (General), 147(4), 608-609

Hegazy, M., & Kassem, R. (2010). Fraudulent financial reporting: Do red flags really help? *International Journal of Academic Research: Economics and Engineering*, (4).

Heider, F. (1982). *The psychology of interpersonal relations*: Lawrence Erlbaum.

Hernández, J. R., & Groot, T. (2006). How trust underpins auditor fraud risk assessments. *ARCA Research Memorandum*, 06-17

Hill, D. J., Mittal, C., Kulasingham, L., & Institutions, A. O. S. A. (1989). *Accountability and Control of Public Enterprises: A Research Project: ASOSAI*.

Hogan, C. E., Rezaee, Z., Riley, R. A. Jr., & Velury, U. K. (2008). Financial statement fraud: Insights from the academic literature. *Journal of Practice & Theory* 27(2), 231-252

Humphrey, C., Moizer, P., & Turley, S. (1993). The audit expectations gap in Britain: An empirical investigation. *Accounting and Business Research*, 23, 1

Hsu, C. S., Kung, F. H., & James, K. (2013). An Investigation of Auditors' Responsibility for Fraud Detection in Taiwan. *Asian Journal of Finance & Accounting*, 5(1), 30-47

Hwang, M.I. and Lin, J.W. (2008). A meta-analysis of the association between earnings management and audit quality and audit committee effectiveness. *Corporate Ownership & Control*, 6(1), 48-56.

Ingram, R. W., & Frazier, K. B. (1980). Environmental performance and corporate disclosure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 18(2), 614-622

International Auditing and Assurance Standard Board (IAASB) (2004). The auditor's responsibility to consider fraud in an audit of financial statement, ISA No. 240. New York, 2004

- International Federation of Accountants (IFAC) (2009). International Standard on Auditing (ISA) 240: the auditor's responsibility relating to fraud in an audit of financial statements. New York: IFAC; 2009 <http://web.ifac.org/clarity-center/isa-240>
- Ismail, O. (2004). Research methodology. Malaysia. Retrieved from www.othmanismail.com/classes
- Jaffar, N. (2009). Fraud detection: The moderating role of fraud risk level. *Journal of business and public affairs*, 3 (1), 1-15.
- Jaffar, N., Salleh, A., Iskandar, T.M., & Hasnah, H. (2008). The effects of the external auditors' ability to assess fraud risk on their ability to detect the likelihood of fraud. *International Journal of Management Perspectives*, 1(1), 49-70.
- Janvrin, D., Bierstaker, J., & Lowe, D. J. (2008). An examination of audit information technology use and perceived importance. *Accounting Horizons*, 22(1), 1.
- Jaro, S. A. (2005). *The specific characteristics of the quality of external audit*, university of Aden, Yemen.
- Jensen, M. (2004). Agency costs of overvalued equity. *Harvard NOM Working Paper No. 04-26; ECGI - Finance Working Paper No. 39/2004*. Retrieved from <http://papers.ssrn.com>.
- Jensen, M. C., & Meckling, W. H. (1976). Theory of the firm: Managerial behaviour, agency costs and ownership structure. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 3(4), 305-360.
- Johnson, R. A., WICHERN, D., W. (2007). *Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis*: Prentice Hall, USA.

- Jubran, M. (2010). Factors affecting the quality of professional performance of the republic of Yemen field theory study. *Scientific Conference*, 10, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
- Kaiser, H. F. (1974). An index of factorial simplicity. *Psychometrika*, 39(1), 31-36.
- Kaminski, K. A., Wetzel, T. S., & Guan, L. (2004). Can financial ratios detect fraudulent financial reporting? *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 19(1), 15-28.
- Kaplan, S. E., & Reckers, P. M. J. (1985). An examination of auditor performance evaluation. *Accounting Review*, 477-487.
- Kaplan, S., & Reckers, P. (1993). An examination of the effects of accountability tactics on performance evaluation judgments in public accounting. *Behavioural Research in Accounting*, 5(1), 101-123.
- Kaplan, S. E., Pope, K. R., & Samuels, J. A. (2011). An examination of the effect of inquiry and auditor type on reporting intentions for fraud. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory*, 30(4), 29-49.
- Kelley, H. H. (1967). *Attribution theory in social psychology*. In D. Levine (Ed.), Nebraska Symposium on Motivation, Lincoln: University of Nebraska Press.
- Kenyon, W. & Tilton, P.D. (2006). Potential red flags and fraud detection techniques. In T.W. Golden, S.L. Skalak & M.M. Clayton (Eds), *A guide to forensic accounting investigation*, 119-160. Hoboken NJ: John Wiley & Sons.
- Khadir, M. A. (1991). *Revision - Mufhim, standards and procedures*. Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.
- Khasharmeh, A. H. (2003). Factors affecting auditors' selection in Jordanian public companies-an empirical study. *Journal Yarmuk University*, Jordan, 30(1).

