

**WILLINGNESS OF EMPLOYERS TO
SUPPORT DISABILITY MANAGEMENT IN
THE WORKPLACE FROM SOCSO'S
PERSPECTIVE**

By

PANNIRSELVAM S/O RAJAMANIKAM

Thesis Submitted to
Othman Yeop Abdullah Graduate School of
Business,
Universiti Utara Malaysia,
in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Master of
Human Resource Management

ABSTRACT

In the current competitive edge, many healthy workers who become disable due to injuries, accidents, chronic illness or birth defect has been continued to be the poorest, less educated and least hired which was contributed by several barriers that stop them from participating in the labour market. The common barrier is the employer's perception toward hiring the disable people in their workplace and this is the biggest constraint for the disable people to mingle in the workgroups in the society. This survey-based research study was conducted basically to analyse the influence characteristics of employers in employing disable people in their workplace and for this purpose, the study was mainly targeted number of employers from Klang valley, Malaysia. Precisely, the connection between gender and total employees in an organization was identified by analysing the total number of employees in the organization, familiarity with disability workers and prior work experience with disability people together with elements of understanding and beliefs towards disability was explored. Results from this study indicate that employers' attitudes are generally positive and the common barriers also have been identified. Overall familiarity with disability, size of organizations and gender can be predictable characters in determining the employer's attitude in employing people with disabilities. This study also indicates that employers are willing to support disability management in the workplace. Furthermore, constraints in conducting this study together with future recommendations for other researchers are provided in this study.

ABSTRAK

Pada zaman yang penuh mencabar ini, kebanyakan pekerja yang telah menjadi hilang upaya atau pun Orang Kurang Upaya (OKU) akibat daripada kecederaan, kemalangan dan penyakit kronik ataupun disebabkan kelahiran adalah dikenal pasti sebagai golongan yang miskin, kurang pelajaran dan kurang diberi peluang pekerjaan. Walaupun terdapat sejumlah kecil yang terima tawaran kerja, namun angkah ini masih rendah berbanding dengan jumlah sebenar yang masih tiada punca pendapatan untuk meniarah hidup. Antara punca yang menyebabkan kurangnya peluang pekerjaan kepada OKU, adalah sikap negatif majikan terhadap OKU yang mewujudkan jurang perbezaan ini. Maka, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti demografi majikan yang memberi kesan kepada sikap mereka dalam melantik pekerja atau OKU dalam syarikat mereka. Jadi, kesemua responden dalam kajian ini dipilih dari data majikan aktif yang berdaftar dengan Perkeso di kawasan Lembah Klang. Dalam kajian ini, kita dapat melihat hubungkait antara jantina, saiz organisasi, kebiasaan bekerja dengan pekerja atau OKU dan pengalaman kerja dengan pekerja atau OKU dalam membentuk keperibadian majikan dalam melantik pekerja atau OKU dalam syarikat mereka. Hasil kajian menunjukkan secara umum, majikan mempunyai persepsi positif terhadap keperibadian majikan dalam melantik pekerja atau OKU dan beberapa kekangan turut dikenalpasti. Maka, Kajian ini membuktikan yang mana majikan di Lembah Klang menyokong program pengurusan hilang upaya di tempat kerja. Disamping itu, Implikasi dan cadangan untuk kajian pada masa akan datang turut dibincangkan dalam kajian ini.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank all the lovely people who assisted me in completing this thesis. My first thanks are extended to my dissertation supervisor, Mrs Norizan Hj Azizan, for her guidance, intelligence and openness.

Gratefulness is also retained to SOCSO's top management, especially Dato' Dr.Mohammad Azman Dato' Aziz Muhammad (Deputy Chief Executive Officer), Mr Roshaimi Mat Rosley (Head of Return to Work Department), Head of units, Case Managers and Assistant Case Managers.

Furthermore, not to be forgotten are the employers took part in this study and SOCSO's staff at branches Wilayah Persekutuan, Petaling Jaya, Rawang, Klang and Kajang for their ongoing support and guidance through the period of the study.

For My wife, Sivasangari, whose endless support and motivation gives me strength and guidance to walk in this education path with my children, Trishna, Lakshan and Hanishna who encouraged me as well. Words can't describe the love I have for you all.

An earnest thank you is dedicated to my brother, Mr. Muniandy and sister, Mrs. Logambal, for their reassurance and provision. Not to be forgotten, my lovely parents Mr Rajamanikam and Mrs Parvathy for their support to complete this study.

Lastly, I dedicate my thank you to all my course mates and working colleagues, whom gave endless support and motivation to me.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of Tables	vii
List of Figures	ix
List of Abbreviations	x
PREFACE	PAGE
1.0 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Introduction to the study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Objectives	5
1.4 Research Questions and hypotheses	6
1.5 Importance and Contributions of the study	8
1.6 Scope of the study	9
1.7 Limitations of the study	10
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Introduction	12
2.2 Disability Management	13
2.3 Why implement disability management programs?	15
2.4 Employer attitude toward workers with disabilities	18
2.5 Attitudes of employers to hire people with disabilities	19
2.6 Barriers to disability management programs	20
2.7 The concept of Return to Work (RTW)	22
2.8 Strategies for improving return to work program	26
2.9 Implications for the return to work process	28
2.10 Conceptual framework	31
3.0 RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1 Introduction	34
3.2 Research design	35
3.3 Population and sampling	36
3.4 Data procedure analysis	40
3.5 Data analysis	41
3.6 Instrument validation	42
3.7 Conclusion	44

4.0	RESULTS AND DATA ANALYSIS	
4.1	Introduction	46
4.2	Response Rate	47
4.3	Research Questions	47
4.4	Sample Characteristics	49
4.5	Instrument validation	54
4.6	Descriptive Statistical Analysis	55
4.7	Hypotheses testing	65
4.8	Conclusion	81
5.0	DISCUSSIONS, SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Introduction	84
5.2	Discussion of findings	85
5.3	Limitations	92
5.4	Recommendations	93
5.5	Conclusion	97
	REFERENCES	99
	APPENDIX A. LETTER OF DATA COLLECTION FROM UUMKL	104
	APPENDIX B. LETTER OF REQUEST FOR PERMISSION TO CONDUCT RESEARCH FROM SOCSO	105
	APPENDIX C. RESEARCH QUESTIONNAIRE	106

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3.1: Number of active employers ¹ , 2013	37
Table 3.2: Table: Table for Determining Sample Size from a Given Population	38
Table 3.3: Sampling sizes according to districts	39
Table 3.4: Reliability Statistics	44
Table 4.1: Gender Frequency	50
Table 4.2: Organization Size	51
Table 4.3: Familiarity working with disability people	51
Table 4.4: Prior working experience with disability people	52
Table 4.5: Length of employer experience	53
Table 4.6: Current implementation of disability management in the workplace	54
Table 4.7: Willingness to implement the disability management in the workplace	54
Table 4.8: Education level frequency	54
Table 4.9: Reliability Statistics	55
Table 4.10: One-Sample Statistics for section B (Knowledge on Disability Management)	57
Table 4.11: Frequency Analysis	58
Table 4.12: One-Sample Statistics for Section C (Reasons for not hiring and retaining person with disability)	60
Table 4.13: Employers reasons for not hiring and retaining person with disability	61
Table 4.14: One-Sample Statistics for Section D (Practical Strategies for implementing disability management)	63
Table 4.15: Employers practical strategies for implementing disability management	64
Table 4.16: ANOVA ^a	66

Table 4.17: Model Summary	66
Table 4.18: ANOVA ^a	66
Table 4.19: Model Summary	66
Table 4.20: Group Statistics	68
Table 4.21: ANOVA ^a	70
Table 4.22: Model Summary	70
Table 4.23: ANOVA ^a	70
Table 4.24: Model Summary	70
Table 4.25: One Group Statistics	72
Table 4.26: ANOVA ^a	74
Table 4.27: Model Summary	74
Table 4.28: ANOVA ^a	74
Table 4.29: Model Summary	74
Table 4.30: One-Group Statistics	76
Table 4.31: Model Summary	78
Table 4.32: ANOVA ^a	78
Table 4.33: Model Summary	78
Table 4.34: ANOVA (b)	78
Table 4.35: One-Group Statistics	80

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1: Conceptual framework on willingness of employers to support disability management program	33
Figure 4.1: Gender pie chart	50
Figure 4.2: Familiarity working with disabled people	52
Figure 4.3: Prior working experience with disable people	52
Figure 4.4: Length of employer experience	53

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

SOCISO	Social Security Organization
PERKESO	Pertubuhan Keselamatan Social
RTW	Return to Work
PWD	Person with Disabilities
DM	Disability Management
ILO	International Labour Organization
OKU	Orang Kurang Upaya
NIDMAR	National Institute of Disability Management and Research
CDMP	Certified Disability Management Professional
WHO	World Health Organization
UN	United Nation
EEO	Equal Employment Opportunities
UNCRPD	United Nations Convention on the Right of Persons with Disabilities