- Knapp, C. A. (1995). *The use of fraud schema during analytical procedures: effects of experience, client explanations and attention cues*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, The University of Oklahoma, USA.
- Knapp, C. A., & Knapp, M. C. (2001). The effects of experience and explicit fraud risk assessment in detecting fraud with analytical procedures. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 26(1), 25-37.
- Knechel, W. R. (2001). *Auditing: Assurance and Risk*. (2nd Ed), South-Western College Publishing.
- Kozloski, T. M. (2002). *The influence of similarity and risk on knowledge transfer from prior fraud risk assessments*. *Accounting and Tax*. Drexel University, Philadelphia: 192.
- KPMG, & Australia. (2002). Fraud Survey 2002. <http://www.kpmg.com>
- KPMG. (2008). 2008-2009 Integrity Survey. KPMG LLP. <http://www.kpmg.com>
- Krambia-Kapardis, M., & Zopiatis, A. (2010). Investigating incidents of fraud in small economies: the case for Cyprus. *Journal of Financial Crime*, 17(2), 195-209.
- Kranacher, M. J., & Stern, L. (2004). Enhancing fraud detection through education. *CPA Journal*, 74, 66-67.
- Krishnamurthy, S., Zhou, J., & Zhou, N. (2006). Auditor reputation, auditor independence, and the stock-market impact of Andersen's indictment on its client firms. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 23(2), 465-490.
- Law, P. (2008). Auditors' perceptions of reasonable assurance in audit work and the effectiveness of the audit risk model. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 16(2), 160-178.
- Law, P. (2011). Corporate governance and no fraud occurrence in organizations:

- Hong Kong evidence. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 26(6), 501-518.
- Law, P., & Act, A. (2002). Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. *Public Law*, 107, 204.
- Lawrence, G. M., & J. T. Y. Wells (2004). Basic legal concept. Retrieved from [Http://www.aicpa.org](http://www.aicpa.org).
- Lee, B., Cox, S., & Roden, D. (2007). Have the big accounting firms lost their audit quality advantage? Evidence from the returns-earnings relation,” *Journal of Forensic Accounting*, 8 (1), 271-84.
- Lee, D. W., & Blaszczynski, C. (1999). Perspectives of “Fortune 500” executives on the competency requirements for accounting graduates. *The Journal of Education for Business*, 75(2), 104-107.
- Lee, T., Ali, A., & Gloeck, J. D. (2008). A study of auditors’ responsibility for fraud detection in Malaysia. *Southern African Journal of Accountability and Auditing Research*, 8, 27-34.
- Lennox, C. S. (1999). Audit quality and auditor size: An evaluation of reputation and deep pockets hypotheses. *Journal of Business Finance & Accounting*, 26(7-8), 779-805.
- Leung, P., & Chau, G. (2001). The problematic relationship between audit reporting and audit expectations: Some evidence from Hong Kong. *Advances in International Accounting*, 14, 181-200.
- Li, J., & Lin, J. (2006). The relationship between earnings management and audit quality. *Journal of Accounting and Finance Research (forthcoming)*
- Loebbecke, J. K., Eining, M. M., & Willingham, J. J. (1989). Auditors’ experience with material irregularities: Frequency, nature, and detect ability. *A Journal of*

Practice & Theory, 9(1), 1-28.