CHAPTER ONE

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction to the study

According to International Labour Organization (ILO n.d), there are more than 600 million people worldwide are categorized as people with disabilities (PWD). Thus World Report on Disability 2011 by World Health Organization (WHO) and the World Bank estimates that about 15% of total population of a country comprises persons with disabilities. These facts were presented by YBHG Datuk Harjeet Singh, Deputy Secretary General, Ministry of Women, Family and Community Development in “Reporting to UN on current status of PWD towards an inclusive Malaysian Society Post UNCRPD Ratification”. In the conference it was summarized that there are total number of PWDs in Malaysia around 506, 228 persons (as of April 2014). Nevertheless, this statistics cannot be defined as comprehensive data as in Malaysia, there is no mandatory to register people with disabilities and it is done on voluntary basis. The categories registered for the disable people are visually impaired (47, 721), hearing impaired (59, 868), physically disabled (166, 206), learning disabilities (182, 055), mental disorder (21, 237), speech disabilities (3, 792) and multiple disabilities (25, 349). The quantity of people amid disabilities can differ from one country to another and the categories also can be different depends on the country on how they group a person with disabilities. Disabled people have been categorized into seven main categories, for research purposes done by the Department of Social Welfare (Ministry of Women, Family and Community Development). Registration of PWDs is important to enable the government to recognize and identify the disabilities in order to plan and formulate appropriate programs for the development of PWDs.

The contents of
the thesis is for
internal user
only

References

Able Trust. (2003). *Dispelling myths of an untapped workforce: A study of employer attitudes toward hiring individuals with disabilities*. Florida: Author.

Akabas, S. (1994). Workplace responsiveness: Key employer characteristics in support of job maintenance for people with mental illness. *Psychosocial Rehabilitation Journal*, 17, 3, 91–101.
Barnes, H. *et al.* (1998) *Disabled people and employment: A review of research and development work*. Bristol, The Policy Press.

Berry, J. O., & Meyer, J. A. (1995). Employing people with disabilities: Impact of attitude and situation. *Rehabilitation Psychology*, 40, 3, 211–222.

Blackburn, R. D. (2002). *Relationships between employers' attitude toward people with disabilities, awareness of ADA, and willingness to comply* (Doctoral dissertation, Texas A & M University, 2002). UMI 3060767

Bricout, J. C., & Bentley, K. J. (2000). Disability status and perceptions of employability

Bruyere, S. M. (2000). *Disability employment policies and practices in private and federal sector organizations*. Ithaca, NY: Cornell University School of Industrial and Labour Relations Extension Division, Program on Employment and Disability. by employers. *Social Work Research*, 24, 2, 87–95.

Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development (2001) *Adapting to disability: It wasn't so difficult after all*. London, Chartered Institute of Personnel and Development.

EEO Trust (2005) *Disability and Employment – on-line survey analysis*. Auckland. EEO Trust.

European Commission (1997) *Employment and people with disabilities: report of the special meeting of the high level group on disability*. Brussels, European Commission.

Feldblum, C. R. (1991). Employment protections. In J. West (Ed.), *The Americans with Disabilities Act: From policy to practice* (pp. 81–110). New York: Milbank Memorial Fund.

Flynn, B. G. (1994). Rehab makes good business sense. *Personnel Journal*, 73 (9): 18 – 20.

Fong, C.O, (2004) Speech by Datuk Wira Dr. Fong Chan Onn during the launching of Job for the Disabled awareness campaign 2004 Mines It City, media release, 25 June

Freeze, R., Kueneman, R., Frankel, S., Mahon, M., & Nielsen, T. (2002). Passages to employment. *International Journal of Practical Approaches to Disability, Canadian Edition*, 23, 3, 3–13.

Gouvier, W. D., Sytsma-Jordan, S., & Mayville, S. (2003). Patterns of discrimination in hiring job applicants with disabilities: The role of disability type, job complexity, and public contact. *Rehabilitation Psychology*, 48, 3, 175–181.