- Lou, Y. I., & Wang, M. L. (2009). Fraud risk factor of the fraud triangle assessing the likelihood of fraudulent financial reporting. *Journal of Business & Economics Research*, 7(2).
- Low, A. (1980). The auditor's detection responsibility: is there an expectation gap? *Journal of Accountancy*, 150, 65-70.
- Lowensohn, S., & Reck, J. (2004). Longitudinal analysis of local government audit quality. *Research in governmental and non-profit accounting*, 11, 213-228.
- Lynch, A., & Gomaa, M. (2003). Understanding the potential impact of information technology on the susceptibility of organizations to fraudulent employee behaviour. *International Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 4(4), 295-308.
- Lynch, A. (2004). *Auditors' Performance in Computer-Mediated Fraud Assessment Brainstorming Sessions: An Investigation of the Effects of Anonymity and Creativity Training*. PhD thesis, University of South Florida, USA.
- Macdonald, C. A., & Associates, Y. (1993). Typology of fraud and error control programs. <http://www.camacdonald.com/typology.htm>
- Mahdi, S., & mansoury, A. (2009). Firm size, audit regulation and fraud detection: Empirical evidence from Iran, University of Zanjan, Iran. *Management*, 4 (1), 5–19.
- Marczewski, D. C., & Akers, M. D. (2005). CPAs' perceptions of the impact of SAS 99. *Accounting Faculty Research and Publications*, 13.
- Matsumura, E. M., & Tucker, R. R. (1992). Fraud detection: A theoretical foundation. *Accounting Review*, 753-782.

- Matter, M. (1994). Manners of supporting the external auditor's independence in Jordan. Analytical empirical study for the auditors, financial statements Users, and the supervision and control sides performance. *Journal of Studies in Jordanian University*, 21(3), 321-371.
- Matter, M. (2000). *The scope of the auditor's liability for evaluating the ability of the company to continue as going concern* (1-16): University of Petra. Jordan.
- Matter, M. A. (1999). Accounting education and the challenges of the future. *Journal of Public Administration*, (4), 703-729.
- Mcconnell, D. & G. Banks (2003). Expanded guidance for auditor fraud detection responsibilities. *The CPA Journal*. Retrieved from www.ebscohost.com
- Mckibbin, L. W. P. L. E., & Porter, L. (1988). *Management education and development: Drift or thrust into the 21st century*. McGraw-Hill, New York.
- Mendell, A. (1995). Training that helps women move up the career ladder. *People Management*, 1(9), 50.
- Menon, K., & Williams, D. D. (1991). Auditor credibility and initial public offerings. *Accounting Review*, 313-332.
- Messier Jr, W. F., Eilifsen, A., & Austen, L. A. (2004). Auditor detected misstatements and the effect of information technology. *International Journal of Auditing*, 8(3), 223-235.
- Moghram, M. A. (2007). Legal and Institutional structure for Fighting Corruption in the Republic of Yemen, Sana'a University, Yemen.
- Mohamed, E. K. (2009). Optimizing business education: A strategic response to global challenges, education, business and society, *Contemporary Middle Eastern*, 2 (4), 299-311.
- Monroe, G. S., & Woodliff, D. R. (1994). An empirical investigation of the audit

- expectation gap: Australian evidence. *Accounting & Finance*, 34(1), 47-74.
- Montgomery, B. L., & Lagarias, J. C. (2002). Phytochrome ancestry: Sensors of bilins and light. *Trends in plant science*, 7(8), 357-366.
- Moyes, G. D. (2011). The differences in perceived level of fraud-detecting effectiveness of SAS No. 99 red flags between external and internal auditors. *Journal of Business & Economics Research*, 5(6).
- Moyes, G. D., & Anandarajan, A. (2002). What kind of CPA detects fraud? *Internal auditing-boston-warren gorham and lamont incorporated*, 17(5), 32-41.
- Moyes, G. D., & Hasan, I. (1996). An empirical analysis of fraud detection likelihood. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 11(3), 41-46.
- Moyes, G. D., Din, H. F. M., & Omar, N. H. (2009). The effectiveness of the auditing standards to detect fraudulent financial reporting activities in financial statement audits in Malaysia. *International Business & Economics Research Journal*, 8(9).
- Moyes, G., & Lavine, M. (1997). Which audit techniques work in detecting fraud? *Corporate Controller*, 10(6), 43-47.
- Moyes, G. D. (2007). The differences in perceived level of fraud-detecting effectiveness of SAS No. 99 red flags between external and internal auditors. *Journal of Business & Economics Research*, 5(6), 9-25.
- Mubarak, R. A. (2000). Analysis of factors affecting the quality of accounting and auditing profession, applied study. *The scientific journal of trade and finance, a bloc of Commerce, University of Tanta, Egypt*, 2, 1-51.
- Mubarak, A. R. (2007). Analysis of the factors affecting the position and role of the external auditor in controlling financial reporting fraud, a field study on the

Saudi environment, *Business Administration Research Center, King Saud University*.

Murdoch University. Y. 2001. Anti-Fraud Policy. <http://www.murdoch.edu.au/vco/audit/admin/polproc/AntiFraudPolicy.html>

Nieschwietz, R., Schultz J., & Zimbelman, M. (2000). Empirical research on external auditors' detection of financial statement fraud. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 19, 190-246.

Nunnally, J. C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory*. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill.

O'Sullivan, M., Percy, M., & Stewart, J. (2008). Australian evidence on corporate governance attributes and their association with forward-looking information in the annual report. *Journal of Management and Governance*, 12(1), 5-35.

O'Gara, J. D. (2004). *Corporate fraud: Case studies in detection and prevention*. John Wiley & Sons Inc.

O'Keefe, T. B., Simunic, D. A., & Stein, M. T. (1994). The production of audit services: Evidence from a major public accounting firm. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 32(2), 241-261.

Organization for economic cooperation and development, (2004). Principles of corporate governance. Retrieved from <http://www.oecd.org>.

Organization Transparency International, (2006). Report on Corruption. Retrieved from <http://cpi.transparency.org/>

Organization Transparency International, (2009). Report on Corruption. Retrieved from <http://www.transparency.org/>

Organization Transparency International, (2012). Report on Corruption. Retrieved from <http://cpi.transparency.org/>

- Organization Transparency International, (2013). Report on Corruption. Retrieved from <http://cpi.transparency.org/>
- Orpen, C. (1980). The relationship between expected job performance and causal attributions of past success or failure. *The Journal of Social Psychology, 112*(1), 151-152.
- Owusu-Ansah, S., Moyes, G. D., Oyelere, P. B., & Hay, D. (2002). An empirical analysis of the likelihood of detecting fraud in New Zealand. *Managerial Auditing Journal, 17*(4), 192-204.
- Pallant, J. (2001). A step by Guide to Data Analysis using SPSS. Philadelphia, USA: Open University Press, McGraw-Hill Education.
- Pallant, J. (2007). SPSS survival manual. (3Ed), Britain: Bell & Bain Ltd., Glasgow.
- Pallant, J. (2011). SPSS survival manual. (4Ed), Australia: Allen & Unwin Ltd., Crows Nest.
- Pailin, T. (2011). *The impact of assessment fraud procedures and need for cognition on fraud risk assessments*. Ph.D dissertation, Washington State University.
- Palmrose, Z. V. (1986). Audit fees and auditor size: Further evidence. *Journal of Accounting Research, 24*(1), 97-110.
- Palmrose, Z. V. (1987). Litigation and independent auditors: The role of business failures and management fraud. *Journal of Practice and Theory, 6*(2), 90-103.
- Paopun, V. (2000). *A study of the relationship between investment in information technology and organizational performance in the retail industry*. Unpublished PhD Thesis, Nova South eastern University.
- Pelham, B. W., & Neter, E. (1995). The effect of motivation of judgment depends on the difficulty of the judgment. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 68*(4), 581.