- Graffam, J., Shinkfield, A., Smith, K., & Polzin, U. (2002). Factors that influence employer decisions in hiring and retaining an employee with a disability. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation, 17*, 175–181.
- Gray, A. & Neale, J. (1991) *Survey of employment and training experiences of people with disabilities*, Wellington, Department of Labour.
- Greenan, J. P., Wu, M., & Black, E. L. (2003). Perspectives on employing individuals with special needs. *The Journal of Technology Studies, 28*, 1, 29–37.
- Groves, R. M., (1987). Research on survey data quality. *Public Opinion Quarterly, 51*, 156-172.
- Groepper, R. (1993). Structured return-to-work and the American with Disabilities Act. *Healthcost Monitor, 2*:3.
- Harlan, S. L., & Robert, P. M. (1998). The social construction of disability in organizations: Why employers resist reasonable accommodation. *Work and Occupations, 25*, 4, 397–435.
- Habeck, R.V. (1999). Job retention through disability management. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin, 42* (4), 317 – 328
- Habeck, R.V., Kress, M., Scully, S.M., & Kirchner, K. (1994). Determining the significance of the disability management movement for rehabilitation counselor education. *Rehabilitation Education, 8* (3), 195 – 240.
- Hernandez, B., Keys, C, & Balcazar, F. (2000). Employer attitudes toward workers with disabilities and their ADA employment rights: A literature review. *Journal of Rehabilitation, 66*, 4, 4–16.
- Human Rights Commission (2004) *Human Rights in New Zealand Today: New Zealand Action Plan for Human Rights*. Auckland, Human Rights Commission.
- Hunt, C. S., & Hunt, B. (2004). Changing attitudes toward people with disabilities: Experimenting with an education intervention. *Journal of Managerial Issues, 16*, 2, 266–280.
ILO, Information Sheet 2011
- Johnson, K. L., Antmann, D., Yorkston, K. M., Klasner, E. R., & Kuehn, C. M. (2004). Medical, psychological, social, and programmatic barriers to employment for people with multiple sclerosis. *Journal of Rehabilitation, 70*, 1, 38–49.
- Jones, G. F., & Stone, D. L. (1995). Perceived discomfort associated with working with persons with varying disabilities. *Perceptual and Motor Skills, 81*, 911–919.

- Khor, H.T. (2002). Employment of Persons with Disabilities. Social-Economic & Environmental Research Institute, Vol. 4(3):4 – 7
- Krejcie, R.V. & Morgan, D.W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educational & Psychological Measurement*, 30, 607-610
- Ledman, R. and Brown, D. (1993) “The American with Disabilities Act: The Cutting Edge to Managing Disability”, *Sam Advanced Management Journal*, Spring: 17 – 20.
- Lewis, P. S. (1994). Attitudes and behavior of employers towards persons with disabilities in a post-ADA labor market (Doctoral dissertation, The Union Institute, 1994). UMI 9508302
- Loo, R. (2001). Attitudes of management undergraduates toward persons with disabilities: A need for change. *Rehabilitation Psychology*, 46, 3, 288–295. Managing Disability. *Sam Advanced Management Journal*, Spring: 17 – 20.
- Jayasooria, D, Bathmavathi Krishnan & Godfrey Ooi, (1997) “People with disabilities in Newly Industrialising Economy: Opportunities and Challenges in Malaysia.” *Disability and Society*, 12 (3): 455 – 463.
- McCaughey, T. J., & Strohmer, D. C. (2005). Prototypes as an indirect measure of attitudes toward disability groups. *Rehabilitation Counseling Bulletin*, 48, 2, 89– 99.
- McLaughlin, M. E., Bell, M. P., & Stringer, D. Y. (2004). Stigma and acceptance of persons with disabilities: Understudied aspects of workforce diversity. *Group & Organization Management*, 29, 3, 302–333.
- Meager, N. & Hibbett, A. (1999) Disability and the labour market: findings from the DfEE Baseline Disability Survey. *Labour Market Trends*, September, 467-76.
- Messina, J. L. (2003). Manager attitudes toward persons with disabilities as measured by two attitudinal measurement instruments (Doctoral dissertation, Capella University, 2003). UMI 3117399
- Morgan, R. L., & Alexander, M. (2005). The employer’s perception: Employment of individuals with developmental disabilities. *Journal of Vocational Rehabilitation*, 23, 39–49.
- Morgan, R. L., & Russell, D. (2003). *Through the eyes of the employer: Perspectives of business people on hiring individuals with disabilities*. Paper presented at the Utah Statewide Community Employment Conference, Sandy.
- Mullich, J. (2004) Hiring without limits. *Workforce Management*, June, 53-8.
- Murray, B. & Herron, R. (1999) *Placement of job-seekers with disabilities: Elements of an effective service*. Bangkok, International Labour Organization.

Mulholland, K., Sniderman, R., & Yankowski, T. (1994). Early intervention and vocational rehabilitation: An assessment of workers' compensation reforms in California. *NARPPS Journal*, 9(1), 10-20.