- Perks, R. W. (1993). *Accounting and society*: Chapman & Hall London.
- Perols, J. (2008). *Detecting financial statement fraud: Three essays on fraud predictors, multi-classifier combination and fraud detection using data mining*. PhD Thesis, University of South Florida. USA.
- Persons, O. (1995). Using financial statement data to identify factors associated with fraudulent financing reporting, *Journal of Applied Business Research*, 11, 38–46.
- Pincus, K. V. (1989). The efficacy of a red flags questionnaire for assessing the possibility of fraud. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 14(1-2), 153-163.
- Pincus, V. K. (1984). *Fraud detection ability: Individual differences and their relationship to cognitive style difference*. Unpublished doctoral dissertation, The University of Maryland, USA.
- Pollick, M. Y. (2006). What is Fraud. <http://www.wisegeek.com/what-is-fraud.htm>
Accessed: 15 February.
- Porter, B. (1997). Auditors' responsibilities with respect to corporate fraud: A controversial issue, 31–54. In M. Sherer & S. Turley (Eds), *Current issues in auditing*. London: Chapman
- Porter, B. (1989). *The development of corporate accountability and the social role of independent auditors*.
- Porter, B., (1983). *Report on the auditor's responsibility to detect fraud*. Unpublished research report, accountancy department, Massey University, New Zealand.
- Preston, L. E., & Post, J. E. (1975). *Private management and public policy: The principle of public responsibility*: Prentice-Hall.
- Price Waterhouse Coopers (2003). *Global Economic Crime Survey 2003*.
- Price Waterhouse Coopers (2005). *Global Economic Crime Survey 2005*.

- Rahahleh, M. (2006). Skills, characteristics must possessed by internal auditors. *Journal of Commerce and Economics*, 25.
- Rahahleh, M.Y. (2010). The gap in the knowledge, skills, and other competencies posses by Jordanian Women in auditing profession: Jordanian perspective. *International Research Journal of Finance and Economics*, 56, 140-150.
- Ramos, M. (2003). Auditors' responsibility for fraud detection. *Journal of Accountancy*, 195, 28-36.
- Raymond, L., & Pare, G. (1992). Measurement of information technology sophistication in small manufacturing businesses. *Information Resources Management Journal*, 5(2), 4-16.
- Reynolds, K., Deis, D., & Francis, J. (2004). Professional service fees and auditor objectivity. *Journal of Practice & Theory*, 23 (1), 29–52.
- Rezaee, Z. (2004). Restoring public trust in the accounting profession by developing anti-fraud education, programs, and auditing. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 19(1), 134-148.
- Rezaee, Z. (2005). Causes, consequences, and deterence of financial statement fraud. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 16(3), 277-298
- Robert, J. N., Joseph Jr, J. S., Mark, F. Z., Nieschwietz, R. J., & Zimbelman, M. F. (2000). Empirical research on external auditor’s detection of financial statement fraud. *Journal of Accounting Literature*, 19, 190-246
- Robu, I. B., Chersan, I. C., Mironiuc, M., & Carp, M. (2012). Empirical Study on the Assessment of the Auditor's Responsibility Regarding the Risk of Financial Fraud. *Communications of the IBIMA*, 2012, 1-17
- Saba-agency (2010). Project presidential decree establishing the Securities Commission. 14 October. Retrieved from <http://www.14October.co.Yemen>

- Saksena, (2010). Four tools to help auditors prevent/detect fraud. *Journal of Accountancy*. Available at www.ebscohost.com
- Salehi, M., & Azary, Z. (2009). Fraud detection and audit expectation gap: Empirical evidence from Iranian bankers. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 3(10), 65.
- Salehi, M., Mansoury, A., & Pirayesh, R. (2009). Firm size, audit regulation and fraud detection: empirical evidence from Iran. *ABAC Journal*, 29(1), 53-65.
- Saunders, D. (1980). Psychological perspectives on management fraud. *Management fraud: Detection and deterrence*, 107-115.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P. and Thornhill, A. (2007). *Research methods for business students*. 4th ed. London: Prentice Hall.
- Schlenker, B., Britt, T., Pennington, J., Murphy, R., & Doherty, K. (1994). The triangle model of responsibility. *Psychological Review*, 101(4): 632-652.
- Sekaran, U. & Bougie, R. (2010). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach*, (5th Ed.). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Sekaran, U. & Bougie, R. (2013). *Research methods for business: A skill building approach*, (6th Ed.). Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- Selley, D., & Turner, E. (2004). Assurance-Detecting fraud and error: New standards require auditors to change their mindset when it comes to detecting fraud. *CA Magazine-Chartered Accountant-English Edition*, 137(6), 37-38.
- Sharma, D. (2002). The association between non-audit services and the propensity of going concern qualifications: Implications for audit independence.
- Shockley, R. A. (1981). Perceptions of auditors' independence: An empirical analysis. *Accounting Review*, 785-800.