Mills, D. L. (1995). Building joint labor-management initiatives for worksite disability management. In Shrey, D. E. & Lacerte, M. (Eds) *Principles and practices of disability management in industry*. Winter Park, FL: GR Press, Inc.

Norland-Tilburg, E. V. (1990). Controlling error in evaluation instruments. *Journal of Extension*, [On-line], 28(2).

PERKESO Annual Report, 2013

Popovich, P. M., Scherbaum, C. A., Scherbaum, K. L., & Polinko, N. (2003). The assessment of attitudes toward individuals with disabilities in the workplace. *The Journal of Psychology*, 137, 2, 163–177.

Radhakrishna, R. B. Francisco, C. L., & Baggett, C. D. (2003). An analysis of research designs used in agricultural and extension education. *Proceedings of the 30th National Agricultural Education Research Conference*, 528-541.

Ramrayka, L. (2001) B & Q builds on diversity. *Employers Update*, July, 2001.

Roush, S. & Klockars, A. (1988). Construct validation of two scales measuring attitudes toward people with disabilities. *Journal of Rehabilitation*, 54, 3, 25–30.

Satcher, J., & Hendren, G. R. (1992). Employer agreement with the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990: Implications for Rehabilitation Counseling. *Journal of Rehabilitation*, 58, 13–17.

Scherbaum, C. A., Scherbaum, K. L., & Popovich, P. M. (2005). Predicting job-related expectancies and affective reactions to employees with disabilities from previous work experience. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 35, 5, 889–904

Shrey, D.E. (1979). The rehabilitation counselor in industry: A new frontier. *Journal of Applied Rehabilitation Counseling*, 9 (4), 168 – 172.

Smith, J. (2004) Employers need to 'change attitudes': disabled find it hard to get jobs, conference told. *Otago Daily Times*, August 11 2004, p.6.

State Services Commission (2002) *Moving Forward: EEO for people with disabilities in the public service: A practical guide*. Wellington, State Services Commission.

Stevens, G. (2002) Employers' perceptions and practice in the employability of disabled people: a survey of companies in south east UK. *Disability & Society*, 17(7), 779-796.

- Studholme, S. (1994) *Does the employment environment further disable people with disabilities*. Unpublished M.A. thesis. University of Canterbury.
- Silverside, A. (1998). Disability management efforts can reduce number of injuries, improve bottom line. *CMAJ: Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 159 (3), 268 – 269.
- Tregaskis, C. (2000). Interviewing non-disabled people about their disability-related attitudes: Seeking methodologies. *Disability & Society*, 15, 2, 343–353.
- Tate, D.G., Habeck, R.V., & Galvin, D.E. (1986). Disability management: Origins, concepts, and principles of practice. *Journal of Applied Rehabilitation Counseling*, 17 (3), 5-12.
- Unger, D. D. (2002a). Employers' attitudes toward persons with disabilities in the workforce: Myths or realities? *Focus on Autism and Other Developmental Disabilities*, 17, 1, 2–10.
- Unger, D. D. (2002b). How do front-line supervisors in business perceive the performance of workers with disabilities? *In Employers' views of workplace supports: Virginia Commonwealth University Charter Business Roundtable's National Study on Employers' Experiences with Workers with Disabilities* (chapter 3).
- Walters, S. E., & Baker, C. M. (1996). Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act: Employer and recruiter attitudes toward individuals with disabilities. *Journal of Rehabilitation Administration*, 20, 15–23.
- Wellner, A. S. (2005, October). The disability advantage. *Inc. Magazine*, 29–31.
- Westmorland, M.G., & Williams, R. (2002). Employers and policy makers can make a difference to the employment of people with disabilities. *Disability & Rehabilitation*, 24, 15, 802–809.
- Yong, TK (2001). Registering Disabled Essential. *New Sunday Time – Focus*, View 14 Jan. 2003.
- Yuker, H. E. (1994). Variables that influence attitudes toward people with disabilities: Conclusions from the data. *Journal of Social Behavior and Personality*, 9, 3–22.
- Yuker, H. E., Block, J. R., & Campbell, W. (1960). A scale to measure attitudes toward people with disabilities. Human Resources Study No. 5. Albertson, NY: Human Research Foundation.
- Rosenthal, D.A., & Olsheski, J.A. (1999). Disability management and rehabilitation counseling: Present and future opportunities. *Journal of Rehabilitation*, 65 (1), 31 – 38.
- Ziegler, J. (1999). The new direction in disability management: When it works. *Business & Health*, 17 (2), 30 – 33.