- Siam, M. W. (2003). The factors affected on Auditor independence under professionalism legislations. *Scientific Conference, 5, Amman, Jordan.*
- Simunic, D. A. (1980). The pricing of audit services: Theory and evidence. *Journal of Accounting Research, 18(1), 161-190.*
- Simon, C. A. (2012). Individual auditors' identification of relevant fraud schemes. *Auditing: A Journal of Practice & Theory, 31(1), 1-16.*
- Sinclair, A. (1995). The chameleon of accountability: forms and discourses. *Accounting, Organizations and Society, 20(2), 219-237.*
- Speed, R. (1994). Regression type techniques and small samples: A guide to good practice. *Journal of Marketing Management, 10(1-3), 89-104.*
- Smith, M., Omar, N. H., Idris, S. I. Z. S., & Baharuddin, I. (2005). Auditors' perception of fraud risk indicators: Malaysian evidence. *Managerial Auditing Journal, 20(1), 73-85.*
- Smith, G. S. (2012). Can an auditor ever be a first responder to financial frauds? *Journal of Financial Crime, 19(3), 291-304.*
- Stewart, J. D. (1984). The role of information in public accountability. *Issues in public sector accounting, 17.*
- Sutherland, E. H. (1973). *White collar crime.* New York: Holt, Rinehart, and Winston.
- Sutherland, E. H. (1985). *White collar crime: The uncut version:* Yale University Press.
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using multivariate analysis (5th Ed.).* Bosten: Allyn and Bacon.
- Taggar, S., & Neubert, M. (2004). The Impact of Poor Performers on Team Outcomes: An Empirical Examination of Attribution Theory. *Personnel*

Psychology, 57(4), 935-968.

- Technology, N. I. o. S. a. (2010). NIST/SEMATECH e-handbook of statistical methods. Retrieved June 22, 2011 from www.itl.nist.gov/div898/handbook.
- Teoh, S. H. (1992). Auditor independence, dismissal threats, and the market reaction to auditor switches. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 30(1), 1-23.
- Teoh, S. H., & Wong, T. (1993). Perceived auditor quality and the earnings response coefficient. *Accounting Review*, 346-366.
- Thneibat, A. A. (1991). *The role of the external auditor in evaluating the ability of concern in shareholding companies in Jordan*. Unpublished Master Thesis. University of Jordanian. Amman.
- Thong, J. Y. L. (1999). An integrated model of information systems adoption in small business. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 15(4), 187-214.
- Titard, P. L. (1971). Independence and MAS: Opinions of Financial Statement Users. *Journal of Accountancy*, 132(1), 47-52.
- Treadway Commission. (1987). Report of the National Commission on Fraudulent Financial Reporting. Available at: <http://www.coso.org/publications/ncffr.pdf>
- Uyar, A., & Gungormus, A. H. (2011). Professional knowledge and skills required for accounting majors who intend to become auditors: Perceptions of external auditors. *Business and Economics Research Journal*, 2(3).
- Waller, W. S. (1993). Auditors' assessments of inherent and control risk in field settings. *Accounting Review*, 783-803.
- Ward, A. J., Brown, J. A., & Rodriguez, D. (2009). Governance bundles, firm performance, and the substitutability and complementarity of governance mechanisms. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 17(5), 646-660.

- WaShalley, A. M. (2010). *The audit plans in response to fraud risk management in the light of modern requirements of auditing standards. A field study*. Faculty of Economics and Management Science, University of Ibb, Yemen.
- Webber, S.A., Sinason, D., Apostolou, B., & Hassell, J.M. (2006). An investigation of auditor assessment of fraud risk. *Journal of Forensic Accounting*, 7, 411-438.
- Weber, M. (1991). *The Nature of Social Action in Runciman, W .G Weber: Selections in Translation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Weiner, B., Frieze, I., Kukla, A., & Reed, L. „Rest, S., & Rosenbaum, R. M. (1971). *Perceiving the causes of success and failure*.
- Wells, J. T. (2004). New approaches to fraud deterrence. *Journal of Accountancy*, 197(2), 72.
- Wells, J. T. (2001). Why Ask? You Ask. *Journal of Accountancy*, 192 (3), 88-93.
- Wilks, T. J., & Zimbelman, M. F. (2004). Decomposition of Fraud risk assessments and auditors' sensitivity to fraud cues. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 21(3), 719-745.
- Wilson, R. A. A., CFE, C., & Consultants, I. (2004). Employee dishonesty: National survey of risk managers on crime. *Journal of Economic Crime Management*, 2(1), 1-25.
- World Bank, (2008). Public expenditure financial accountability assessment. Document, Yemen. Social and Economic Development Group Middle East and North Africa Region.
- World Bank, (2011). Country data report for Yemen. Retrieved from Info.worldbank.org/governance/wgi/
- Xiao, Z., Dyson, J. R., & Powell, P. L. (1996). The impact of information technology on corporate financial reporting: A contingency approach. *British Accounting*

Review, 28, 203-227.

Yamani, A. Q. (1991). A selective study for some important factors that affect the independence of the external reviser from the Saudi creditor, investment analyzers and revisers point of view. *General Administration Journal*, 27(2), 183-225.

Yang, W., Moyes, G. D., Hamedian, H., & Rahdarian, A. (2010). Professional demographic factors that influence Iranian auditors' perceptions of the fraud-detecting effectiveness of red flags. *International Business & Economics Research Journal*, 9(1).

Ybarra, O., & Stephan, W. G. (1999). Attributional orientations and the prediction of behavior: The attribution–prediction bias. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 76(5), 718.

Yemeni Association of Certified Public Accountants (YACPA) (2012). Guidance of independence auditor in Yemen.

Yemeni Central Bank (YCB) (2005). Report of Yemeni National Commercial Bank.

Yemeni law No. 22, (1997). Official Gazette on the Companies, the Ministry of Legal Affairs.

Yemeni law No. 31, (1992). Official Gazette on the audit profession and review, the Ministry of Legal Affairs.

Yemeni law No. 26, (1999). Official Gazette on the audit profession and review, the Ministry of Legal Affairs.

Yemeni law No. 39, (1992). Official Gazette on the Central Organization for Controlling and Accounting (COCA), the Ministry of Legal Affairs.

Yemeni Ministry of Industry and Trade , (2012). Administration of Chartered Accountants. Retrieved from <http://www.moit.gov.ye/accountants/result.php>

- Zahra, S. A., Korri, J. S., & Yu, J. F. (2005). Cognition and international entrepreneurship: implications for research on international opportunity recognition and exploitation. *International business review*, 14(2), 129-146.
- Zhang, Y., Zhou, J., & Zhou, N. (2007). Audit committee quality, auditor independence, and internal control weaknesses. *Journal of accounting and public policy*, 26(3), 300-327.
- Zhou, W and. Kapoor, G. (2011), Detecting evolutionary financial statement fraud. *Decision Support Systems* 50, 570–575.
- Zhuang, J., Thomas, S., & Miller, D. L. (2005). Examining culture's effect on whistle-blowing and peer reporting. *Business & society*, 44(4), 462-486.
- Zikmund, W. G. (2000). *Exploring marketing research and Business research methods*, USA: Cengage Learning.
- Zikmund, W. G. (2003). *Business research methods* (7th Ed.), USA: Thomson South-Western Inc.
- Zikmund, W. G., Badin, B. J., Carr, J. C., & Griffin, M. (2010). *Business Research Methods* (8th Ed.), USA: Thomson South-Western Inc.
- Zimbelman, M. F. (1997). The effects of SAS No. 82 on auditors' attention to fraud risk factors and audit planning decisions. *Journal of Accounting Research*, 35, 75-97.
- Zimbelman, M.F., & Albrecht, C.C. (2012). *Forensic Accounting* (4th ed). South-Western, Cengage Learning: Nelson Education, Ltd.